

VIP Lewis Acids Very Important Paper

How to cite: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 10523–10526

International Edition: doi.org/10.1002/anie.202003799

German Edition: doi.org/10.1002/ange.202003799

Synthesis of a Counteranion-Stabilized Bis(silylium) Ion

Qian Wu⁺, Avijit Roy⁺, Guoqiang Wang, Elisabeth Irran, Hendrik F. T. Klare, and Martin Oestreich*


Dedicated to Professor Paul Knochel on the occasion of his 65th birthday

Abstract: The preparation of a molecule with two alkyl-tethered silylium-ion sites from the corresponding bis(hydrosilanes) by two-fold hydride abstraction is reported. The length of the conformationally flexible alkyl bridge is crucial as otherwise the hydride abstraction stops at the stage of a cyclic bisilylated hydronium ion. With an ethylene tether, the open form of the hydronium-ion intermediate is energetically accessible and engages in another hydride abstraction. The resulting bis(silylium) ion has been NMR spectroscopically and structurally characterized. Related systems based on rigid naphthalen-*n,m*-diyl platforms can only be converted into the dication when the positively charged silylium-ion units are remote from each other (1,8 versus 1,5 and 2,6).


A common way of stabilizing silylium ions is by Lewis pair formation with σ -basic molecules to yield onium ions.^[1] Under certain circumstances, that is with no such Lewis base present in the reaction medium, even an Si–H bond can lend stabilization to a silylium ion in the form of a three-center, two-electron (3c2e) Si–H–Si bond (Scheme 1, top).^[2–4] If intermolecular, this is a weak interaction, and the hydrosilane in these hydronium ions is usually supplanted by the arene used as the solvent.^[2] Conversely, the situation changes when a tethered Si–H bond is geometrically accessible (Scheme 1, bottom). Several cyclic hydronium ions have already been described, and their spectroscopic and crystallographic characterization established that these are free in the sense that neither the solvent nor the counteranion coordinates to either of the silicon atoms.^[3]

These systems are actually fairly stable, and the abstraction of another hydride with the trityl salt $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}[\text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_4]^-$ to access a bis(silylium) ion is, if at all, slow. A likely reason

intermolecular hydronium ions



intramolecular hydronium ions: from silylium to bis(silylium) ions



Scheme 1. Inter- and intramolecular hydronium ions, and the generation of bis(silylium) ions from those cyclic systems. WCA = weakly coordinating anion. Do = donor, typically solvent molecule.

could be the stereoelectronic inaccessibility of the Si–H bond engaged in the aforementioned 3c2e bond. An attempt by Müller and co-workers did not enable the isolation of such a species (Scheme 2, top).^[3c] The targeted dication was too reactive and immediately decomposed the borate counteranion. The close proximity of the cationic centers attached to the rigid naphthalen-1,8-diyl platform is presumably part of the problem. Müller had reported another six-membered ring system with an aliphatic backbone before (Scheme 2, bottom).^[3a] We anticipated that smaller or larger ring sizes would make the formation of the Si–H–Si unit less favorable (gray box), thereby allowing for donor-mediated ring opening and eventually facile hydride abstraction (Scheme 1, bottom). The aliphatic linker would further equip the dication with the necessary conformational flexibility to accommodate the positive charges and Lewis pair formation with bulky donor molecules. We disclose here the synthesis of a bis(silylium) ion, a new class of bidentate^[5] silicon superelectrophiles^[6] for potential application in catalysis^[7] or as receptors.^[8]

The choice of substituents at the silicon atom is crucial for the successful generation of heteroleptic silylium ions as substituent redistribution reactions often produce complex mixtures.^[9] The alkyl-substituted precursors required for the present study are inherently afflicted with this problem but

[*] Dr. Q. Wu,^[+] A. Roy,^[+] Dr. G. Wang, Dr. E. Irran,^[++] Dr. H. F. T. Klare, Prof. Dr. M. Oestreich
Institut für Chemie, Technische Universität Berlin
Strasse des 17. Juni 115, 10623 Berlin (Germany)
E-mail: martin.oestreich@tu-berlin.de
Homepage: <http://www.organometallics.tu-berlin.de>


[+] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[++] X-ray crystal-structure analysis.


Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under:
<https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202003799>.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not used for commercial purposes.

Müller and co-workers (2006): attempted synthesis of bis(silylium) ion



Müller (2001)





Scheme 2. Attempted and planned synthesis of counteranion-stabilized bis(silylium) ions.

isopropyl and *tert*-butyl groups usually hamper such processes.^[9c,d] For this reason, we opted for the bis(hydrosilanes) **1–3** with *i*Pr₂Si moieties (Scheme 3, top); their straightforward preparation is outlined in the Supporting Information. The chemical stability of the counteranion is of utmost importance in silylium-ion chemistry,^[10] and [B(C₆F₅)₄][−] previously used by Müller is not suitable for the given challenge.^[3c,11] We decided to go with [CHB₁₁Cl₁₁][−],^[12] one of the least coordinating and most robust carborate counteranions.^[10,13] Reacting precursors **1–3** with the corresponding trityl salt Ph₃C[CHB₁₁Cl₁₁][−] in C₆D₆ resulted a biphasic suspension that contained silylium ions **4⁺–6⁺** (Scheme 3, top). For character-


ization, the phases were separated and the remaining slurry was washed with a few drops of C₆D₆ and *n*-pentane. The remainder was fully dissolved in 1,2-C₆D₄Cl₂, and the homogeneous solution was subjected to NMR analysis (Scheme 3). Running those reactions directly in 1,2-C₆D₄Cl₂ was far less clean.

The collected ^{29}Si NMR data were correlated with independently prepared *i*Pr₃Si⁺ (**7⁺**)^[12b] and known [(Me₃Si)₂(μ-H)]⁺ (**8⁺**)^[2a] both having [CHB₁₁Cl₁₁][−] as counteranion (Scheme 3, bottom). The silylium ions **4⁺** and **5⁺** with a butylene (*n* = 2) or a propylene (*n* = 1) tether show chemical shifts similar to Reed's acyclic hydronium ion **8⁺**^[2a] (δ (^{29}Si) approx. 84 ppm) and Müller's system (cf. Scheme 2, bottom).^[3a] We therefore concluded that these are best represented as cyclic systems *closed-4⁺* and *closed-5⁺*, respectively. In turn, the silicon atoms in ethylene-tethered silylium ion **6⁺** (*n* = 0) are significantly more deshielded with δ (^{29}Si) = 107.3 ppm. This value lies between those of related hydronium ions and δ (^{29}Si) = 130.4 ppm of *i*Pr₃Si[CHB₁₁Cl₁₁][−] (**7⁺**) in 1,2-C₆D₄Cl₂ and could therefore be an average resonance signal. To learn whether this is due to an equilibrium between the closed and counteranion- or solvent-stabilized open forms of **6⁺**, we performed theoretical calculations at the B3LYP-D3(BJ)/cc-pVTZ + (PCM, benzene)//B3LYP-D3(BJ)/6-31G-(d,p) + (PCM, benzene) level of theory.^[14–16] Generally, these computations revealed that, out of those three, the closed forms represent the energetic minimum while the solvent adducts of the open forms are higher in energy than those of the counteranion (C₆H₆ or 1,2-C₆H₄Cl₂ versus [CHB₁₁Cl₁₁][−]). Importantly, a low free energy difference was only found between *closed-6⁺* and *open-6⁺* (ΔG = 1.3 kcal mol^{−1}). This means that the counteranion-stabilized open form of **6⁺** is energetically accessible in C₆H₆ solution. Conversely, *open-4⁺* and *open-5⁺* do not exist in solution state (ΔG = 3.9 and 5.5 kcal mol^{−1}, respectively). This trend was the same but more pronounced for the NMR solvent 1,2-C₆D₄Cl₂ (ΔG = 8.1 for **4⁺**, 9.4 for **5⁺**, and 4.7 kcal mol^{−1} for **6⁺**). This is in line with VT NMR measurements which did not indicate any change in the stabilization mode (closed forms only). The computed NMR chemical shifts agree with those obtained experimentally (see the Supporting Information).


Based on the above reasoning, we predicted that ethylene-tethered **6⁺** would be more likely to participate in another hydride abstraction than **4⁺** and **5⁺** with longer alkyl bridges. Indeed, **4⁺** and **5⁺** did not react with Ph₃C[CHB₁₁Cl₁₁][−] any further. However, the reaction of **6⁺** with the trityl salt was extremely slow, furnishing the desired bis(silylium) ion **9²⁺** in small quantities along with byproducts (Scheme 4). In turn, direct treatment of bis(hydrosilane) **3** with 2.0 equiv of Ph₃C[CHB₁₁Cl₁₁][−] afforded the dication **9²⁺** in 78% yield (gray box). We explain this dramatic difference by the poor solubility of the reactants in C₆D₆; preformed, solid **6⁺** is less prone to undergo the hydride abstraction than in situ-formed, dissolved **6⁺**.^[17] The new bis(silylium) ion was fully characterized by NMR spectroscopy, and its molecular structure was determined by X-ray single-crystal analysis (Figure 1).^[18] Different from the previously reported solvent-stabilized *i*Pr₃Si⁺ ion **7⁺** (Scheme 3, bottom), the cationic silicon centers are each stabilized by one of the counteranion's chlorine



reference compounds



Scheme 3. Synthesis and NMR spectroscopic characterization of cyclic hydronium ions. Unless noted otherwise, all NMR spectra were recorded in 1,2-C₆D₄Cl₂. CPMAS = cross polarization and magic-angle spinning. [a] Determined by a $^1\text{H}/^{29}\text{Si}$ -1D-CLIP-HSQMBC NMR experiment. [b] The molecular structure of *closed-6⁺* was determined by an X-ray diffraction analysis but its quality prevents its publication (see the Supporting Information). The CIF file was deposited with The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as a personal communication (CCDC 1990361).



Scheme 4. One-step and two-step approaches to a bis(silylium) ion.






Figure 1. Molecular structure of bis(silylium) ion 9^{2+} (thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: Si¹–Cl⁶ 2.3411(15), Si¹–C² 1.866(4), Si¹–C³ 1.855(5), Si¹–C⁶ 1.858(4) and C²–Si¹–C³ 112.68(19), C²–Si¹–C⁶ 116.25(19), C³–Si¹–C⁶ 120.2(2).

atoms. The *anti* conformation of the coordinated silicon centers is likely a consequence of both steric and charge-repulsion. The average C–Si–C angle is 116.4° and, hence, closer to a trigonal planar (120.0°) than a tetrahedral (109.5°) coordination geometry. The Si–Cl bond (2.341 Å) is longer than that of a typical covalent Si–Cl bond (2.072 Å) and even longer than that in 1,2- $\text{C}_6\text{D}_4\text{Cl}_2$ -stabilized iPr_3Si^+ (7^+) (2.33 Å).^[2a]

We then turned towards a reinvestigation of Müller's naphthalen-1,8-diyl system but were also not able to generate the corresponding bis(silylium) ion (cf. Scheme 2, top).^[3c] Precursor **10** was converted into the stable hydronium ions **11**⁺ in high yields with various trityl salts $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+[\text{WCA}]^-$ (Scheme 5, top). The aryl substitution typically brings about an upfield shift of about $\Delta\delta(^{29}\text{Si}) = 20$ ppm.^[9b,c] Relative to $\delta(^{29}\text{Si}) = 85.2$ ppm for **5**⁺, approx. $\delta(^{29}\text{Si}) = 63.5$ ppm for **11**⁺ independent of the weakly coordinating counteranion is in excellent agreement with this.

As the *peri* substitution pattern is potentially thwarting the establishment of another positive charge, we subjected regioisomeric bis(hydrosilanes) **12** and **14** to the one-step



Scheme 5. Regioisomeric naphthalene-based bis(hydrosilanes) as precursors for bis(silylium) ions. Unless noted otherwise, all NMR spectra were recorded in 1,2- $\text{C}_6\text{D}_4\text{Cl}_2$.

procedure (Scheme 5, bottom). However, we found that the counteranion had a profound effect on the dication formation of these regioisomers; **13**²⁺ and **15**²⁺ did not form with $[\text{CHB}_{11}\text{Cl}_{11}]^-$ as the counteranion. In turn, the reaction of **12** and **14** with 2.0 equiv of $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+[\text{CHB}_{11}\text{H}_5\text{Br}_6]^-$ afforded the bis(silylium) ions **13**²⁺ and **15**²⁺, respectively in good yields. Due to their poor solubility even in highly polar arene solvents, these bis(silylium) ions were characterized by NMR spectroscopy as the acetonitrile adducts.

The present work demonstrates that the generation of long-sought bis(silylium) ions is possible, provided that the two positive charges can dodge each other. This can either be achieved by conformational flexibility of the tether between those sites or by an appropriate predefined distance in rigid systems. The length of the flexible tether is crucial as it determines the stability of intermediate cyclic hydronium ions. With an ethylene bridge, the open form of such a hydronium ion exists in equilibrium to undergo another hydride abstraction. The new bis(silylium) ion has been characterized by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction. Future work will be directed towards the application of such superelectrophilic bidentate Lewis acids in catalysis or as receptors.^[5]

Acknowledgements

A.R. gratefully acknowledges the Berlin Graduate School of Natural Sciences and Engineering for a predoctoral fellowship (2018–2021). Q.W. and G.W. thank the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (2017–2019) and the China Scholarship Council (2019–2020), respectively, for postdoctoral

fellowships. The work was also funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy—EXC 2008—390540038—UniSysCat. All theoretical calculations were performed at the High-Performance Computing Center (HPCC) of Nanjing University. We also thank Dr. Sebastian Kemper (TU Berlin) for expert advice with the NMR measurements. M.O. is indebted to the Einstein Foundation Berlin for an endowed professorship.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: bidentate interaction · carboranes · density functional calculations · Lewis acids · silylium ions

- [1] For general reviews of silylium ion chemistry, see: a) V. Y. Lee, *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *88*, 351–369; b) V. Y. Lee, A. Sekiguchi in *Organosilicon Compounds, Vol. 1* (Ed.: V. Ya. Lee), Academic Press, Oxford, **2017**, pp. 197–230; c) T. Müller in *Structure and Bonding, Vol. 155* (Ed.: D. Scheschkewitz), Springer, Berlin, **2014**, pp. 107–162; d) T. Müller in *Science of Synthesis: Knowledge Updates 2013/3* (Ed.: M. Oestreich), Thieme, Stuttgart, **2013**, pp. 1–42.
- [2] For intermolecular bisilylium ions, see: a) S. P. Hoffmann, T. Kato, F. S. Tham, C. A. Reed, *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 767–769; b) M. Nava, C. A. Reed, *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 4798–4800; c) S. J. Connelly, W. Kaminsky, D. M. Heinekey, *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 7478–7481.
- [3] For intramolecular bisilylium ions, see: a) T. Müller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 3033–3036; *Angew. Chem.* **2001**, *113*, 3123–3126; b) A. Sekiguchi, Y. Murakami, N. Fukaya, Y. Kabe, *Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *33*, 530–531; c) R. Panisch, M. Bolte, T. Müller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9676–9682; d) A. Y. Khalimon, Z. H. Lin, R. Simionescu, S. F. Vyboishchikov, G. I. Nikonov, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 4530–4533; *Angew. Chem.* **2007**, *119*, 4614–4617; e) A. Schäfer, M. Reißmann, A. Schäfer, M. Schmidtman, T. Müller, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 9381–9386; f) L. Albers, J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, T. Müller, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 7970–7977; g) L. Albers, S. Rathjen, J. Baumgartner, C. Marschner, T. Müller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 6886–6892.
- [4] For gas-phase studies, see: a) M. Savoca, J. Langer, O. Dopfer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 1568–1571; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 1608–1611; b) M. A. R. George, M. Savoca, O. Dopfer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 15315–15328; c) M. A. R. George, O. Dopfer, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* **2019**, *435*, 51–60.
- [5] For authoritative overviews of bidentate Lewis acids, particularly isoelectronic bisboranes, see: a) W. E. Piers, G. J. Irvine, V. C. Williams, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, 2131–2142; b) M. Melaimi, F. P. Gabbaï, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **2005**, *53*, 61–99; c) L. Schweighauser, H. A. Wegner, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 14094–14103.
- [6] For a recent review on Lewis superacids, see: L. Greb, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 17881–17896.
- [7] For the application of silylium ions in catalysis, see: a) J. C. L. Walker, H. F. T. Klare, M. Oestreich, *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2020**, *4*, 54–62; b) P. Shaykhtudinova, S. Keess, M. Oestreich in *Organosilicon Chemistry: Novel Approaches and Reactions* (Eds.: T. Hiyama, M. Oestreich), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2019**, pp. 131–170; c) A. Schulz, A. Villinger, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 4526–4528; *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 4602–4604.
- [8] For an example, see: N. Lühmann, H. Hirao, S. Shaik, T. Müller, *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 4087–4096.
- [9] For substituent redistribution of silylium ions, see: a) A. Schäfer, M. Reissmann, A. Schäfer, W. Saak, D. Haase, T. Müller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 12636–12638; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 12845–12848; b) A. Schäfer, M. Reissmann, S. Jung, A. Schäfer, W. Saak, E. Brendler, T. Müller, *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 4713–4722; c) K. Müther, P. Hrobárik, V. Hrobáriková, M. Kaupp, M. Oestreich, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 16579–16594; d) L. Omann, B. Pudasaini, E. Irran, H. F. T. Klare, M.-H. Baik, M. Oestreich, *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 5600–5607; e) K. Bläsing, R. Labbow, D. Michalik, F. Reiß, A. Schulz, A. Villinger, S. Walker, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 1640–1652.
- [10] For recent reviews of weakly coordinating anions, see: a) S. P. Fisher, A. W. Tomich, S. O. Lovera, J. F. Kleinsasser, J. Guo, M. J. Asay, H. M. Nelson, V. Lavallo, *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 8262–8290; b) I. M. Riddlestone, A. Kraft, J. Schaefer, I. Krossing, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 13982–14024; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 14178–14221; c) T. A. Engesser, M. R. Lichtenthaler, M. Schleep, I. Krossing, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 789–899.
- [11] M. F. Ibad, P. Langer, A. Schulz, A. Villinger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 21016–21027.
- [12] a) Z. Xie, C.-W. Tsang, E. T.-P. Sze, Q. Yang, D. T. W. Chan, T. C. W. Mak, *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37*, 6444–6451; b) C.-W. Tsang, Q. Yang, E. T.-P. Sze, T. C. W. Mak, D. T. W. Chan, Z. Xie, *Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, *39*, 5851–5858; c) A. Hepp, R. Labbow, F. Reiß, A. Schulz, A. Villinger, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2018**, 2905–2914.
- [13] a) C. A. Reed, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2010**, *43*, 121–128; b) C. A. Reed, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *31*, 133–139.
- [14] a) A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652; b) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789; c) P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski, M. J. Frisch, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 11623–11627.
- [15] a) S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132*, 154104; b) S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2011**, *32*, 1456–1465.
- [16] J. Tomasi, M. Persico, *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 2027–2094.
- [17] The one-step protocol was also applied to bis(hydrosilanes) **1** and **2** but reactions were messy. With **1**, substituent redistribution occurred to considerable extent,^[9] and *i*Pr₃Si[CHB₁₁Cl₁₁] (**7**[CHB₁₁Cl₁₁]) was found as the major product.
- [18] CCDC 1989781 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Manuscript received: March 13, 2020

Accepted manuscript online: March 26, 2020

Version of record online: April 17, 2020