Pattern formation of dipolar colloids in rotating fields: layering and synchronization†
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We report Brownian dynamics (BD) simulation and theoretical results for a system of spherical colloidal particles with permanent dipole moments in a rotating magnetic field. Performing simulations at a fixed packing fraction and dipole coupling parameter, we construct a full non-equilibrium phase diagram as a function of the driving frequency (\(\omega_d\)) and field strength (\(B_0\)). This diagram contains both synchronized states, where the individual particles follow the field with (on average) constant phase difference, and asynchronous states. The synchronization is accompanied by layer formation, i.e., by spatial symmetry-breaking, similar to systems of induced dipoles in rotating fields. In the permanent dipole case, however, too large \(\omega_d\) yields a breakdown of layering, supplemented by complex changes of the single-particle rotational dynamics from synchronous to asynchronous behavior. We show that the limit frequencies \(\omega_d\) can be well described as a bifurcation in the nonlinear equation of motion of a single-particle rotating in a viscous medium. Finally, we present a simple density functional theory, which describes the emergence of layers in perfectly synchronized states as an equilibrium phase transition.

1 Introduction

The dynamics of anisotropic particles driven by time-dependent, magnetic or electric, external fields is currently a topic receiving much attention. Many experimental and theoretical studies in this area focus on the field-induced dynamics of an isolated nanoparticle such as a magnetic rod,\(^1\) a magnetic chain\(^6\) or filament,\(^5\) or an optically excitable nanorod\(^6\) in a viscous medium. Understanding the resulting single-particle rotational dynamics is particularly important for actuators,\(^7\) molecular switches, particles in optical traps,\(^8\) and in the more general context of microfluidics.\(^9\) From the theoretical side, these problems are often successfully analyzed on the basis of single-particle, nonlinear equations for the driven rotational motion in the presence of solvent-induced friction.\(^1-3,6\) Typically, the particle dynamics exhibit a “linear” regime at low driving frequencies, where the particle axis follows the field, and various types of nonlinear behavior at high frequencies, such as rotation against the torque.\(^4\) Many of these nonlinear phenomena, including transient behavior, such as conformal transitions\(^4\) of magnetic chains following a sudden switch-on of the driving field, can also be observed experimentally.\(^1,3\)

Apart from the single-particle dynamics, another current focus concerns the self-assembly behavior in colloidal many-particle systems that are exposed to rotating fields. Indeed, in material science, time-dependent fields are currently realized as a powerful tool to control self-assembly processes, which are an important prerequisite for synthesizing functional materials.\(^7,8\) A classical example in this context, first discussed by Martin et al.,\(^9\) is systems of paramagnetic (or polarizable) spherical particles in magnetic (electric) fields rotating in a plane. For sufficient field strength, both experiment and computer simulations\(^9-11\) reveal the formation of layers in the field plane, i.e., a spatial symmetry breaking induced by the rotating field. Indeed, a rotating in-plane field generates, on averaging over time, an inverted dipolar pair interaction with in-plane attraction and repulsion along the rotation axis.\(^11,12\) Therefore, the structures induced by planar rotating fields are markedly different from those observed in a constant and homogeneous field, which supports the formation of field-aligned chains (low densities)\(^13-15\) and bulk crystals.\(^16,17\)

The general idea of using time-dependent fields to tune pair interactions and thereby control the morphology of self-assembled structures has meanwhile become more and more popular (see ref. 18, 19), a recent example being the formation of self-healing membranes of superparamagnetic particles in tilted rotating fields.\(^20\) Interestingly, these self-assembly phenomena can often be explained from an equilibrium perspective involving the free energy and resulting phase behavior of a many-particle system in a time-averaged field. Clearly, the crucial assumption in adopting this perspective, which is also often exploited in
computer simulation studies (see e.g. ref. 9, 21), is that the particles follow the field synchronously. While this is obviously fulfilled in systems of induced dipoles, less is known about the corresponding behavior of particles with permanent dipole moments, such as the (ferromagnetic) particles of a ferrofluid. Here, the individual orientations can be different from the one of the rotating field and thus synchronization can break down. Some time ago, Murashov and Patey showed in a computer simulations study\(^22\) that layering can, in principle, also occur in systems of permanent dipoles. However, they considered only some selected state points.

In the present paper we use computer simulations and theoretical methods to explore, for a magnetic many-particle system, the link between the collective, self-assembly behavior on the one hand, and the single-particle dynamics on the other hand.

Specifically, we consider a ferrofluid subjected to a rotating in-plane field, where the ferrofluid is modeled by a system of dipolar soft spheres (DSS). The same model was used in the earlier simulation study of Murashov and Patey.\(^22\) Here, we investigate the driven DSS system both by Brownian dynamics (BD) computer simulations, which are described in Sec. 2, and by the driven DSS system both by Brownian dynamics (BD) computer simulations, which are described in Sec. 2, and by analyzing rotational diffusion constants. Furthermore, the angular velocity of particle \(i\), \(\Omega^i\) and \(\Omega^R\) are due to an external field, and \(\Omega^i_\parallel = T^i_\parallel i\mu/\mu_i^2\). Their Cartesian components \((\alpha, \beta = x, y, z)\) satisfy

\[
\left\langle F^0_\alpha(t) \right\rangle = 0
\]  
\[
\left\langle T^0_\alpha(t) \right\rangle = 0
\]

as well as

\[
\left\langle F^\alpha(t) F^\beta(t') \right\rangle = 6k_B T \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta}(t - t')
\]
\[
\left\langle T^\alpha(t) T^\beta(t') \right\rangle = 6k_B T \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta}(t - t').
\]

As eqn (6)–(9) show, the friction coefficients and the probability distributions of the random forces and torques are related via the fluctuation–dissipation theorem. This ensures that the system approaches a canonical distribution of states characterized by a constant temperature \(T\) in the absence of an external drive. To deal with the long-ranged dipolar interactions, we used the Ewald summation method with conducting boundaries.\(^24\) We have parallelized the evaluation of the Ewald sum in our simulation with OpenMP and MPI. The equations of motion were integrated with a Leapfrog algorithm.\(^25\)

The external field that the particles interact with rotates with frequency \(\omega_0\) in the \(x-\gamma\)-plane and is given by

\[
B(t) = B_0 (e \cos \omega_0 t + e', \sin \omega_0 t).
\]

For convenience, we make use of the following reduced units: field strength \(B_0 = (\sigma e^2 c^3)^{1/2} B_0\) angular frequency \(\omega_0 = (\sigma e^2 c^3)^{1/2} \omega_0\); density \(\rho' = \sigma c^3\); dipole moment \(\mu' = (\sigma e^2 c^3)^{-1/2} \mu;\) and moment of inertia \(I = (\sigma e^2 c^3)^{-1} I\). Unless stated otherwise, the simulations were carried out with 864 particles at density \(\rho' = 0.1\), dipole moment \(\mu' = 3\), moment of inertia \(I = 0.025\), and temperature \(T = k_B T_\alpha\). To verify our results, we also ran simulations with up to 4000 particles. The translational and rotational diffusion constant were chosen to be \(D = 0.1\) \((\sigma e^2 c^3)^{1/2}\) and \(D_r = 3(\sigma e^2 c^3)^{-1/2}\), respectively, and we used a timestep of \(\Delta t = 0.0025(\sigma e^2 c^3)^{1/2}\). These values are

\[
U^{SS}(r) = 4\varepsilon \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12}
\]  
\[
U^{SS}(r) = U^{SS}(r) - U^{SS}(r_c) + (r_c - r) \frac{d U^{SS}}{d r}(r_c).
\]
consistent with those chosen in earlier BD studies of rotating dipolar systems.\textsuperscript{22} We note, however, that the effects reported in the present paper also appear for other values of $D$ and $D_t$.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Zero field system

The zero field system that represents our starting point is characterized by a large dipolar coupling strength $\lambda = \mu^2/(k_B T \sigma^2) \approx 6.7$ and a relatively low density. As expected for such a strongly coupled system, the particles self-assemble into chain-like structures.\textsuperscript{15,26} This can be seen in the snapshot depicted in Fig. 1a. Our reason for considering a system of a coupling strength this high is that this seems to be a prerequisite for layer formation. Indeed, irrespective of the field strength, we did not observe any layering for values of $\lambda$ that are smaller than approximately 4.6 (at the temperature $T' = 1.35$).

Contrary to $\lambda$, our choice of the density is less restricted, since the layering phenomenon persists over a wide range of densities (at least up to $\rho^* = 0.4$). However, choosing the small density of $\rho^* = 0.1$ has the advantage that layers are easily discernible.

3.2 The layering effect

We now consider the same system in rotating fields of various strengths $B_0^*$ and frequencies $\omega_0^*$. For sufficiently large $B_0^*$ and not too high frequencies (see below), the particles arrange themselves into layers. An example of this is shown in Fig. 1b. This phenomenon was first explained by Halsey, Anderson and Martin.\textsuperscript{12} They realized that the time-averaged potential between two particles $i$ and $j$ that rotate with the same angular frequency (given by the external field) and are aligned with each other, i.e., rotate circularly in a synchronized fashion with

$$\mu(t) = \mu_j(t) = \mu(e_i \cos \omega_0 t + e_j \sin \omega_0 t),$$

is given by

$$U^{ID}(r_{ij}) = \tau^{-1} \int_{0}^{\tau} U^{ID}(r_{ij}, \mu(t), \mu_j(t)) \, dt = -\mu \left(1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta_{ij}\right).$$

$$\text{(12)}$$

In this equation, $U^{ID}$ is the dipole–dipole potential (see eqn (1)), $\tau = 2\pi/\omega_0$ is the oscillation period, and $\theta_{ij}$ is the angle between the interparticle vector $r_{ij}$ and the direction perpendicular to the plane of the field. As shown by the last part of eqn (12), the time-averaged potential corresponds to an inverted dipolar (ID) potential, which is attractive if the angle $\theta_{ij}$ satisfies $\cos^2 \theta_{ij} < 1/3$, i.e., if the particles $i$ and $j$ are approximately in the same plane with respect to the field. Conversely, if the angle $\theta_{ij}$ satisfies $1/3 < \cos^2 \theta_{ij}$, the particles repel each other. This direction dependence of the ID potential explains why layers are a favorable configuration for a driven system in which essentially all the particles rotate synchronously. Note that for the above argument to hold, the translational motion of the particles should be small compared to their rotational motion.\textsuperscript{12}

In this context it is interesting to inspect the translational structure within the layers. In Fig. 2 we present simulation snapshots illustrating the typical in-plane structure of the system at low and high driving frequencies, respectively. At low frequencies one observes the presence of chains with head-to-tail alignment of the dipole moments. These chains do not rotate with the field. Such a rotation would be impossible due to the simple fact that there are too many neighboring particles. Instead the particles arrange themselves into new chains with other particles once the original configuration becomes energetically unfavorable (cf. Fig. 2a, 2b, and the supplementary video†). This process of rearranging is only possible for slowly rotating fields. Note that chains are present in the layers at all times. With increasing $\omega_0^*$ the chains disappear and the structure becomes more disordered and increasingly homogeneous, as can be seen in Fig. 2c. Note, that the disappearance of the chains progresses slowly and continuously, which makes it difficult to determine a precise driving frequency after which chains do not form any more. Furthermore, there is no pronounced hexagonal order as observed in earlier studies,\textsuperscript{9} even though the particles tend to have six nearest neighbors at high $\omega_0^*$. This absence of pronounced in-plane order is probably a consequence of the low density considered ($\rho^* = 0.1$) and the Brownian random forces. Furthermore, depending on the initial conditions, we typically observe two or three layers in our simulation box ($N = 864$),
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**Fig. 1** (a) Snapshot of the system in zero field at $\rho^* = 0.1$, $T' = 1.35$, and $\mu^* = 3$. (b) Snapshot of a system in a layered state. The strength and frequency of the field are $B_0^* = 12$ and $\omega_0^* = 15$, respectively.
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**Fig. 2** Snapshot of the in-layer structure at $B_0^* = 9$, $\omega_0^* = 2$ (a) and $B_0^* = 10$, $\omega_0^* = 54$ (c). Snapshot (b) shows the layer in (a) after a quarter of the rotational period of the field has passed. The density within the layers can vary depending on the number of layers emerging.
which corresponds to an average vertical distance between the layers of about seven to ten particle diameters (see Fig. 1b).

In the following we aim to determine more precisely the range of frequencies and field strengths at which layering occurs. To do that, we need a suitably defined order parameter. We tested several ones and compared them with one another. The order parameter that we will use here is given by

$$\psi = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle n_i \rangle,$$

(13)

where $N$ is the total number of particles, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes a time-average, and $n_i$ is defined as follows: consider a sphere of radius $r_0$ around particle $i$. Divide that sphere into two parts, one of which is given by the points within the sphere whose distance vector to particle $i$ together with the $z$-axis encloses an angle $\Theta$ satisfying $-0.5 < \cos \Theta < 0.5$ (see Fig. 3). If there are more (less) particles in this equatorial volume than in the polar volume around particle $i$, set $n_i = 1$ ($-1$); if there are the same number of particles, set $n_i = 0$. Note that the radius $r_0$ was set to $8\sigma$. Smaller as well as larger radii $r_0$ decrease the performance of the order parameter as we found by comparing the order parameter with the actual order observed in the system.

Representative examples for the behavior of the resulting order parameter at constant angular frequency but increasing field strength are given in Fig. 4. As can be seen, in all the cases the value of $\psi$ grows with the field strength until it almost reaches a value of 1. Since the layers are usually not perfectly defined in our Brownian dynamics simulations, the order parameter typically takes on values that are slightly smaller than 1 even at very high field strengths. One also finds from Fig. 4 that there is a qualitative difference in the behavior of $\psi$ at high and low frequencies: the order parameter grows much more steeply at large frequencies, which means that the layers do not slowly emerge upon increasing the field strength but appear very rapidly.

By inspecting snapshots corresponding to a given value of the order parameter, it turned out that the value $\psi_0 = 0.6$ may serve as an (approximate) lower limit for layer formation.

Based on that criterion, we have scanned a broad range of frequencies and field strengths for the occurrence of layers. The results of this exploration of the parameter space are summarized in Fig. 5. Note that every simulation was started from a random configuration to avoid hysteresis-like effects.

The figure shows that the $\omega_0^* - B_0^*$ diagram is separated into a layered and a non-layered region. Upon increasing the frequency from zero, the boundary first remains at roughly constant field strength, until it begins to rise with the frequency. This behavior is mirrored in Fig. 4. The larger the frequency, the higher the field strength at which the order parameter attains large values.

In the following subsections, we will discuss the emergence and breakdown of layering in the different frequency regimes in more detail. Before doing so, it is worth to briefly comment on a technical issue encountered in our exploration of the parameter space (see Fig. 5) that concerns the behavior of the rotational temperature $T_{\text{rot}} = 1/(2(N-1))\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i^2$. Upon increasing the driving frequency $\omega_0^*$ from zero (at fixed $B_0^*$), we typically also find $T_{\text{rot}}$ to increase, while its translational counterpart $T_{\text{trans}} = 1/(3(N-1))\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i^2$ stays approximately constant (close to the input value $T$). Similar temperature drifts have been observed in other non-equilibrium systems such as fluids in shear flow. In the latter context, the temperature is often redefined with respect to the differences between the actual velocity of the particle and that of the flow field. Using a similar definition here (involving the difference between $\omega_0^*$ and $\omega_0^*)$, we find that this temperature is still not equal to $T$, but remains essentially constant over a broad range of frequencies. We also note that both the temperature drift and the actual location of the layer

![Fig. 3](image-url) Sketch of the polar and equatorial regions used in the definition of the order parameter.

![Fig. 4](image-url) The order parameter $\psi$ at constant angular frequency (a) $\omega_0^* = 1$, (b) 20, (c) 30, (d) 40.

![Fig. 5](image-url) Occurrence of layers depending on field strength and frequency of the driving field. The system parameters are chosen as described in Sec. 2.
boundary in the $\omega_B - B_0$ diagram depend on the chosen value of the rotational friction constant.

### 3.3 Magnetization dynamics

As a starting point to understand the rotational dynamics within the layered and unlayered states, respectively, we consider in this section the behavior of the system-averaged, i.e., macroscopic, magnetization vector $\mathbf{M}(t) / M_0 = \sum_i^N \mathbf{m}_i(t) / M_0$ where $M_0 = N \mu$. The time-dependence of the Cartesian components of $\mathbf{M}$ for three representative frequencies (and a large field strength) is illustrated in Fig. 6. Within the layered state ($\omega_u = 40$), the $x$- and $y$-components perform regular oscillations following those of the external field at constant phase difference. Furthermore, the amplitudes of $M_x / M_0$ and $M_y / M_0$ are close to their saturation value over all times. The $z$-component, on the other hand, remains essentially zero. Taken altogether, the magnetization in the layered regime, as illustrated by the data for $\omega_u = 40$, is negligible (as in the layered state). Interestingly, the change between the two behaviors of $\mathbf{M}(t)$ occurs rather suddenly. This is illustrated in Fig. 7, where we plot the absolute value of the total magnetization, i.e., $M / M_0 = |\mathbf{M}(t)| / M_0$ as a function of the frequency $\omega_u$ (at constant $B_0$). Also included are data for the in-plane magnetization $M_{||} = |(\mathbf{M}(t) - (e_x + e_y))|$. Consistent with Fig. 6c, we find that the total and in-plane magnetization are essentially identical at all frequencies. In particular, both quantities display a pronounced drop at $\omega_u \approx 56$ corresponding to the boundary of the layered regime in Fig. 5.

So far we have focussed on the behavior of the magnetization at a selected (large) field strength. However, motivated by the presence of an unlayered regime at small fields and frequencies (see Fig. 5), we plot in Fig. 8 the absolute value of $\mathbf{M}(t)$ as function of $B_0$ at various fixed values of $\omega_u$. The appearance of layers is indicated by the black circles, showing that a degree of magnetization of more than 80 percent is required for layer formation to occur. In the unlayered regime the values of $M / M_0$...
are clearly substantially smaller. Note, however, that at small
driving frequencies the increase of $M/M_0$ upon increasing $B_0^*$ is
not as sudden as that depicted in Fig. 7 (upon decreasing $\omega_0^*$).
Indeed, by comparing Fig. 8 and 4 we find that the magnetization
behaves quite similar to the layer order parameter $\psi$ discussed
before. This underlines our view that layer formation and
synchronous rotational motion are intimately related.

3.4 Microscopic rotational dynamics in the layered state

As mentioned earlier, the key argument for the appearance of the
layers is that the time-averaged interaction between two fully
synchronized rotating dipoles favors an in-plane configuration. In
the following, we will investigate in more detail to what extent
this assumption is actually fulfilled within the layered region
indicated in Fig. 5 on a microscopic level. To this end, we
consider the distribution $f$ of the phase differences $\phi_i$ between
the dipolar vector of particle $i$ in the $x$-$y$-plane and the external field.
More precisely, we define $f$ as

$$f(\phi) = \frac{1}{N\Delta \phi} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Theta(\phi_i - n\Delta \phi) - \Theta(\phi_i - (n+1)\Delta \phi) \right], \quad (15)$$

where $\Theta$ is the Heaviside function, $\Delta \phi$ is the interval length to
which we want to resolve the distribution, $n$ is a positive integer
or zero that satisfies $n\Delta \phi \leq \phi < (n+1)\Delta \phi$, and, as before, $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes a time-average.

We start by considering systems that are driven by fields of
considerable strength ($B_0^* = 10$) with frequencies that admit layer
formation (cf. Fig. 5). Results for the distribution $f$ at three such
frequencies $\omega_0^*$ are given in Fig. 9. For each value of $\omega_0^*$ one
observes a single, pronounced peak, reflecting a synchronized
“state”, in which the particles follow the field at constant phase
difference. Note that the larger $\omega_0^*$, the larger the phase difference
between the particles and the field. This is not too surprising since
an increase in the driving frequency implies an increase in the
rotational friction due to the (implicit) solvent and the presence
of neighboring particles. Further note that even though eqn (15)
contains a time-average, the phase distributions of these layered
systems are essentially independent of time.

To investigate the degree to which the particles actually rotate
in the plane of the field, we also consider the distribution of the $z$-
components of the angular frequencies

$$g(\omega_z^*) = \frac{1}{N\Delta \omega} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Theta(\omega_z^* - n\Delta \omega) - \Theta(\omega_z^* - (n+1)\Delta \omega) \right].$$

In an ideal situation, in which the dipoles rotate perfectly with the
field, the distribution $g$ would have a single, sharp peak at
$\omega_z^* = \omega_0^*$. Simulation results for $g$ in the true many-particle system
are shown in Fig. 10, where we have picked out the “states”
already considered in Fig. 9. As expected in the layered regime,
the functions $g$ are characterized by one central peak around
$\omega_z^* = \omega_0^*$. However, we also see that there is a significant
broadness in the distribution (as there is in the corresponding
peaks of $f$).

Finally, above a certain frequency, the layers disappear. This is
reflected in the emergence of a double-peaked structure in the
distribution of the phase differences, as illustrated in Fig. 11a.
Moreover, we found that the non-averaged distribution of the phase
differences is not independent of the time any more. However,
since we could not identify any systematic time-
dependence in this regime, we restrict ourselves to considering
the averaged distribution. The first peak in $f$ at $\phi = \pi/4$ is due to
particles that can still temporarily follow the field, whereas
particles that are not able to do so any more cause the structure
of the rest of the distribution. The breakdown of layering is also
visible in the distribution $g$. Contrary to what is seen in a layered
system, the angular frequencies of the majority of the particles
are distributed around $\omega_z^* = 0$, as shown in Fig. 11b. The much
smaller peak at approximately the frequency of the external drive
shows that only a small fraction of the particles follow the field at
any given time. This fraction is further decreased as the frequency $\omega_0^*$ of the driving field increases. Typical distributions
of $f$ and $g$ at values of $\omega_0^*$ outside the layered regime are shown in
Fig. 12a and 12b, respectively. Note that the roughly symmetric
distribution of $\omega_z^*$ around approximately zero in Fig. 12b
indicates that the particles are as likely to rotate in the direction of
the field as they are to rotate in the opposite direction.
Further note that at the large values of $B_0^*$ considered in this section, the transition between states with the particles following the field at fixed phase difference and states where this is not true any more happens in a very small range of frequencies.

### 3.4.1 Effective single-particle theory

To understand the character of the high-frequency boundary between layered and non-layered states in more detail, we now aim to construct an effective theory that describes a single dipolar particle rotating in a viscous medium. A similar consideration has been suggested for optically torqued nanorods by Shelton et al.\textsuperscript{6} Clearly, such a single-particle approach cannot grant us direct insight into the formation of layers. However, it may help us to improve our understanding of the rotational dynamics isolated from many-particle effects. For simplicity, we assume that the rotational motion of the particle is restricted to the plane of the field and that it experiences rotational friction with friction constant $g$. Then the rotational equation of motion is given by

$$I\ddot{\phi} + \gamma \dot{\phi} = -\frac{\omega_0}{\omega_c} - B_0 \sin(\phi), \tag{16}$$

where $\phi$ is the phase difference between the direction of the external field and the orientation of the dipole. We first consider the simplified case of negligible moments of inertia, i.e., an overdamped situation. Then eqn (16) reduces to the first order equation

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_c} - \sin(\phi), \tag{17}$$

where $\omega_c = \mu B_0 / \gamma$ and $\tau = \omega_c t$. This nonlinear differential equation appears in various contexts such as the description of overdamped pendula, superconducting Josephson junctions, and the synchronized emission of light by fireflies.\textsuperscript{6,28} For $0 \leq \omega_0 < \omega_c$ it has two fixed points characterized by $\phi = 0$ (i.e., constant phase difference): one solution is a global attractor with $\phi = \arcsin(\omega_0 / \omega_c)$, and the other one is unstable with $\phi = \pi - \arcsin(\omega_0 / \omega_c)$. These two solutions correspond to the phase differences at which the torque due to friction equals the torque that is due to the field. At $\omega_0 = \omega_c$, i.e., at $\phi = \pi / 2$, the two solutions form a saddle-node bifurcation and there are no fixed points for $\omega_0 > \omega_c$. At these high frequencies, the maximal torque that can be exerted by the field is insufficient to balance the frictional torque. The solution emerging after the bifurcation is a limit cycle with $\phi > 0$.

To which extent does the single-particle approach describe the true many-particle system of our BD simulations? In Fig. 13a, the frequencies $\omega_c^*$ (with $\gamma \equiv \xi_B$, see eqn (5)) are plotted into the $\omega_0^* - B_0^*$ state diagram (Fig. 5). At large frequencies $\omega_0^*$ and field...
already at frequencies \( \omega < \omega_c \). Thereby the synchronized state could be destabilized to that of the boundary of the layered regime. This supports the idea that it is the (rotational) friction which eventually yields the breakdown of the layering by preventing the particles from performing a synchronized rotation with the field.

A further observation from Fig. 13a is that the true boundary frequencies (at given \( B_c \)) are somewhat smaller than \( \omega_c \). One seemingly obvious reason for these deviations is that the effective theory neglects any many-particle effects. Moreover, it does not take the Browninan random contributions into account that mimic the solvent “kicks” in eqn (5). Both these factors could introduce perturbations of the effective field that acts on a particle. Thereby the synchronized state could be destabilized already at frequencies \( \omega < \omega_c \). However, as it turns out, the more significant reason for the premature stop of layering is that the BD equations of motion involve (rotational) inertial terms, which are neglected in our single-particle approach.

To check this point, we have performed additional BD simulations with a lower moment of inertia \( (I = 0.01) \). The resulting frequencies characterizing the boundary of the layered state are shown in Fig. 13b along with the original result \( (I = 0.025) \) and the line \( \omega_c \). Clearly, decreasing the moment of inertia moves the true boundary substantially closer to the single-particle result.

Finally, we note that the influence of the inertial (rotational) term can also be captured within our effective single particle theory. For \( I \neq 0 \), eqn (16) can be written as

\[
\frac{d^2 \phi}{dt^2} + \nu \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \omega_0 - \sin \phi
\]

with \( \nu = \gamma / \sqrt{\mu B_0 I} \) and \( \nu' = \mu B_0 / I T \). Similar to (16), this differential equation has a bifurcation at \( \omega_0 \) which means that the location of the line \( \omega_0 \) in Fig. 13a remains unchanged. As before, the only stable solution at driving frequencies that are larger than \( \omega_c \), is a limit cycle. But additionally, for sufficiently small \( \nu \), it has a second bifurcation for some \( \omega' \) with \( \omega' < \omega_c \) as shown by Argentina et al. while investigating the transition between annihilation and preservation of colliding waves. This second bifurcation introduces a regime in which the limit cycle can coexist with the stable rotation. From the perspective of a many-particle system, one may speculate that the presence of the second solution perturbs the rotation with constant phase difference (i.e., \( \phi = 0 \)).

### 3.5 A density functional approach to layering in a perfectly synchronized system

We now consider systems at relatively low driving frequencies \( (\omega_0 \lesssim 30) \), where, for sufficiently large field strengths \( B_c \), the dipole vectors can follow the field in a perfectly synchronized fashion (see the discussion in the preceding section). According to our “phase” diagram in Fig. 5, the field strength required to induce such synchronous and, at the same time, layered states, is about \( B_0 \approx 4–6 \) for \( \omega_0 \lesssim 30 \). The corresponding dipole–field coupling parameter \( \mu B_0 / k_B T = \mu B_0 / I T \approx 12 \) is significantly larger than the dipole–dipole coupling parameter \( (\lambda \approx 6.7) \). Nevertheless, as seen in Fig. 4a and b as well as Fig. 8, increasing \( B_0 \) from zero at low driving frequencies yields a rather slow increase of the order parameter \( \psi \) and the magnetization amplitude.

Given the apparent interconnectedness between the rotational dynamics of the individual dipoles and the layering of the particles, we ask in the present section whether synchronization leads automatically to layering. Indeed, even in a perfectly rotating system, one would expect that the spatial symmetry breaking associated with layering yields a decrease of translational entropy and thus may be unfavorable.

To investigate this question we employ equilibrium density functional theory (DFT) for a system in which the dipole rotations are perfectly synchronized. Under such conditions the particles effectively interact via the time-averaged (inverted) dipolar potential given in eqn (12). By using this potential, the problem thus reduces to searching for an equilibrium phase transition in a system with effectively static interactions.

Our density functional approach is based on the perturbation expansion of the free energy originally proposed by Ramakrishnan and Yussouff in the context of fluid–solid transitions. Up to second order in the density, the difference between the Helmholtz free energy of a volume \( V \) of a system...
with non-uniform density $\rho(r)$ and a reference system with homogeneous density $\rho_0$ is given by:

$$\Delta F = \frac{1}{\beta V} \int d^3r \left[ \log(\Lambda \rho(r)) - 1 \right] - \frac{1}{\beta V} \int d^3r \rho_0 \left[ \log(\Lambda^3 \rho_0) - 1 \right] - \frac{1}{2\beta V} \int d^3r_1 \int d^3r_2 c(r_1 - r_2) \Delta \rho(r_1) \Delta \rho(r_2). \quad (19)$$

In eqn (19), $\Delta \rho(r) = \rho(r) - \rho_0$ with $\int d^3r \Delta \rho(r) = 0$, $\Lambda$ is the thermal wavelength, and $c(r)$ is the direct correlation function of the homogeneous system.

Here we employ the random phase approximation (RPA) to calculate the direct correlation function. Assuming a hard sphere interaction in addition to the inverse dipolar potential $U_{10}$ (eqn (12)), the RPA amounts to setting

$$c(r) = \begin{cases} c_{PY}(r), & r \leq \sigma \\ -\beta U_{10}(r), & r > \sigma, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

where we used the Percus–Yevick direct correlation function, $c_{PY}$, for the hard-sphere part. Note that within the RPA, the effects of the contributions of the long-ranged inverse dipolar interaction are treated in a mean-field fashion. To check this point, we have also calculated $c(r)$ numerically by solving the mean-spherical (MSA) integral equations. However, the changes in the free energies were found to be marginal.

As a simple ansatz for the density profile in the layered state, we use

$$\rho(r) = \rho(z) = \rho_0 + \tilde{\rho}\cos(kz). \quad (21)$$

Inserting this ansatz into eqn (19), we find

$$\Delta F = \frac{1}{\beta} \int dz \rho(z) \log \left( \frac{\rho(z)}{\rho_0} \right) - \lambda_1 \frac{\tilde{\rho}^2}{4\sigma} \tilde{c}(k), \quad (22)$$

where $\Delta F$ is the free energy of the volume $A\lambda_1$, $A$ is an area in the $x$-$y$-direction and $\lambda_1 = 2\pi/\ell k$. Further, $\tilde{c}$ is the Fourier transform of $c$ and $\tilde{c}(k) \equiv \tilde{c}(ke_x)$. In the RPA, we have

$$\tilde{c}(k) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr r j_0(kr) c(r) + \mu^* \frac{j_0(k\sigma)}{k\sigma}. \quad (23)$$

where $j_n$ are spherical Bessel functions of order $n$. (For the treatment of the dipolar interactions in eqn (23), see ref. 33.) We now use eqn (22) to search for a phase transition between the homogeneous and the layered state. In principle, this search requires a minimization of $\Delta F/A$ with respect to both the parameters $\tilde{\rho}$ and $k$ that characterize the inhomogeneity of the system (see eqn (21)). It turns out, however, that $\Delta F/A$ becomes minimal with respect to $k$ for $k \to 0$, which corresponds to an infinite distance between the layers. Clearly, this is not compatible with the implicit assumption of a finite wavelength. Therefore, we have fixed the parameter $k = 2\pi/\lambda_1$ to physically reasonable values, i.e., to values suggested by our BD simulations. At $\rho_0 = 0.1$, we find an average layer distance of approximately 7.2σ (see below). This leaves the coefficient $\tilde{\rho}$ as the only minimization parameter. Results for the function $\Delta F(\tilde{\rho})(A\lambda_1)$ with fixed distance $\lambda_1 = 7.2\sigma$ between the layers at various values of the parameter $\mu^*$ are plotted in Fig. 14a.

The different curves in Fig. 14a reveal a behavior typical of a second-order phase transition. For $\mu^* \leq 2.27$, the free energy has only one minimum at $\tilde{\rho} = 0$ corresponding to an homogeneous state. This changes at $\mu^* \approx 2.27$: for larger values of $\mu^*$, the solution at $\tilde{\rho} = 0$ represents a maximum, and the only minimum occurs for $\tilde{\rho} > 0$. The corresponding negative values of $\Delta F/A$ indicate that it is indeed the layered state which is now globally stable.

We have repeated the DFT calculations for a number of densities in the range 0.01 $\leq \rho_0 \leq 0.4$. To find reasonable values for the corresponding wavelengths $\lambda_1$ in the layered state, we ran BD simulations at fixed dipole moment $\mu^* = 3.4$, frequency $\omega_0 = 8$, and field strength $B_0 = 50$. With this choice of the parameters, the particles are almost perfectly aligned, justifying the key assumption of our DFT approach. Fitting the resulting distances as functions of $\rho_0$, we found the approximate relation $d\sigma \approx 1.05\rho^{-0.84}$, which was then used as an input in the DFT (i.e., $\lambda_1 = 7.2\sigma$).
The resulting phase diagram in the $\rho_0-\mu^*$-plane is plotted in Fig. 14b. It is seen that the DFT predicts a layering transition for all but the smallest densities ($\rho_0 \geq 0.01$) in the shown parameter range, with the actual values of $\mu^*$ varying substantially with $\rho_0$. Indeed, the lowest threshold is found at $\rho_0 = 0.2$. Also shown in Fig. 14b are BD results for the appearance of layers in nearly perfectly synchronized systems ($\omega_0 = 8, B_0 = 50$) at various values of $\mu^*$. As in Sec. 3.2, the presence of layers was detected on the basis of the order parameter defined in eqn (10), yet with a slightly different definition of the cutoff radius entering the order parameter ($r_0 = d$). Comparing BD and DFT, it is seen that the DFT predicts the true phase boundary in perfectly synchronized systems in a qualitatively correct manner (including the strong increase of $\mu^*$ upon $\rho_0 \rightarrow 0$). Moreover, the DFT results are also quite reasonable from a quantitative point of view.

From a physical perspective, clearly the most important conclusion is that even in a perfectly synchronized system, a sufficient decrease of interaction energy (i.e., a sufficiently large dipolar coupling strength) stemming from the time-averaged dipolar potential is required to overcome the entropy cost due to layering.

Finally, we briefly discuss our DFT results in the light of a recent Monte Carlo study by Smallenburg and Dijkstra,\textsuperscript{21} who obtained full equilibrium phase diagrams of systems interacting with inverted dipolar interactions. To model the short-range part of the interaction, Smallenburg and Dijkstra used either just hard spheres or hard spheres with an additional Yukawa repulsion.\textsuperscript{21} In the first case, layer-like structures were only observed in the gas–liquid coexistence region. On the contrary, the Yukawa system exhibits a stable layered phase with fluid-like in-plane structure. Comparing these latter results to our DFT predictions, we find that the predicted strength of the inverted dipolar interactions required for layer formation is indeed comparable. On the other hand, we find the onset of layer formation at much lower densities. Apart from the obvious approximations in our theory, we also attribute these deviations to the fact that the repulsive Yukawa interaction used in ref. 21 is much stronger than our soft sphere one.

4 Conclusions

In this study we have combined BD computer simulations, an effective single-particle theory, and an (equilibrium) density functional approach to explore the dynamic behavior of systems of dipolar particles in planar rotating fields.

One main result from our BD simulations is a non-equilibrium “phase” diagram identifying the domain of layered states in the $\omega_0-B_0$ plane (at constant particle density and dipolar coupling strength). At low driving frequencies, the change from unlayered to layered (and fully synchronized) structures occurring upon increase of $B_0$ is related to a quasi-equilibrium phase transition, i.e., a many-particle phenomenon. The transition is induced by the competition between the time-averaged, inverted dipolar interactions favoring in-plane configurations and the loss of translational entropy accompanying the one-dimensional translational order. While this competition also occurs for systems of polarizable or superparamagnetic particles, the additional complication in the present system of permanent dipoles is that the field first needs to overcome the dipolar fluctuations. Though we have neglected this issue in our DFT approach, we would expect that the fluctuations just shift the transition predicted by the DFT towards larger field strength.

Completely different behavior is found at high frequencies and field strengths. Under these conditions, the picture of synchronously rotating dipoles (with constant phase difference relative to the field) breaks down. Instead, one observes a mixture of rotating and counter-rotating or resting particles, as our analysis of various angle distributions reveals. The desynchronization induces, at the same time, a breakdown of the translational, layered structure. Despite this complex many-particle behavior, we have shown that the boundary can be well described in terms of the critical frequency $\omega_c(B_0)$ that arises from a bifurcation in an effective single-particle approach for the rotational motion in a viscous medium. This indicates that the appearance of the high-frequency boundary is essentially a friction-induced effect.

A similar frequency-induced desynchronization effect has recently been discussed by H"artel et al.,\textsuperscript{23} who investigated a system of interacting elongated particles in a rotating electric field \textit{via} dynamic density functional theory. Assuming a constant number density, the important dynamic variable within the density functional approach is the orientational distribution as a function of time. At low and very high frequencies, the distribution behaves similar to our distribution in that there is either a single peak (reflecting synchronized motion with constant phase difference) or no peak at all. In the transition regime, however, H"artel et al. detected various new dynamic states characterized by time-dependent oscillations and splitting of the peak in the distribution as well as an overtaking by the driving field. In the present study we did not observe such states, not even when looking at the time-dependence of our orientational distributions (or the magnetization). It remains to be investigated whether these qualitative differences in the rotational motion of anisotropic many-particle systems are just due to differences in the specific model system, or due to the fact that our results are based on a microscopic approach rather than on the density field approach used in ref. 23. Indeed, the relation between the microscopic and mesoscopic dynamics in driven systems is an issue also discussed in other, related contexts, such as the shear-induced dynamics of nanorods.\textsuperscript{24}

We should also stress the differences between the behavior of our system, where the particles carry permanent dipole moments, and systems of \textit{induced} dipoles such as suspensions of paramagnetic or polarizable particles. In the latter case, the issue of synchronization and, more generally, the rotational dynamics of individual particles is clearly irrelevant since the induced dipole moments are by definition parallel to the field. Note, however, that our density functional calculations presented in Sec. 3.5, which are based on the assumption of perfect synchronization, can be applied to systems of induced dipoles without any changes.

Finally, it is worth to briefly comment on the relevance of our dimensionless model parameters in the context of real systems. The equilibrium parameters considered here (density $\rho' = 0.1$, dipolar coupling strength $\lambda = 6.7$) correspond to those of a strongly coupled ferrofluid exhibiting chain formation.\textsuperscript{14} Regarding the driving field, however, most of our dimensionless frequencies $\omega_0$ are probably beyond the currently accessible
range. In many experiments involving rotating fields, the size of the (typically superparamagnetic) particles considered is about 1 μm.\(^4\) A driving frequency of \(\omega_0 = 10\) (which is well within the layered domain) then corresponds to an actual frequency of about 10 kHz if we assume room temperature (\(T = 293\) K) and a mass density of 5 g cm\(^{-3}\)). This is 1–2 orders of magnitude larger than the frequencies used in the literature.\(^4\)\(^4\) Ferrocolloidal particles, which have permanent dipoles (such as the ones considered here), are often much smaller with sizes of about 10 nm. In that case, \(\omega_0 = 10\) corresponds to a driving frequency of about 1 GHz.

These considerations suggest that realistic driven systems will be fully synchronized and layered according to our “phase” diagram in Fig. 5. We note, however, that the actual location of the desynchronization line encountered upon increasing \(\omega_0\) depends on the friction constant used in our BD simulations; \(i.e.,\) increasing the friction constant shifts the line towards lower frequencies (consistent with the single-particle theory). Moreover, we have neglected in our study the many-particle character of the hydrodynamic interactions induced by the solvent. We would expect these interactions to effectively increase the friction and thus shift the boundary towards even lower frequencies. Clearly, it would be very interesting to actually incorporate such interactions by using refined simulation methods such as, \(e.g.,\) stochastic rotation dynamics.\(^3\) Hydrodynamic interactions may also be relevant to better explore phenomena such as chain-to-cluster transitions that have been revealed by recent studies.\(^4\)

These issues, as well as the dynamic behavior in even more complex field geometries, will be the subject of future studies.

5 Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the DFG within the research training group RTG 1558 *Nonequilibrium Collective Dynamics in Condensed Matter and Biological Systems*, project B1.

References