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“La terre nous en apprend plus long sur nous mêmes que tous les livres. Parce qu'elle nous résiste. L'homme se découvre quand il se mesure avec l'obstacle.”

Antoine de Saint-Exupéry - *Terre des homme*
Abstract

This PhD thesis is divided into three parts: (1) the experimental incorporation of Th in monazite and xenotime, (2) the behaviour of the monazite structure under temperature-induced healing, and (3) the experimental resetting of the U-Pb geochronological system in monazite.

(1) The Th distribution between monazite and xenotime as a result of the Th + Si = REE + P substitution mechanism was experimentally determined in the T-P-range of 600 to 1100°C and 2Kbar. Starting mixtures consisted of gels and were composed of equal amounts of CePO₄ and YPO₄ with addition of 10, 20 and 50 mole % of ThSiO₄. Run products were analysed using AEM and XRD. At all temperatures, the ThSiO₄ component is partitioned almost exclusively into monazite for a ThSiO₄ component of 10 and 20 mole %. Xenotime is apparently ThSiO₄-free. In the T-range 600°C-900°C and for ThSiO₄ = 50 mole %, thorite is additionally formed indicating ThSiO₄ saturation within monazite at these conditions. At 1000°C, only monazite and a small amount of xenotime crystallised. No thorite was observed.

(2) The healing of radiation damage in a natural and chemically homogeneous concordant (474±1 Ma) monazite has been experimentally investigated (500-1200°C/~7days). XRD, TEM, Raman microprobe and Cathodoluminescence analysis were performed. The unheated monazite has a mosaic structure consisting of two domains corresponding to two monazite crystals with different lattice parameters. (A) domains correspond to well-crystallised areas where He atoms are trapped, thus inducing their lattice expansion. (B) domains are interpreted to exhibit a He-free distorted monazite crystal lattice which can be referred to old alpha-recoil tracks. With increasing temperature, He diffuses out of the monazite lattice, thus inducing its relaxation. Additionally, the nm-sized defect domains (B) are getting healed. The complete healing is achieved at 900°C after 7 days.

(3) In order to investigate the influence of fluid composition and temperature on resetting, abraded fragments (200-400 µm) from monazite used in (2) were experimentally treated (800-1000°C/14-39 days) within solutions of different compositions (H₂O up to 1200°C/5 days, NaCl, SrCl₂ CaCl₂-fluids and a Pb-spike-fluid). Products were analysed using SEM, EMP, SIMS and ID-TIMS. For all runs, EMP traverses revealed no Pb-diffusion profiles. Significant overgrowths of newly formed monazite occurred only in the 1000°C experiments when either CaCl₂ or Pb-bearing fluids were present. For CaCl₂, inherited core and newly formed Ca-rich and Pb-free monazite rim were produced by dissolution/precipitation. Significant discordancy was only observed when grains were treated with SrCl₂ (16%) and CaCl₂ (68%) solutions at 1000°C. Finally, experiments performed in the presence of Pb-standard produced sub-concordant monazite with a ²⁰⁷⁹Pb/²⁰⁶⁹Pb apparent age older than prior to the experiment indicating Pb-contamination by the fluid.
Zusammenfassung

Die vorgelegte Dissertation beschäftigt sich mit (1) der Th Verteilung zwischen Monazit und Xenotim, (2) der Veränderung der Monazit Struktur beim Aufheizen, und (3) dem „Resetting“ des U-Pb Systems in Monaziten.


(2) Die Ausheilung von Strahlungsdefekten in einem natürlichen, homogenen, bei 474 ± 1 Ma konkordanten Monazit, wurde unter Verwendung von XRD, TEM, Raman und Kathodoluminescenz experimentell untersucht (500-1200°C/~7Tage). Der unbehandelte Monazit besteht aus einem Mosaik zweier Domänten (A) und (B), die zwei Monaziten mit unterschiedlichen Gitterkonstanten entsprechen. Die Domänen (A) entsprechen gut kristallisierten Bereichen, in denen He Atome, die eine Gitterexpansion induzieren, eingeschlossen sind. Die Domänen (B) entsprechen einem beschädigten Gitter (ohne He), dessen Defekte durch Alpha-Rückstoß Nuclei verursacht wurden. Während der Ausheilung, diffundiert das He aus dem Monazit-Gitter und induziert eine Gitterrelaxation. Gleichzeitig werden die defektreichen Domänen (B) ausgeheilt. Die komplette Heilung ist bei 900 nach 7 Tagen erreicht.

Résumé

Cette thèse présente les résultats d’étude expérimentales sur (1) le partage du Th entre la monazite et le xenotime, (2) l’effet du recuit sur la structure de la monazite ainsi que (3) la remise à zéro des systèmes géochronologiques U-Pb dans la monazite.

(1) Le partage du Th entre la monazite et le xenotime a été déterminé expérimentalement (600-1100°C/2Kbar) en considérant le mécanisme de substitution suivant: Th + Si = REE + P. Le matériel de départ est un gel constitué d’un mélange de CePO₄ et YPO₄ (1:1) plus 10, 20 ou 50 mole % de ThSiO₄. Les produits sont analysés au MET et en DRX. Quelque soit la température et pour une composition initiale de 10 ou 20 mole % de ThSiO₄, le Th est presque entièrement réparti dans la monazite. Entre 600 et 900°C et pour une composition initiale de 50 mole % de ThSiO₄, la cristallisation de la thorite montre une saturation en Th de la monazite. À 1000°C, seuls la monazite et un peu de xénotime sont observés; la thorite est absente.

(2) Le recuit des dégâts d’irradiation dans une monazite naturelle, homogène chimiquement et concordante à 474 ± 1 Ma, a été étudié expérimentalement (500-1200°C/~7 jours). Les produits ont été analysés en DXR, MET, Raman et cathodoluminescence. La monazite non-chauffée révèle une structure en “mosaïque” composée de 2 domaines, qui sont 2 cristaux de monazites de paramètres de maille différents. Les domaines (A) correspondent aux domaines parfaitement cristallisés, où l’hélium emprisonné provoque une expansion du réseau. Les domaines (B) correspondent à des domaines exempts d’hélium, où le réseau a été détérioré par les noyaux de recul-alpha. Lors du recuit de la monazite l’hélium diffuse hors du cristal, ce qui induit une relaxation du réseau dans les domaines (A). En même temps, les domaines (B), défectueux, sont recuits. À 900°C, le réseau de la monazite est entièrement recuit.

(3) Afin d’étudier l’effet de fluides et de la température sur le “resetting” des systèmes U-Pb dans la monazite, des fragments abrasés (200-400 µm) de l’échantillon utilisé en (2) ont été chauffés (800-1000°C/14-39 jours) en présence de solutions de diverses compositions (eau pure jusqu’à 1200°C/5 jours, fluides à NaCl, SrCl₂, CaCl₂ et standard de Pb) puis analysés au MEB, EMP, SIMS et ID-TIMS. Quelle que soient les expériences aucun profil de diffusion du Pb n’est observé (EMP). En présence de fluide à CaCl₂ ou à standard de Pb et uniquement pour les expériences réalisées à 1000°C on observe des surcroissances. À 1000°C et dans un fluide à CaCl₂ on observe une zonation, coeur hérité et bordure enrichie en Ca et exempt de Pb, formée par dissolution/précipitation. Des discordances significatives sont observées uniquement pour les expériences réalisées à 1000°C dans les fluides à SrCl₂ (16%) ou CaCl₂ (68%). Enfin, l’utilisation du fluide à standard de Pb induit un vieillissement des monazites initiales, ce qui indique une contamination de la monazite par ce fluide.
Introduction

“Le savant n’est pas l’homme qui fournit les vraies réponses; c’est celui qui pose les vraies questions.”

Claude Lévi-Strauss - *Le Cru et le cuit*
INTRODUCTION

Rare earth elements (REE), Y, Th and U are very powerful tools for modelling petrogenetic processes in granites. Accessory minerals, like zircon, monazite, apatite, allanite, xenotime, Th-orthosilicates (thorite and huttonite), control the behaviour from these elements. For example, depletion of LREE in granite can be attributed to crystallisation of the LREE-orthophosphate monazite. In particular, the REE-orthophosphates monazite and xenotime are in the centre of our interest. Monazite occurs in many pelitic schists and gneisses of upper amphibolite and granulite metamorphic grade and pelitic compositions, and in metaluminous to peraluminous granitoid rocks (Overstreet, 1967; Parrish, 1990; Nabelek et al., 1995; Franz et al., 1996). This LREE-phosphate contains also high U and Th content (1.5 wt.% UO₂ and 14 wt.% ThO₂). Surprisingly, minor importance has been given to the HREE-Y-orthophosphate xenotime, which also may incorporate relatively high amounts of U (2 wt.% UO₂) and, to a somewhat minor extent, also Th (< 1 wt.% ThO₂) (values from metapelite after Franz et al., 1996). Xenotime also occurs in granitoids and metamorphic rocks too and was found together with monazite for a large range of metamorphic and magmatic conditions. The compositions of these coexisting minerals depend on bulk composition and on the partitioning coefficients between them. These coefficients are pressure and temperature dependent and therefore appropriate for use as geothermobarometer (Franz et al., 1996; Gratz and Heinrich, 1997; Heinrich et al., 1997; Andrehs and Heinrich, 1998).

The LREE Sm-Nd, U-Pb, and Th-Pb are very powerful geochronometers. Monazite is widely used in U-Th-Pb geochronology to determine the timing of magmatic and metamorphic events (Parrish, 1990). This is because monazite contains very high U and Pb contents and commonly occurs in peraluminous rocks, where zircon often is full of inherited cores and thus not useful for U-Pb dating. Mostly, ²⁰⁶⁰Pb/²³⁸U and ²⁰⁷⁰Pb/²³⁵U ages in monazites are concordant. However, discordant U-Pb ages have also been reported (Cocherie et al., 1998; Paquette et al., 1999). Any correct interpretation of measured isotope ratios requires a detailed understanding of the resetting process that may affect the isotope system of monazite. Two processes are commonly considered to explain the resetting of an isotopic system: (1) loss of Pb by volume diffusion out of the monazite grain, or (2) dissolution via a coexisting fluid and precipitation of a newly formed Pb-free monazite. For the U-Th-Pb systems, the radioactive decay produces radiation damages that may partially or totally destroy the crystal lattice, thus producing a so-called metamict crystal. Whatever the mechanism of resetting is
considered to be, it can be anticipated that the kinetics of resetting will strongly be influenced by the degree of metamictization of the crystal. A good knowledge of the structure of the studied monazite and its behaviour under irradiation and heating is strongly required. No detailed model for dissolution/precipitation resetting is available, but we can predict that the kinetics of dissolution, the solubility of the crystal, and the nature of the fluid phase should be important parameters.

This experimental work is divided in three parts, each of them consisting of one article. These publications were submitted in different international journals and are written together with other scientists. The first chapter is focused on the Th partitioning between monazite and xenotime. The second part reports investigations on the monazite structure using X-Ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and Raman spectroscopy, and on the annealing of radiation damages of a natural monazite. Finally, in the last chapter, an experimental study of the resetting of the U-Pb geochronological system of natural monazite is developed. In the following an introduction of each part is given.

I- Th partitioning between monazite and xenotime

Monazite is monoclinic, with space group $P2_1/n$, and isostructural with huttonite (ThSiO$_4$). Xenotime is tetragonal, with space group $I4_1/amd$, and isostructural with thorite, which is the dimorph of huttonite. Both atomic arrangements are based on [001] chains of alternative edge-sharing phosphate tetrahedra and REE polyhedra, with a REEO$_8$ polyhedron in xenotime and a REEO$_9$ polyhedron in monazite (figure 1). Monazite preferentially incorporates the larger light REE, i.e. elements with ionic radii between La (1.216 Å) and Gd (1.107 Å), whereas xenotime incorporates Y (1.019 Å) and the smaller, heavy REE, i.e. elements between Tb (1.040 Å) and Lu (0.977 Å) (figure 2). Th may be incorporated as two components in monazite and xenotime: the brabantite [REE$_2$Ca$_4$Th$_1$] and the Th-silicate [P$_1$REE$_4$Si$_1$Th$_1$] component (Franz et al., 1996; Van Emde et al., 1997; Förster 1998).

In this study, only incorporation of Th as ThSiO$_4$ was investigated. ThSiO$_4$ naturally occurs as the 2 dimorphs thorite and huttonite. In our experiments pressure was set at 2 Kbar and temperatures were in the range of 600 to 1100°C. For these conditions thorite is stable (Seydoux and Montel, 1997). A simple ternary system CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$ was chosen as a model system. CePO$_4$ and YPO$_4$ are, respectively, suitable representatives of monazite and...
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Figure 1: Comparison between monazite CePO$_4$ and xenotime YPO$_4$ structures. Both arrangements are based on [001] chains of intervening phosphate tetrahedra and REE polyhedra with a REEO$_9$ polyhedron in xenotime and a REEO$_8$ polyhedron in monazite. The transition between the two consists in a distortion around [010] (Ni et al. 1995).

Figure 2: Unit-cell volumes of pure REEPO$_4$ vs. ionic radii modified after Ni et al. (1995). Open symbols correspond to theoretical values calculated by linear regression. The values of ionic radii (Shannon, 1976) are the effective radii in 9- and 8-fold coordination in monazite (black dots) and xenotime (black squares) structure, respectively.
xenotime of natural compositions. From the three binaries that compose this system, only the CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$ binary is well-constrained (Gratz and Heinrich, 1997). From experimental works of Podor and Cuney (1995; 1997) it is known that total miscibility exists along the two binaries LaPO$_4$-Ca$_{0.5}$Th$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ and LaPO$_4$-Ca$_{0.5}$U$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ at 2 kbar and 780°C. However, nothing is known about the CePO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$ or YPO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$ binaries. In this first part the ThSiO$_4$ partitioning between monazite and xenotime is investigated in order to test the effect of ThSiO$_4$ on the monazite-xenotime geothermobarometer.

II- Structure of a natural monazite: behaviour under heating

Monazite contains considerable amounts of $^{232}$Th, $^{238}$U and $^{235}$U. Their radioactive decay produces 6, 8, and 7 $\alpha$-particles, respectively, resulting in $^{208}$Pb, $^{206}$Pb and $^{207}$Pb. During an $\alpha$-event a heavy atom nucleus liberates its energy by ejecting an $\alpha$-particle ($^4$He-nuclei), which carries 98% of the initial energy. The $\alpha$-particle, which has an energy between 3.9 to 8.4 MeV, is ejected to about 10-40 $\mu$m from the point of disintegration. It dissipates most of its energy by ionisation and produces isolated defects (~ 100 atomic displacements). In contrast, the remaining nucleus is recoiled in the opposite direction to about 10-20 nm from the $\alpha$-particle, according to the principle of momentum conservation, and causes collision cascades (~ 700-1000 atomic displacements). Most of the atomic displacements leading to amorphization of a crystal lattice are caused by alpha-recoil nuclei (Ewing et al. 1995; 2000; Weber et al. 1998) (figure 3).

In contrast to zircon (Speer 1982), metamict monazite is rarely found in nature (Ewing 1975). Even old, radioactive monazites are mostly crystalline, despite the intensive radiation doses they received. However, there is some evidence of radiation damage in natural monazite grains, which are limited to isolated domains within the crystal (Black et al. 1984; Meldrum et al. 1998). This suggests that, even at low temperature, the monazite lattice is healed easily (Boatner and Sales 1988). Radiation damages in minerals can be induced by using external heavy-ion irradiation. For most crystals it is possible to define an amorphization dose above which the crystal is totally metamict (amorphous state). The amorphization dose increases with increasing temperature and reaches a critical temperature above which amorphization can no longer be achieved, because the crystal lattice is faster thermally reconstructed than it is destroyed. It has been shown that monazite is not more resistant to irradiation than zircon,
Introduction

\[ ^{232}\text{Th} \rightarrow ^{208}\text{Pb} + 6 \alpha \left( ^{4}\text{He} \right) + 4 \beta^- + Q \]

Figure 3: Formation of \( \alpha \)-particles and \( \alpha \)-recoil nuclei during \( \alpha \)-decay event (example of the decay of \( \text{Th} \)). Note that the \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha \)-recoil nuclei are ejected in an opposite direction and that the \( \alpha \)-particle is ejected at a greater distance than the \( \alpha \)-recoil nucleus (~1000 times as long). 1, 2 and 3 correspond to different steps of the decay and step 3 is the last one, at which Pb is produced.

Figure 4: Amorphization dose as a function of temperature for \( \text{LaPO}_4 \), \( \text{ScPO}_4 \), \( \text{ZrSiO}_4 \) and monoclinic \( \text{ThSiO}_4 \). After Meldrum et al. (2000)
because at room temperature amorphization dose is similar for the two minerals. The main
difference is based on the critical temperature, which for monazite is in the range 100-200°C,
but for zircon is above 700°C (figure 4). Therefore monazite is able to restore its structure at
low temperature, although it is not specifically resistant to radiation damage.

Previous works on the annealing of monazite provided different results. Karioris et al.
(1981) in a XRD study, and Meldrum et al. (1998) in a TEM study, both using an ion-beam-
amorphised monazite, observed recrystallization at 300 and 450°C respectively. In contrast, in
a XRD study Smith and Giletti (1997) completely healed a natural crystalline monazite
between 800°C and 1100°C. In this study, the healing of radiation damages in a natural
crystalline monazite has been experimentally investigated using XRD, TEM, Raman
microprobe and Cathodoluminescence analyses. The starting material was a chemically
homogeneous monazite from a Brazilian pegmatite with a concordant age of 474 ± 1 Ma
showing nm-scale defects induced by radioactive decay.

III- Resetting of U-Pb isotopic geochronological system

U-Pb concordance means that, during geological events, the monazite U-Pb system is
either completely reset, i.e. monazite has lost all its radiogenic Pb, or remains totally
unaffected. Discordant U-Pb ages means that monazite has lost some radiogenic Pb since its
crystallization or since the last event, that completely reset it. These discordances may result
from U-Pb analyses which are performed on monazite fractions of mixed grain populations
crystallised at different times within a particular rock. One case of discordance attributed to
diffusive Pb loss has been reported by Suzuki et al. (1994). Mostly, discordances result from
mixtures of newly grown rims with inherited cores (figure 5). However, the controlling
mechanisms that induce resetting during a geological event, i.e. loss of Pb by volume
diffusion or dissolution via a coexisting fluid and precipitation of a newly formed lead-free
monazite, are only poorly understood.

With respect to resetting by diffusive Pb-loss, there are several attempts to interpret
discordant ages of natural monazite grains in terms of the closure temperature’s concept
(Dodson, 1973). This model is defined for a geochronological or a geochemical system. It
assumes that volume diffusion controls the loss of the daughter nuclide (here Pb) and at the
closure temperature the daughter nuclide begins to accumulate in the crystal. The closure
temperature will also depend on the size of the crystal, its shape, the cooling rate during the
Figure 5: Schematic drawing showing concordancy and discordancy in the U-Pb concordia diagram and the different causes for discordancies, i.e. mixing of different population of grains, presence of inherited cores or presence of diffusion profile.
geological event, and the diffusion coefficient of the daughter elements. However, two important facts limit the use of this concept. First, it ignores quantification of the main driving force for any diffusion process, i.e. the chemical potential gradient of the Pb-bearing component between monazite and the adjacent phase. Second, it implies a resetting by diffusion, which is not very realistic, considering results from experiments on Pb–self-diffusion in monazite by Smith and Giletti (1997), which showed that diffusion is very slow, even at high temperatures. Furthermore, it has been shown by De Wolf et al. (1993), Kalt et al. (2000), Montel et al. (2000) that monazite inclusions, which are shielded by host minerals such as quartz and garnet, were not subject to resetting, despite the fact that they were exposed to granulite-facies temperatures of more than 800°C over long time scales.

Dissolution/precipitation in the presence of a fluid phase is another possible mechanism for resetting of the monazite isotope system. If so, one would expect that the fluid composition had a major effect on the process. Previously, Teufel and Heinrich (1997) showed that significant dissolution and precipitation along with Pb-loss into pure water was only observed for very fine powdered monazite as starting material. Hydrothermal treatment of natural monazite grains larger than 40 μm at 750°C/3 kbar and in pure water did not affect their U-Pb system.

In the third part, we propose to evaluate which of the two mechanisms - dissolution/precipitation or volume diffusion- controls the resetting of the U-Th-Pb isotope system in monazite, and to see the influence of fluid composition and temperature on the extent of this process.
CHAPTER I

Th partitioning between monazite and xenotime

“Laboratoire. Même quand on ne trouve rien, on renifle l’odeur de la vérité qui se cache.”
Jean Rostand - Carnet d’un biologiste
An experimental determination of the Th partitioning between monazite and xenotime using Analytical Electron Microscopy (AEM)

Abstract:

The Thorium distribution between monazite and xenotime has been determined experimentally using the coupled substitution Th + Si ⇌ REE + P. Experiments have been conducted in standard cold seal hydrothermal and internally heated pressure vessels at 200 MPa in the range of 600-1100°C. Starting mixtures were prepared from gels composed of equal amounts of CePO$_4$ and YPO$_4$ with addition of 10, 20 and 50 mol% ThSiO$_4$. The grain sizes of the run products were in the range of a few microns. Analytical electron microscopy (AEM) methods were applied to obtain reliable chemical compositions of the reaction products. Lattice parameters of run products were determined using Rietveld analysis. For runs with 10 and 20 mol% ThSiO$_4$ component in the bulk the ThSiO$_4$ component distributes almost exclusively into monazite at all temperatures. The amount of the YPO$_4$ component in monazite increases relative to the Th-free system if significant amounts of ThSiO$_4$ are present within the structure. ThSiO$_4$ favours incorporation of YPO$_4$ resulting in a shift of the monazite limb and the shrinkage of the monazite-xenotime miscibility gap. Thermobarometric calculations based on monazite-xenotime equilibria must be corrected for this effect. For runs with 50 mol% ThSiO$_4$ in the bulk, thorite formed as an additional phase at 600 to 900°C but was absent at higher temperatures. At high $X_{ThSiO_4}^{b}$ and low T, the system is three-phase. The three-phase stability field strongly shrinks with increasing temperature. A tentative phase diagram of the ternary system CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$ is proposed and discussed in the light of monazite-xenotime-thorite-bearing assemblages in natural rocks.

Key words Monazite • Xenotime • Thorium • XRD • AEM
Chapter I – Th partitioning between monazite and xenotime

1 – Introduction

The REE-orthophosphate minerals monazite and xenotime occur together in many metamorphic (Franz et al., 1996; Heinrich et al., 1997; Andrehs & Heinrich, 1998; Harlov & Förster, 2001) and magmatic rocks (Bea, 1996; Förster, 1998a,b; Viskupic & Hodges, 2001). Monazite is commonly used for U-Th-Pb age determination because of its high U and Th contents (Parrish, 1990). Minor emphasis has been given to xenotime, which also incorporates relatively high amounts of U and, to a somewhat minor extent, also Th. Monazite preferentially incorporates the light rare earth elements (LREE), and xenotime the heavy rare earth elements (HREE) and Y (Franz et al., 1996; Heinrich et al., 1997). Monazite is monoclinic, with the space group $P2_1/n$, and isostructural to huttonite, the high P – high T modification of ThSiO$_4$. Xenotime is tetragonal, with the space group $I4_1/amd$, and isostructural to thorite, which is the low P – low T polymorph of ThSiO$_4$ (Pabst & Hutton, 1951; Berzelius, 1829). Both atomic arrangements are based on chains along [001] of intervening phosphate tetrahedra and REE polyhedra, where the REE ions are 8-fold coordinated in xenotime and 9-fold in monazite (Ni et al., 1995). It is known from natural assemblages (Franz et al, 1996; Van Emden et al., 1997; Förster, 1998a,b) that Th and U are incorporated by two exchange mechanisms in monazite and xenotime: the brabantite exchange $[\text{REE}_2\text{Ca}_1(\text{U}, \text{Th})_1]$ and the huttonite/thorite exchange $[\text{P}_1\text{REE}_3\text{Si}_1(\text{U}, \text{Th})_1]$. In natural rocks, coexisting monazite-xenotime pairs suggest that Th is preferentially incorporated into monazite as brabantite and U into xenotime as thorite component, however, quantitative relationships are unknown.

Complete U-Th-Pb dating of monazite single crystals have shown that U-Pb and Th-Pb age determinations can result in different ages (Barth et al., 1994; Parrish & Carr, 1994). Different processes might explain this behaviour. One possibility is that crystals are compositionally zoned. Zonation may be induced during slow metamorphic growth over several Ma. Within a single crystal, different domains can be dated assuming a sufficient precision of the spatial resolution and the resolution of the time scale of the age determination method (Harrison et al., 1995; Montel et al., 1996; Cocherie et al., 1998; Crowley & Ghent, 1999; Grove & Harrison, 1999; Paquette et al., 1999; Poitrasson et al., 2000). Using such radiometric age determinations together with geothermometry, growth temperatures can be directly correlated with time (Viskupic & Hodges, 2001).
Prograde zoning of metamorphic monazite seems to be very common (Heinrich et al., 1997) and it has been shown that the partitioning of each REE between monazite and xenotime is a function of temperature and pressure. This allows the use of the REE partitioning between monazite and xenotime as a geothermobarometer (Gratz & Heinrich, 1997; Heinrich et al., 1997; Andrehs & Heinrich, 1998; Gratz & Heinrich, 1998). It would therefore appear that the U-Th partitioning between coexisting monazite and xenotime were also temperature and pressure dependent and that crystals might be zoned in respect to their U/Th ratios.

The present study reports the experimental determination of the Th distribution between monazite and xenotime and provides information on how the presence of Th in the system affects the CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$ geothermobarometer. Here, only the incorporation of Th as ThSiO$_4$ component into both phases will be considered. The ternary system CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$ was chosen as a model system. From the three binaries that define the ternary system, only the CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$ binary is experimentally well-constrained (Gratz & Heinrich, 1997). In a closely related system complete miscibility exists along the two binaries LaPO$_4$-Ca$_{0.5}$Th$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ and LaPO$_4$-Ca$_{0.5}$U$_{0.5}$PO$_4$ at 200 MPa and 780°C (Podor & Cuney, 1995; 1997). However, there is no information about the CePO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$ and YPO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$ binaries and we only know that at 200 MPa and 600 to 1100°C thorite is probably the stable ThSiO$_4$ polymorph (Seydoux & Montel, 1997). Synthesis of REE-phosphates in presence of the ThSiO$_4$ component produces extremely fined grained crystals. Analytical electron microscopy (AEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) were therefore applied. We will show that at low ThSiO$_4$ concentrations in the bulk it is mainly partitioned into monazite and that it shifts the position of the monazite limb within the miscibility gap of the CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$ binary. This allows interpreting discrepancies in temperature estimations that use the monazite-xenotime thermobarometer. We further show that for high ThSiO$_4$ concentrations in the bulk and low temperatures, thorite is stable and tentatively present phase relations within the CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$ ternary at different temperatures.
Chapter I – Th partitioning between monazite and xenotime

2 - Experimental and analytical techniques

2-1 - Starting materials

For all experiments gels were used as starting materials. This allowed the preparation of stoichiometric mixtures of the starting materials and always resulted in homogeneous crystals. The disadvantage of the gel method is that the run products were very small (≤ 2µm) and that at temperatures below 800°C the products were poorly crystallized. This is particularly true at high amounts of ThSiO₄ in the bulk. At these conditions, the products could not be analyzed adequately by AEM and XRD, respectively.

Pure ThN₄O₁₂, 5 H₂O (Merck); YN₃O₉, 6 H₂O (Riedel de Haën) and CeN₃O₉, 6 H₂O (Merck, ultrapure) were dissolved in water. Tetra-ethyl-ortho-silicate (TEOS) together with the same amount of ethanol, and HPO₄N₂O₈, dissolved in water, were added. Nitrates and water were removed from the precipitate by drying and firing resulting in a gel, which consisted of CePO₄, ThSiO₄, and YPO₄. Three different gel bulk compositions were prepared and consisted of equal amounts of CePO₄ and YPO₄ with additional 10, 20 and 50 mol% of ThSiO₄. About 50 mg of gel together with SiO₂ in excess were filled in Pt capsules (20 mm long, 3 mm in diameter) and welded. The capsules were checked for leaks by heating them at 110°C for 24 hours.

Oxide mixtures with excess H₃PO₄ as used by Gratz & Heinrich (1997; 1998) resulted in somewhat larger crystals. However, excess of H₃PO₄ resulted in formation of thorium phosphate with an unknown structure. On the other hand, it is impossible adding a stoichiometric amount of solid H₃PO₄ during loading of the capsules because it is extremely hygroscopic. Therefore, experiments that used oxide mixtures were discarded.

2-2 - Experimental procedure

Experiments at 100 and 200 MPa up to 900°C (Table 1) were carried out in standard cold seal hydrothermal vessels with water as pressure medium. The temperature was recorded using Ni-CrNi thermocouples inside the vessel close to the sample. The total temperature uncertainties are estimated at about ± 3°C. Pressure was measured using a transducer calibrated against a Heise gauge manometer. The indicated pressure is accurate within ± 50 bars. Run durations were between 240 and 816 hours. The capsules were quenched with compressed air to room temperature within 3 minutes.
**Table 1 - Experimental conditions and bulk compositions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>P (MPa)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Duration (Hours)</th>
<th>CePO$_4$</th>
<th>ThSiO$_4$</th>
<th>YPO$_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-31</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-35</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-38</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-44</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-45</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-47</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-54</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-55</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-56</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh0-5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh0-6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh0-7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All bulk products consist of gel + SiO2 in excess
Runs at 1000 and 1100°C were performed in IHPV
Experiments at 200 MPa for 1000 and 1100°C were performed in internally heated pressure vessels (IHPV) with Ar as pressure medium (Table 1). The temperatures were recorded using three Pt87Rh13-Pt thermocouples. The total temperature uncertainties are estimated to be about ± 10°C. Run durations were 236 hours at 1000°C and 548 hours at 1100°C. The capsules were quenched to room temperature within a few minutes. After quenching the capsules were checked for leaks. The run products were investigated by optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, analytical electron microscopy and X-ray powder diffraction.

2-3 - Analytical electron microscopy (AEM)

Because of the small size of the products, EMP analyses were not performed. The chemical composition of coexisting phases was therefore determined by AEM. AEM investigations were carried out with a Philips CM200 electron microscope. The electron microscope is equipped with an EDAX X-ray analyzer with ultrathin window. The acceleration voltage was 200kV, and the electron source was a LaB₆ filament. Analyses were carried out in transmission mode with a spot size of 40 nm and a beam current of 0.2 nA. The specimen was tilted 20° towards the detector and acquisition time was 200 seconds. The spectra were corrected for absorption and fluorescence applying the EDAX software package for thin films. The foil thickness, necessary for absorption correction was determined by electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) using the total intensity of the electrons reaching the EEL spectrometer and the intensity of the zero loss peak (Egerton, 1996). EDX analyses were quantified by applying the ratio technique of Cliff & Lorimer (1975) for thin foils. The factors $k_{CeL}/SiK$, $k_{YK}/SiK$, $k_{ThL}/SiK$ and $k_{PK}/SiK$ were determined using synthetic CePO₄, YPO₄, (Ce,Y)PO₄ solid solutions (Gratz & Heinrich, 1997), and natural monazite. The chemical compositions of the standards were determined by electron microprobe analysis (Table 2 and 3). The TEM foil was prepared from the same section of the standard, which has been measured by EMP. The precision of the analyses depends on the counting statistic, the errors of $k$-value determination and the error measurement of the different intensities of the elements (Joy et al., 1989). The relative statistical error of the analysis is calculated by applying the equation: $rel.\,error = \frac{t_{99}^{n-1} \times s}{\sqrt{n \times \bar{x}}} \times 100\%$ ($t_{99}^{n-1}$ = Student t value, $s$ = standard deviation, $n$ = number of measurements, $\bar{x}$ = mean value). The total statistical relative error for the different oxides is given in Table 4.
Table 2 - AEM analyses of synthetic monazites of the CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$ binary from Gratz and Heinrich (1997) compared with compositions obtained from lattice parameters (see their Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>P7</th>
<th>P14</th>
<th>P13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of analyses</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wt%</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>31.49</td>
<td>30.34</td>
<td>30.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>64.44</td>
<td>63.63</td>
<td>61.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>7.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Σ</strong></td>
<td>100.02</td>
<td>100.02</td>
<td>100.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Σ</strong></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_Y$ (AEM)</td>
<td>0.08 (2)</td>
<td>0.12 (4)</td>
<td>0.16 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_Y$ (XRD)</td>
<td>0.07 (1)</td>
<td>0.10 (1)</td>
<td>0.15 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$X_Y$: Y concentration (mole fraction) in monazite

Table 3 - Comparison between EMP and AEM analyses from a natural ThSiO$_4$-bearing monazite from Brazil (Seydoux-Guillaume et al., submitted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Wt %</strong></th>
<th><strong>EMP</strong></th>
<th><strong>AEM</strong>**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.47 (15)</td>
<td>2.55 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>28.64 (29)</td>
<td>29.79 (96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.45 (9)</td>
<td>0.90 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>14.95 (15)</td>
<td>14.01 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>31.50 (32)</td>
<td>29.91 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>3.24 (32)</td>
<td>3.15 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>10.51 (11)</td>
<td>10.45 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>2.11 (21)</td>
<td>1.83 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThO$_2$</td>
<td>7.13 (71)</td>
<td>7.42 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Σ</strong>*</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Σ* has been set to 100%
** average of 11 analyses
Errors in brackets (2σ)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>$X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}$</th>
<th>Rel. amounts of products (weight fraction)</th>
<th>Lattice parameters of monoclinic monazite</th>
<th>Lattice parameters of tetragonal phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bulk</td>
<td>Mz</td>
<td>Xe</td>
<td>Qz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-31</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-35</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-54</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-5</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-38</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-55</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-6</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-45</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-44*</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-47</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-56</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXTh99-5</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CePO₄ synthetic (Gratz and Heinrich, 1997)  
YPO₄ synthetic (Gratz and Heinrich, 1997)  
Huttonite (Taylor and Ewing, 1978)  
Thorite (Taylor and Ewing, 1978)

Mz: monazite, Xe: xenotime, Th: thorite, Qz: quartz
*contains traces of thorianite ThO₂
**not refined
It is clear that compositional data acquired by AEM have distinctly larger uncertainties than those obtained by standard EMP analysis. Matrix effects are difficult to correct and it is therefore necessary to use standards that have very similar compositions to the investigated minerals. The $k_{AB}$-factor for a certain element varies with composition. For example, in synthetic CePO$_4$ the $k_{Ce,P}$ factor is 2.99, whereas in natural monazite with substantial amounts of LREE, Th, and U it is 2.01. In synthetic YPO$_4$ $k_{Y,P}$ is 2.72 and in synthetic Ce$_{0.98}$Y$_{0.02}$PO$_4$, it is 3.18. The major problem was to find suitable standards to determine the $k_{AB}$-factors used for quantification based on the thin film approximation and the ratio technique described by Cliff & Lorimer (1975). It is shown below that analyses of synthetic monazites with high amounts of ThSiO$_4$ component (> 20 mol%) are of somewhat poorer quality. We believe that this is because a monazite standard with high amounts of ThSiO$_4$ was not available. Another problem is that the Si$_K$ and Y$_{Li}$-lines and the Y$_L$ and P$_K$-lines in the X-Ray spectra overlap. Therefore, the Y$_K$-line was used for quantifying instead of the Y$_L$-line, thus improving the results of the Y measurements. However, overlapping of the Y$_{Li}$ and Si$_K$-lines has a significant influence on the xenotime analyses, because YPO$_4$ is the major constituent of xenotime. Raw xenotime analyses always showed too large SiO$_2$ concentrations and all analyses needed to be corrected for that. Th in xenotime is incorporated by a coupled substitution $\text{Th}^{4+} + \text{Si}^{4+} \leftrightarrow (\text{Ce},\text{Y})^{3+} + \text{P}^{5+}$. Based on this substitution, the molar amount of Si must not exceed that of Th. Therefore, all components given in Tables 5 and 6, and Figure 1 are calculated using the normalisation on Ce+Y+Th and not the normalisation on P+Si.

2-4 - X-Ray diffraction (XRD)

All run products were investigated with a focusing STOE X-ray powder-diffractometer (Stadi P) using CuK$_{\alpha1}$ radiation and a position-sensitive detector using resolution of 0.02°. The diffractometer was calibrated externally using the NBS SRM-640b silicon standard. The unit-cell refinements were performed using the Rietveld-refinement program of the GSAS software package (Larson & Von Dreele, 1988).

3 – Results

The relative amounts of phases in the run products are given in Table 4. At $X_{ThSiO_4}^{bulk}$ of 0.1 and 0.2, respectively, the products were exclusively composed of monazite and xenotime.
Table 5 - Compositions of produced monazites obtained by AEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>MXTh99-31</th>
<th>MXTh99-35</th>
<th>MXTh99-38</th>
<th>MXTh99-54</th>
<th>MXTh99-55</th>
<th>MXTh0-5</th>
<th>MXTh0-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T (°C)</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (MPa)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}$ (bulk)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of analyses</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weight %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>MXTh99-31</th>
<th>MXTh99-35</th>
<th>MXTh99-38</th>
<th>MXTh99-54</th>
<th>MXTh99-55</th>
<th>MXTh0-5</th>
<th>MXTh0-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.40</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.29</td>
<td>3.96 ± 0.44</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.28</td>
<td>4.11 ± 0.39</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.26</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>28.23 ± 1.14</td>
<td>27.29 ± 1.04</td>
<td>25.81 ± 1.02</td>
<td>27.84 ± 0.86</td>
<td>25.25 ± 0.92</td>
<td>27.94 ± 0.83</td>
<td>25.95 ± 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>54.09 ± 5</td>
<td>52.29 ± 4.18</td>
<td>39.79 ± 3.22</td>
<td>48.61 ± 3.67</td>
<td>37.73 ± 3.12</td>
<td>45.77 ± 3.34</td>
<td>37.06 ± 3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThO$_2$</td>
<td>9.37 ± 0.97</td>
<td>10.44 ± 1.18</td>
<td>21.56 ± 1.68</td>
<td>10.85 ± 0.71</td>
<td>21.52 ± 1.52</td>
<td>10.38 ± 0.69</td>
<td>20.42 ± 1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>6.35 ± 1.16</td>
<td>8.16 ± 1.23</td>
<td>8.91 ± 1.34</td>
<td>10.82 ± 1.64</td>
<td>11.4 ± 1.63</td>
<td>13.77 ± 1.75</td>
<td>14.2 ± 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>99.96</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>100.03</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>100.01</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>102.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cations calculated on the basis of 4 Oxygens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cation</th>
<th>MXTh99-31</th>
<th>MXTh99-35</th>
<th>MXTh99-38</th>
<th>MXTh99-54</th>
<th>MXTh99-55</th>
<th>MXTh0-5</th>
<th>MXTh0-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>1.993</td>
<td>2.004</td>
<td>1.975</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>1.985</td>
<td>2.004</td>
<td>1.992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>MXTh99-31</th>
<th>MXTh99-35</th>
<th>MXTh99-38</th>
<th>MXTh99-54</th>
<th>MXTh99-55</th>
<th>MXTh0-5</th>
<th>MXTh0-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P+Si</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce+Th+Y</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mole fraction of components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>MXTh99-31</th>
<th>MXTh99-35</th>
<th>MXTh99-38</th>
<th>MXTh99-54</th>
<th>MXTh99-55</th>
<th>MXTh0-5</th>
<th>MXTh0-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CePO$_4$</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThSiO$_4$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPO$_4$</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Errors are the total relative error.
Table 6 - Compositions of produced xenotimes obtained by AEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>MXTh99-31</th>
<th>MXTh99-35</th>
<th>MXTh99-38</th>
<th>MXTh99-54</th>
<th>MXTh99-55</th>
<th>MXTh99-56</th>
<th>MXTh0-5</th>
<th>MXTh0-6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T (°C)</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (MPa)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{ThSiO_4}$ (bulk)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of analyses</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weight %

<p>| | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>3.89 ± 0.90</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.66</td>
<td>4.59 ± 0.76</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.47</td>
<td>4.76 ± 0.89</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>38.57 ± 1.63</td>
<td>37.80 ± 1.78</td>
<td>36.09 ± 2.19</td>
<td>36.86 ± 1.64</td>
<td>35.16 ± 2.03</td>
<td>24.60</td>
<td>37.40</td>
<td>37.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce₂O₃</td>
<td>1.83 ± 1.07</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.86</td>
<td>2.18 ± 1.82</td>
<td>1.94 ± 0.90</td>
<td>1.90 ± 1.34</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThO₂</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.48</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.63</td>
<td>4.73 ± 1.35</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.46</td>
<td>4.35 ± 1.07</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>3.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₂O₃</td>
<td>53.09 ± 7.17</td>
<td>55.18 ± 8.07</td>
<td>52.44 ± 8.69</td>
<td>56.11 ± 8.46</td>
<td>53.85 ± 8.79</td>
<td>50.10</td>
<td>55.85</td>
<td>50.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>100.02</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.03</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>100.02</td>
<td>100.10</td>
<td>99.95</td>
<td>99.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cations calculated on the basis of 4 Oxygens

<p>| | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.935</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>1.984</td>
<td>1.986</td>
<td>1.995</td>
<td>1.997</td>
<td>2.058</td>
<td>1.990</td>
<td>1.971</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P+Si      | 1.091    | 1.078    | 1.075    | 1.060    | 1.063    | 0.986    | 1.071    | 1.097    |
| Ce+Th+Y  | 0.881    | 0.906    | 0.911    | 0.936    | 0.934    | 1.073    | 0.919    | 0.875    |

Mole fraction of components

<p>| | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CePO₄</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThSiO₄</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPO₄</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Errors are the total relative error.
at all temperatures, plus some additional quartz which was added in excess to the starting mixture. Monazite is always the dominant phase. At $X_{ThSiO_4}^{bulk}$ of 0.5, thorite additionally formed in large amounts at 600°C, 800°C, and 900°C, but was absent at 1000°C and 1100°C. Where thorite is present, the amount of xenotime is very small (Table 4).

3-1 - Compositions of monazite and xenotime determined by AEM

Compositions of coexisting monazite and xenotime are presented in Tables 5 and 6 and in Figure 1. We have, unfortunately, no reliable chemical compositions of thorite, because suitable AEM standards for the CePO$_4$ and YPO$_4$ components in thorite were not available. At $X_{ThSiO_4}^{bulk}$ of 0.1 and 0.2, respectively, the ThSiO$_4$ component is partitioned almost exclusively in monazite at all temperatures (Figure 1). Xenotime is very poor in CePO$_4$ and ThSiO$_4$ components and has, given the relatively large analytical uncertainties, nearly the same composition at all temperatures. The compositions of monazite and xenotime synthesised from these bulk compositions are consistent with the boundaries of the miscibility gap of the CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$ binary (Gratz & Heinrich, 1997). The temperature dependence of this gap is traced into the ternary (dotted lines in Figure 1). Monazite incorporates most of the ThSiO$_4$ component and the gap shrinks towards the YPO$_4$ apex as more YPO$_4$ component is incorporated with increasing temperature. Since the ThSiO$_4$ component is always taken up by monazite, $X_{ThSiO_4}^{mon}$ does not significantly vary with temperature at a given bulk composition. Therefore, $X_{ThSiO_4}^{mon}$ is ~ 0.09 at $X_{ThSiO_4}^{bulk}$ = 0.1, and ~ 0.19 at $X_{ThSiO_4}^{bulk}$ = 0.2 in the relevant temperature range (Figs. 1 and 2). $X_{YPO_4}^{mon}$ increases strongly with temperature, and the important point is that it increases also with increasing $X_{ThSiO_4}^{mon}$ at given temperature (Fig. 2). This implies that the monazite limb is systematically shifted towards higher YPO$_4$ concentrations if ThSiO$_4$ is present. It is clear that this has a significant effect on the monazite-xenotime thermobarometer (see discussion below).

At $X_{ThSiO_4}^{bulk}$ of 0.5, analytical problems did not allow for precise determination of ThSiO$_4$ in monazite and xenotime. The analytical scatter of monazite compositions produced in thorite-free experiments at 1000°C and 1100°C are represented by elliptical areas in Figure 1. With respect to their CePO$_4$ and YPO$_4$ concentrations, however, the compositional data agree with the broadly outlined shape of the miscibility gap in the ternary (Fig. 1). This is also
Figure 1: Compositions of monazite and xenotime plotted into the CePO₄-ThSiO₄-YPO₄ ternary diagram. Data are from Tables 5 and 6. Ellipses represent scattering of AEM analyses at high ThSiO₄ bulk compositions. Data for the ThSiO₄-free binary system are from Gratz & Heinrich (1997). Dashed lines represent monazite compositions at the respective temperatures at 200 MPa. For analytical errors see Tables 5 and 6.

Figure 2: Plot of the concentration of YPO₄ in monazite versus temperature at concentrations of 0 (filled circles); ~ 0.09 (open circles), and ~ 0.19 (triangles). X_{ThSiO₄} in monazite is nearly independent of temperature for a given bulk composition because ThSiO₄ strongly fractionates into monazite at all temperatures. Data are from Table 5, those for the ThSiO₄-free system from Gratz & Heinrich (1997). For analytical errors see Table 5.
seen in xenotime compositions indicating that at very high $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}}$, some ThSiO$_4$ is now incorporated into xenotime (open triangle in Fig. 1).

### 3-2 - Rietveld refinement results and composition-volume relationships

Relative amounts of the phases along with their refined lattice parameters are given in Table 4. Details of XRD-patterns from three selected runs at $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} = 0.1$ and 1000°C; $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} = 0.5$ and 1000°C; and at $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} = 0.5$ and 900°C are shown in Figure 3.

At $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}}$ of 0.1 and 0.2 where thorite is absent, the amount of monazite increases with temperature from 0.64 at 800°C to 0.75 at 1100°C, and from 0.74 at 900°C to 0.81 at 1100°C, respectively. Simultaneously, the amount of xenotime decreases from 0.26 at 800°C to 0.18 at 1100°C, and from 0.14 at 900°C to 0.10 at 1100°C. This simply reflects the increasing incorporation of xenotime component into monazite at higher temperatures, in line with the fact that xenotime is always poor in the CePO$_4$ component.

There is also agreement between compositional data of the REE-phosphates obtained by AEM and their lattice parameters. The monoclinic monazite structure is considerably denser than the tetragonal xenotime structure. The cell volume of pure CePO$_4$-monazite is 300.84 Å$^3$, that of pure YPO$_4$-xenotime 285.28 Å$^3$. Pure thorite, i.e. ThSiO$_4$ with xenotime structure has 321.51 Å$^3$ and pure huttonite, ThSiO$_4$ with monazite structure, 297.15 Å$^3$ (Taylor & Ewing, 1978; Table 4). A hypothetical YPO$_4$-phase with monazite structure had a cell volume of 271.19 Å$^3$ and a hypothetical CePO$_4$-phase with xenotime structure 321.35 Å$^3$ (Gratz & Heinrich, 1997, 1998). In run products with $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}}$ of 0.1 and 0.2, the unit-cell volumes of xenotimes are in the range of 285.8 Å$^3$ to 286.9 Å$^3$ which is very similar to that of pure YPO$_4$ (see also Fig. 3a). Given the distinctly larger cell volumes of tetragonal CePO$_4$ and ThSiO$_4$, this shows that xenotimes are in fact very poor in these components and have uniform compositions close to pure YPO$_4$. In contrast, the cell volume of monazite is significantly reduced in all run products (Fig. 3a-c). At $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}}$ of 0.1, it decreases with increasing temperature from 297.26 Å$^3$ at 800°C to 293.55 Å$^3$ at 1100°C, and at $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}}$ of 0.2 from 295.38 Å$^3$ at 900°C to 292.89 Å$^3$ at 1100°C (Table 4). Since the difference between the unit-cell volumes of monoclinic YPO$_4$ and CePO$_4$ is large (29.65 Å$^3$), and that of huttonite and CePO$_4$ small (3.69 Å$^3$), the effect is mainly caused by the enhanced incorporation of the
Figure 3: Details of the XRD patterns from MXTh99-54 (1000°C, $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} = 0.1$), MXTh99-56 (1000°C, $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} = 0.5$) and MXTh99-47 (900°C, $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} = 0.5$). Arrows indicate shifts of some reflections relative to the pure endmembers due to incorporation of ThSiO$_4$, CePO$_4$ and YPO$_4$. Reflections are broader at high ThSiO$_4$ concentrations and at lower temperature. At $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} = 0.5$, thorite is present at 900°C and disappeared at 1000°C.
Figure 4: Unit cell volume of monazite at $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} = 0.1$ (open circles) and 0.2 (open squares) versus a) $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{mon}}$ and b) $X_{\text{YPO}_4}^{\text{mon}}$. Data are from Tables 4 and 5. Since $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{mon}}$ is nearly independent of temperature at a given bulk composition (a, see also Fig. 2), the decreasing volume results mainly from increasing incorporation of YPO$_4$ with increasing temperature (b).
YPO₄⁻ component. The influence of the ThSiO₄ component on the cell volume of monazite is minor. This is shown in Figure 4 where a linear volume reduction with increasing incorporation of ThSiO₄ (a) and YPO₄ (b) is obvious. At \( X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} \) of 0.1, \( X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{mon}} \) is ~ 0.09 at all temperatures (see above) and the cell volume of monazite decreases by about 4 Å³ as \( X_{\text{YPO}_4}^{\text{mon}} \) increases from 0.13 to 0.28. A similar range exists for \( X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{mon}} \) of ~ 0.19. If \( X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{mon}} \) increases from ~0.09 to ~0.19 at constant temperature, the cell volume is decreased by less than 0.5 Å³.

In summary, the compositional results obtained by AEM are fully supported by determination of the lattice parameters via the Rietveld technique.

For experiments with 50 mol% ThSiO₄ in the bulk, thorite appeared as additional phase at low temperatures indicating ThSiO₄ saturation of both REE-phosphates. Rietveld analysis showed that the amount of thorite decreases strongly with increasing temperature from 0.56 (weight fraction) at 600°C to 0.47 at 800°C, and to 0.29 at 900°C, accompanied by an increasing amount of monazite which is 0.39 (weight fraction) at 600°C, 0.51 at 800°C, and 0.69 at 900°C (Table 4). At 1000°C and 1100°C, the amount of monazite further increased and thorite is absent (Fig. 3b,c). Obviously, the incorporation of the ThSiO₄⁻ component into monazite depends strongly on temperature and the miscibility gap along the monazite-thorite-rich side of the ternary narrows rapidly as temperature increases (see below). Though precise compositional data at \( X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} = 0.5 \) are not available, the evolution of the system to higher temperatures is also seen in the lattice parameters of the phases. The unit-cell volume of monazite continuously decreases from 297.52 Å³ at 600°C to 292.67 Å³ at 1100°C, mainly reflecting the enhanced incorporation of the YPO₄ component. Again, the influence of the ThSiO₄ component on the cell volume of monazite is only minor (see above). The unit cell of xenotime at 800°C is 290.89 Å³, considerably larger than in runs at all temperatures with low ThSiO₄-contents in the bulk. Because thorite has a larger volume this indicates that at \( X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{bulk}} = 0.5 \) significant amounts of ThSiO₄ are incorporated into xenotime, in line with AEM results (open triangle in Fig. 1). There is also a continuous increase of the xenotime cell volume with increasing temperature from 290.50 Å³ at 600°C to 293.42 Å³ at 1100°C. This is interpreted as enhanced ThSiO₄ incorporation with temperature. The effect cannot result from enhanced CePO₄ incorporation because this is not seen at low bulk ThSiO₄ contents. Finally, the unit cell volume of thorite is 315.44 Å³ at 600°C, 313.85 Å³ at 800°C, and 312.60 Å³ at 900°C, which is by far smaller than that of pure thorite (321.51 Å³; Table 4). The unit-cell
Chapter I – Th partitioning between monazite and xenotime

Volumes of thorite and hypothetical CePO$_4$ in thorite structure are very similar (321.51 Å$^3$ vs. 321.35 Å$^3$), that of YPO$_4$, however, much smaller (285.28 Å$^3$). Thus, the smaller cell volume of thorite results from large amounts of YPO$_4$ in the structure, which is enhanced by increasing temperature.

4 – Discussion

4-1 - A tentative diagram of phase relations in the ternary system CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$

We have shown above that, despite the somewhat limited analytical database, bulk composition, phase compositions, and relative amounts of the phases agree within analytical errors indicating that equilibrium conditions have been achieved. This allows for establishing a tentative phase diagram of the CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$ ternary at the relevant P-T-conditions. Three isothermal sections at 200 MPa and 600°C, 900°C, and 1000°C are shown in Figure 5. At low ThSiO$_4$ concentrations in the bulk, where the system is two-phase, monazite and xenotime compositions are well constrained. Tie-lines indicate preferential incorporation of the ThSiO$_4$ component into monazite at all temperatures. At high $X_{ThSiO_4}^{bulk}$, the system is three-phase, however, the three-phase stability field strongly shrinks with increasing temperature. Monazite has the most flexible structure expanding its stability field with temperature by enhanced incorporation of both the ThSiO$_4$ and the YPO$_4$ components. Xenotime has a very small stability field, which is moderately expanded towards the ThSiO$_4$ apex as temperature increases. The shape of the thorite stability field is uncertain because appropriate analytical data are not available.

An important point is that the ThSiO$_4$ phase in our experiments is thorite, thus confirming earlier experiments by Seydoux & Montel (1997) on the thorite-huttonite phase transition which revealed that thorite is the stable polymorph at low P-high T, and huttonite at high P-low T conditions. This is further supported by phase assemblages in low to medium grade metapelites where monazite + xenotime + thorite parageneses have been described (e.g. Franz et al., 1996). REE-, Y-, and Th-rich assemblages in a variety of granites showed that thorite coexisting with monazite and xenotime is always enriched in the HREE+Y- relative to the LREE components (Bea, 1996), in line with the shape of the thorite stability field derived from our experiments (Figure 5). In the rocks described by Bea (1996) some P-rich ThSiO$_4$ varieties having high concentrations of LREE are probably intermediate monazite-
Figure 5: Tentative diagram of phase relations in the CePO$_4$-ThSiO$_4$-YPO$_4$ ternary system at 600°C, 900°C and 1000°C at 200 MPa. At $X_{ThSiO_4}^{bulk} = 0.5$ and equal amounts of CePO$_4$ and YPO$_4$ (open triangle) the system is three-phase at 900°C, and two-phase at 1000°C (Table 4). The diagram assumes tetragonal thorite as the stable ThSiO$_4$ polymorph along the complete temperature range (see text).
huttonite phases” which exactly correspond to our ThSiO$_4$-rich monazites coexisting at high temperatures. Noteworthy, monazites with $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{mon}}$ of about 0.5, such as formed in runs MXTh99-56 and MXTh0-7 and analysed in granites by Bea (1996) are termed huttonitic monazite (Bowie & Horne, 1953) though thorite is the coexisting ThSiO$_4$ polymorph at low pressure conditions.

4-2 - The monazite-xenotime thermobarometer in presence of ThSiO$_4$

The thermobarometric potential of monazite coexisting with xenotime lies in the fact that incorporation of the HREE and particularly of Y into monazite is strongly temperature and only slightly pressure-dependent. Experimental calibrations of the monazite geothermometer are restricted to the binary system CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$ (Gratz & Heinrich, 1997), the ternary sytem CePO$_4$-GdPO$_4$-YPO$_4$ (Gratz & Heinrich, 1998), and the (REE+Y)PO$_4$ multicomponent system where the relative amounts of REE corresponded to REE distributions typical for metapelitic rocks (Andrehs & Heinrich, 1998). An empirical calibration using monazite-xenotime pairs from a suite of metapelites that equilibrated over a large P-T range was presented by Heinrich et al. (1997). Based on these calibrations, monazite-xenotime equilibria have been successfully applied for thermobarometry and thermochronometry of a wide range of low to high grade felsic metamorphic rocks (e.g. Pyle et al., 2001; Viskupic & Hodges, 2001). However, discrepancies do exist, suggesting that in some cases calculated temperatures exceed true temperatures by about 50 to 100°C. A reasonable explanation is that the influence of the ThSiO$_4$ component on the thermometer has been, so far, not considered.

Our experiments have shown that the amount of the YPO$_4$ component in monazite increases relative to the Th-free system if significant amounts of ThSiO$_4$ are present within the structure. ThSiO$_4$ favours incorporation of YPO$_4$ resulting in a shift of the monazite limb and the shrinkage of the miscibility gap. For a nearly constant $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{mon}}$ of 0.09, $X_{\text{YPO}_4}^{\text{mon}}$ increased from 0.13 at 800°C to 0.28 at 1100°C, whereas in the Th-free system it ranges from 0.09 to 0.20 along the same temperature range (Fig. 3). Values of $X_{\text{ThSiO}_4}^{\text{mon}} \approx 0.09$ correspond to monazites with about 10wt% ThO$_2$, which are typical upper values for monazites from felsic metamorphic rocks (Franz et al., 1996; Pyle et al., 2001; Viskupic & Hodges, 2001). Figure 6 shows an $X_{\text{YPO}_4}^{\text{mon}}$ versus temperature plot with the position of the two monazite limbs. The
**Figure 6:** Temperature-dependent incorporation of YPO$_4$ into monazite coexisting with xenotime. Filled circles: Synthetic monazite from the CePO$_4$-YPO$_4$ binary (Gratz and Heinrich, 1997). Open squares: Natural monazites with varying Th concentrations equilibrated from greenschist to granulite facies conditions at 300 to 500 MPa (Heinrich et al., 1997). Open circles: this study; only monazite compositions with $X_{ThSiO_4}^{mon}$ of about 0.09 are shown, corresponding to $X_{ThSiO_4}^{bulk} = 0.1$. 
ThSiO$_4$-free limb using experimental data from Gratz & Heinrich (1997) has been calculated with:

$$T (\degree C) = 439.75 \ln(X_{Y}) + 1810.4 \quad (1)$$

For $X_{ThSiO_4}^{mon} \sim 0.09$ the values of this study have been extrapolated to lower temperatures using the empirical fit of

$$T (\degree C) = 410.62 \ln(X_{Y}) + 1663.3 \quad (2).$$

It is obvious that for any given $X_{YPO_4}^{mon}$ in the relevant temperature range between 400$\degree$C and 750$\degree$C, calculated temperatures are too high by about 50 to 70$\degree$C, if the ThSiO$_4$ component in monazite is neglected. This gains further support from observations of Viskupic & Hodges (2001) on monazite-xenotime pairs in gneisses from the Nepalese Himalaya. There, populations of monazite with varying ThO$_2$ concentrations on a thin section scale exist. Calculations using the Gratz & Heinrich thermobarometer gave, for example, 640$\degree$C on Th-poor monazites, in good agreement with phase relations and phase compositions of coexisting silicates. With the same calibration, however, temperatures of up to 100$\degree$C higher resulted for Th-rich monazites within the same specimen. That the monazite-xenotime thermobarometer must be corrected for Th is also seen in the empirical calibration of Heinrich et al. (1997; squares in Fig. 6). Most $X_{YPO_4}^{mon}$ of monazite-xenotime pairs versus temperature estimated from silicate phase compositions plot towards higher values, implicitly indicating that ThO$_2$ is present in most monazites (see also analyses in Franz et al., 1996).

5 - Concluding remark

Thorium incorporation into monazite via the coupled substitution Th + Si $\leftrightarrow$ REE + P is not the only substitution mechanism. The brabantite substitution Th + Ca $\leftrightarrow$ 2 REE is also important. Compositions of metamorphic monazite-xenotime pairs show that Th is also strongly fractionated into monazite if this mechanism is operating (e.g. Franz et al., 1996; Pyle et al., 2001; Viskupic & Hodges 2001). One may reasonably assume that enhanced brabantite incorporation would also favour increased incorporation of the xenotime component, with similar effects on the monazite-xenotime thermobarometer. Quantitative informations, however, must await further experimental results on the CaThPO$_4$-bearing system.
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CHAPTER II

Structure of a natural monazite: behaviour under heating

“La pensée n’est qu’un éclair au milieu de la nuit. Mais c’est cet éclair qui est tout.”
Henri Poincaré - *La Valeur de la science*
An XRD, TEM and Raman study of experimental annealing of natural monazite

Abstract

The healing of radiation damage in natural monazite has been experimentally studied using XRD, TEM, Raman microprobe and Cathodoluminescence analysis. The starting material was a chemically homogeneous monazite from a Brazilian pegmatite with a concordant age of 474 ± 1 Ma showing nm-scale defects induced by radioactive decay. The X-ray pattern of the unheated starting material revealed two distinct "phases" (A) and (B). These are interpreted as two monazites with slightly different lattice parameters. Monazite (A) shows sharp reflections of high amplitudes and slightly expanded lattice parameters compared to a standard monazite. The second "phase" (B) exhibits very broad reflections of low amplitudes. Two sets of experiments were performed. First, dry monazite powder was annealed at 500, 800 and 1000°C for 7 days. Each run product was analysed by X-Ray diffractometry. Second, monazite grains were hydrothermally annealed at temperatures from 500 to 1200°C for about 10 days. TEM observations showed that partial healing of the monazite lattice already occurred at 500°C and increased gradually with temperature, so that after 10 days at 900°C complete healing was achieved.

The observations are interpreted accordingly: unheated monazite has a mosaic structure consisting of two domains (A) and (B), which are basically two monazite crystals with different lattice parameters. Diffraction domains (A) show sharp reflections of high amplitudes and a well-crystallised lattice with only a small volume expansion (1%). Diffraction domains (B) exhibit very broad reflections of low amplitudes and represent a distorted lattice. We suggested that the (A) domains correspond to well-crystallised areas where He atoms are trapped. The He causes expansion of the (A) monazite lattice. Diffraction domains (B) are interpreted as a He-free distorted monazite crystal lattice, which can be referred to old alpha-recoil tracks. They are composed of "islands" with an expanded lattice, induced by the presence of interstitials, and "islands" of a compressed monazite lattice, induced by presence of vacancies. Both the "islands" will pose stress on the lattice in the vicinity of the islands. The broadening of the B-reflections is due to the expanded or compressed diffraction domains and due to the different amount of the distortion.

With increasing temperature He diffuses out of the lattice of monazite, thus inducing a relaxation of the lattice that results in a decrease of the unit-cell volume, i.e. the positions of the A-reflections shift to smaller d_{hkl} values. At the same time, the nm-sized defect domains (B) are healed. At 900-1000°C only one phase remains that is a monazite with well-crystallised lattice and minimum unit cell volume.

Keywords: Monazite • annealing • Helium • XRD • TEM
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1 - Introduction

Monazite, the natural light rare earth orthophosphate, is widely used in U-Th-Pb geochronology (Parrish 1990) because of its high actinide content (up to 6 wt-% UO$_2$ and up to 20 wt-% ThO$_2$). In contrast to zircon, monazite is mostly concordant in a U-Pb concordia diagram (Schärer et al. 1986; Corfu 1988; Smith and Barreiro 1990; Landzürotti and Hanson 1995; Parrish 1995). This U-Pb concordance means that during most geological events the monazite U-Pb system is either completely reset or remains totally unaffected. To understand the significance of the U-Pb ages measured from monazite samples, one needs to understand the mechanism of U-Pb resetting.

Two processes are commonly considered to explain the resetting of an isotopic system: loss of Pb by volume diffusion out of the monazite grain or dissolution via a coexisting fluid and precipitation of a new-formed lead-free monazite. Dodson (1973) investigated the resetting by diffusion theoretically. In this model the resetting results from the outward diffusion of the daughter elements (here Pb) out of the crystal. This models introduces the concept of a closure temperature, which depends on the size of the crystal, its shape, the cooling rate during the geological event, and the diffusion coefficient of the daughter elements. For example, in a metamict crystal, Pb is able to diffuse relatively fast along the interfaces between amorphous and crystalline domains in comparison to the diffusion in the amorphous and in the crystalline domains themselves (Cherniak 1991, 1993; Murakami et al. 1991; Salje 2000; Weber et al. 1998). The resetting by a dissolution-precipitation mechanism is based on the dissolution of the crystal in a melt or in a fluid phase, followed by a re-precipitation of a newly formed crystal without re-incorporation of the daughter element. No detailed model for dissolution precipitation resetting is available, but we can predict that the kinetics of dissolution, the solubility of the crystal and the nature of the fluid phase to be important parameters.

However, for the U-Th-Pb systems, the radioactive decay produces also radiation damage that may partially or totally destroy the crystal lattice, thus producing a so-called metamict crystal. Whatever the mechanism of resetting is considered to be, it can be anticipated that the kinetics of resetting will be strongly influenced by the degree of metamictization of the crystal.
Previous studies on metamictization:

The monazite studied in the present work contains considerable amount of $^{232}$Th, $^{238}$U and $^{235}$U (Table 1). Their radioactive decay produces 6, 8, and 7 $\alpha$-particles, respectively, until $^{208}$Pb, $^{206}$Pb and $^{207}$Pb are finally created. During an $\alpha$-event a heavy atom nucleus liberates its energy by ejecting an $\alpha$-particle ($^4$He-nuclei), which carries 98% of the initial energy. The $\alpha$-particle, which has an energy between 3.9 to 8.4 MeV (Firestone and Shirley 1996), is ejected to about 10-40 µm (Owen 1988; Ewing et al. 2000; Nasdala et al. 2001b) from the point of disintegration. It dissipates most of its energy by ionisation along of its path with limited elastic collisions occurring at the end of its trajectory (Nasdala et al. 2001a) and produces isolated defects (~ 100 atomic displacements). These isolated defects or Frenkel pairs consist also of vacant and interstitial sites that may increase the unit-cell volume of the crystal. In contrast, the remaining nucleus is recoiled in an opposite direction to about 10-20 nm from the $\alpha$-particle, according to the principle of momentum conservation, and causes collision cascades (~ 700-1000 atomic displacements) (Gögen and Wagner 2000; Nasdala et al. 2001b). Most of the atomic displacements leading to amorphization of a crystal lattice are caused by alpha-recoil nuclei (Ewing et al. 1995; 2000; Nasdala et al. 1996; Weber et al. 1998).

In contrast to zircon (Speer 1982), metamict monazite is rarely found in nature (Ewing 1975). Even old, radioactive monazites are mostly crystalline, despite the intensive radiation doses they received. However, there is some evidence of radiation damage in natural monazite grains, which are limited to isolated domains within the crystal (Black et al. 1984; Meldrum et al. 1998). This suggests that, even at low temperature, the monazite lattice is healed easily (Boatner and Sales 1988).

Radiation damage in minerals can also be induced by using external heavy-ion irradiation. For most crystals it is possible to define an amorphization dose above which the crystal is totally metamict (amorphous state). The amorphization dose increases with increasing temperature and reaches a critical temperature above which amorphization can no longer be achieved, because the crystal lattice is faster thermally reconstructed than it is destroyed.

The results of extensive irradiation studies carried out on silicates and phosphates with monazite or zircon structure can be summarised as follows. At room temperature, the amorphization dose is similar for all the investigated structures. It shows that monazite is not specifically resistant to radiation damages. The main difference is based on the critical
Figure 1: SEM micrographs illustrating textural characteristics observed in unheated monazite.

a) powder of monazite grains
b) an abraded monazite grain
temperature, which for monazite is in the range 100-200°C, but for zircon is above 1000°C (Meldrum et al. 1996; 1997; 1998; 2000). Therefore monazite is able to restore its structure at low temperature, although it is not specifically resistant to radiation damage.

Previous works on the annealing of monazite provided different results. Karioris et al. (1981) in a X-ray diffraction (XRD) study, and Meldrum et al. (1998) in a Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) study, both using an ion-beam-amorphised monazite, observed recrystallization at 300 and 450°C respectively. In contrast, in a XRD study Smith and Giletti (1997) completely healed a natural crystalline monazite between 800°C and 1100°C.

The present paper shows the results of annealing experiments using a natural crystalline monazite. Using XRD and TEM (High-Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HRTEM) and Dark Field (DF)), the annealing of radiation damages was evaluated. The experimental work is completed by first attempts to document the structural recovery of heat-treated monazites by Raman spectroscopic and CL (cathodoluminescence) analyses. Implications of our results for U-Pb geochronology and nuclear waste storage are discussed.

2 - Experimental

2-1 - Starting material

A yellow-orange single crystal of monazite (3 × 2 cm in size) from a Brazilian pegmatite (Cruz et al. 1996) was used for this study. Scanning electron microscopy and optical microscopy observations showed that the crystal is almost free of solid or fluid inclusions. The chemical composition was determined using electron microprobe Cameca SX 50. The operating conditions were given in Förster (1998). The crystal is homogeneous on the micron scale. It contains about 1300 ppm of U, 69000 ppm of Th and 1600 ppm of Pb (Table 1).

The age of the crystal was determined by U-Pb dating. It is concordant in a U-Pb concordia diagram at 474 ± 1 Ma (Seydoux et al. 1999; Seydoux-Guillaume et al. submitted). From the Pb, U and Th content and the age, a time-integrated $\alpha$-dose of about $2.43 \times 10^{16}$ $\alpha$/mg is calculated, which corresponds to about 2 dpa (displacements per atom).

Previous X-ray diffraction patterns obtained with Si as an internal standard showed that the starting material is well crystallised and following cell-parameters were derived: $a=6.815(1)$ Å, $b=7.021(1)$ Å, $c=6.496(1)$ Å, $\beta=103.91(1)^\circ$.
Table 1 - EMP analyses of unheated monazite (Moacir 0).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxides</th>
<th>Wt %</th>
<th>Cations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>La₂O₃</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce₂O₃</td>
<td>30.59</td>
<td>1.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr₂O₃</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd₂O₃</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm₂O₃</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd₂O₃</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy₂O₃</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er₂O₃</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₂O₃</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>27.81</td>
<td>3.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThO₂</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>0.253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UO₂</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.19</td>
<td>8.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data represent an average of 30 analyses of a 400 µm in diameter single grain. Cations per formula unit are calculated on the basis of 16 Oxygens.

Table 2 - Experimental conditions of annealing experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Pressure (bar)</th>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-1</td>
<td>single grain</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM98-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo500-24</td>
<td>powder</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo500-48</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo500-72</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo500-96</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo500120</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo500-6d</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo500-7d</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo50014d</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo50030d</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo800-7d</td>
<td>powder</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo80014d</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo80021d</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo80030d</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo10007d</td>
<td>powder</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2-2 - Annealing experiments

Dry and wet annealing experiments were performed. Dry annealing was carried out by heating monazite powdered at different temperatures and run durations, in order to study the evolution of XRD patterns with temperature and time. The starting monazite was crushed in an agate mortar into a fine powder with a grain size in the range of < 1 µm to 20 µm (Figure 1a). About 20 mg of this powder was heated for 7 days at 500, 800 and 1000°C in a platinum crucible using a chamber furnace Heraeus K1252 (Table 2). In order to investigate the kinetics of annealing, experiments at 500°C and 800°C were performed for different run durations (Table 2). Subsequently, run products were prepared for X-ray powder diffraction investigations.

Single grains of the starting monazite were heated hydrothermally, in order to study the evolution of the lattice healing with temperature. Monazite with a grain size of 200-400µm was used as a starting material. The grains were abraded by compressed air (1.15 bars, 20 hours) and grains free of inclusion, free of impurities, and well-rounded (Figure 1b) were selected carefully for the experiments (Seydoux-Guillaume et al. submitted). 10 grains were placed in a platinum capsule together with 20µl of ultrapure water. The capsules (15 × 3 × 0.2mm) were sealed by welding and checked for leaks by heating them at 110°C for 24 hours. Experiments were carried out in standard cold seal hydrothermal pressure vessels at 2 kbar and 500 and 800°C and in an internally heated pressure vessel at 7 kbar and 900, 1000, and 1200°C (Table 2). Run durations were about 10 days. Maximum error in the temperature measurements was ± 10 °C. At the end of each run, the vessels were quenched to room temperature within a few minutes. Run products were washed with ultrapure water and prepared for TEM.

2-3 - Analytical methods

- XRD

1 mg of each powder of monazite was measured in transmission mode using a fully automated STOE STADI P diffractometer (Cu-Kα1 radiation) equipped with a primary monochromator and a 7°-position sensitive detector (PSD). The spectra were recorded in the range of 5-125 (2θ) using a step interval of 0.1°. The resolution of the PSD was set to 0.02°. Counting time was set to 135 s per detector step. Peak positions were calibrated externally using the NBS SRM-640b silicon standard. The unit-cell refinements were performed using the Rietveld-refinement program of the GSAS software package (Larson and Von Dreele...
1988). XRD patterns were also recorded for all samples in the range of 26-28° (2θ), which corresponds to the position of the reflection (200) of the monazite. Counting time was set to 1000 s per detector step. XRD patterns in the range of 26-28° (2θ) were fitted by a Gauss + Lorentz Area function, in order to measure the full width at half maximum (FWHM), amplitudes, areas and diffraction maximum positions of the (200) reflection.

- TEM

The single crystals were cut in random orientation and TEM foils were prepared by hand polishing and ion milling at 5 kV. TEM studies were carried out using a Philips CM 200 TEM operated at 200 kV using a LaB₆ electron source. HRTEM images were acquired as energy filtered images applying a 10 eV window to the zero-loss peak using a Gatan GIF™ system.

- Raman analysis

Raman spectra were obtained using a Renishaw RM 1000, which is a notch filter-based Raman spectrometer equipped with Leica DMLM optical microscope and Peltier-cooled CCD (charge-coupled device) detector. He-Ne 632.8 nm (3 mW) excitation was used. Measurements were done with a Leica 50× objective (numerical aperture 0.75). A grating with 1200 grooves per mm was used, resulting in an effective spectral resolution (apparatus function) of 3 cm⁻¹. For more experimental details see Nasdala and Massonne (2000). Previous Raman measurements had shown that the FWHM of the ν₁(PO₄) Raman band, which is used to monitor the degree of short-range order, does not show any significant orientational dependence (Nasdala et al. 1999). Therefore, the polished monazite samples (prepared in microprobe mounts with random crystallographic orientation) were oriented under the Raman microscope to get a high ν₁(PO₄) Raman band signal.

- Cathodoluminescence

CL images of monazites were taken using a JEOL JXA 8900 RL electron microprobe. The voltage was set to 20 kV with a beam current of 50 nA. As the absolute CL signal intensity emitted from a sample is most strongly affected by the experimental conditions, samples to be compared were brought simultaneously in the vacuum chamber and were analysed with exactly the same experimental conditions (identical size of scanned area, constant signal amplification, constant exposure time). Although conclusions from the
absolute intensities of the CL emission are widely limited, relative comparison of intensities is possible between images taken of the same analysis run.

3 - Results

3-1 - X-ray diffraction analysis

- Unheated monazite:

The diffraction pattern of unheated monazite (Figure 2a) shows sharp reflections of relatively high amplitudes. For some reflections a broadening at the reflection bases was observed. This broadening seems to be more pronounced along the a-direction, e. g. (200) reflection between 26-28° (2θ). A typical diffraction pattern in the range of 26-28°(2θ) of the unheated monazite is given in figure 2b. It shows one characteristic reflection (A) of high amplitude, which is very sharp (FWHM = 0.091°) and additionally a broad (FWHM = 0.425°) shoulder (B) of low amplitude (Table 3). The B-reflection maximum is located at a larger 2θ value of 27.04° (3.295Å) than the A-reflection maximum, which is located at 26.88° (3.314 Å) (Table 3). The area percentage of A and B reflections are 47.01% and 52.99% respectively (Table 3). Careful examination of the whole diffraction pattern shows that this is a general feature of all reflections.

Rietveld-refinement of the unheated monazite, assuming only a single-phase (A) with a monazite structure, failed. However, introducing a second phase (B) also with monazite structure resulted in a successful Rietveld refinement. Consequently the patterns were interpreted as two monazite phases with different lattice parameters (Table 3). Monazite (A) shows larger lattice parameters (1% in volume) than the reference crystal from Ni et al. (1995). The lattice parameters of monazite (B) are about the same as that of the reference.

- Evolution with temperature:

In order to evaluate the annealing effect on the lattice of monazite, the (200) reflections between 26 and 28° (2θ) of the unheated monazite were compared with those of the monazite heated of 500°C, 800°C and 1000°C (Figure 3). A different evolution of the sharp reflection (A) and the broad reflection (B) was observed. With increasing temperature the A-diffraction maximum shifts from 26.88 (3.314 Å) to 27.00° (3.300Å) and maximum number of counts increases from 7533 to 11226. Annealing causes a reduction of the lattice
Figure 2:

a: X-ray diffraction pattern of the unheated monazite
Note the broadening of the reflections, indicating a distortion of the monazite lattice.

b: X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the unheated monazite in the range of 26-28° (2θ). We distinguish two "phases" (A) and (B)
(A) shows a sharp reflection (FWHM$_{200}$ = 0.09) with high amplitude.
(B) exhibits very broad reflection (FWHM$_{200}$ = 0.41) with low amplitude.
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Figure 3:
X-ray powder diffraction patterns in the range of 26-28° (2θ) at different annealing conditions. We observe that the "phase" (B) disappears with increasing temperature and the (A) reflections shift to higher scattering angles. At 1000°C, X-ray diffractometry shows only one phase.

Figure 4:
Evolution of the amplitude ratios of the two phases (A) and (B) as a function of time.
a: at 500°C
b: at 800°C
(A) correspond to the sharp reflections and (B) to the broad reflections.
Table 3 - Unit-cell parameters (Å), FWHM (°2θ), amplitude (counts), centre of the maximum diffraction angle (2θ) and % area for the (200) diffraction maximum (phases A and B) for the unheated monazite and for different annealing conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample N°</th>
<th>Moacir 0</th>
<th>Mo500-7d</th>
<th>Mo800-7d</th>
<th>Mo10007d</th>
<th>Moacir 0</th>
<th>Mo500-7d</th>
<th>Mo800-7d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6.823(1)</td>
<td>6.813(1)</td>
<td>6.801(1)</td>
<td>6.786(1)</td>
<td>6.783(5)</td>
<td>6.788(7)</td>
<td>6.773(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>7.026(1)</td>
<td>7.019(1)</td>
<td>7.012(1)</td>
<td>7.007(1)</td>
<td>7.014(6)</td>
<td>7.001(7)</td>
<td>6.995(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>6.499(1)</td>
<td>6.484(1)</td>
<td>6.468(1)</td>
<td>6.464(1)</td>
<td>6.489(5)</td>
<td>6.471(6)</td>
<td>6.443(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>103.79(1)</td>
<td>103.73(1)</td>
<td>103.65(1)</td>
<td>103.57(1)</td>
<td>103.69(9)</td>
<td>103.67(10)</td>
<td>103.77(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>302.60(9)</td>
<td>301.22(9)</td>
<td>299.78(6)</td>
<td>298.77(3)</td>
<td>299.98(36)</td>
<td>298.83(42)</td>
<td>296.48(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWHM</td>
<td>0.091(1)</td>
<td>0.093(1)</td>
<td>0.083(1)</td>
<td>0.092(1)</td>
<td>0.425(6)</td>
<td>0.420(14)</td>
<td>0.296(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude</td>
<td>7533</td>
<td>3436</td>
<td>11153</td>
<td>11226</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>1088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre</td>
<td>26.88</td>
<td>26.92</td>
<td>26.95</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27.04(1)</td>
<td>27.08(1)</td>
<td>27.16(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Area</td>
<td>47.01</td>
<td>51.01</td>
<td>75.11</td>
<td>~100</td>
<td>52.99</td>
<td>48.99</td>
<td>24.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Run duration for all experiments is 7 days. Numbers in brackets correspond to 2σ errors. Moacir 0 corresponds to the unheated monazite.

Table 4 - Amplitude ratios of the (200) reflections of the two phases A and B at 500°C and 800°C as a function of time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Durations (hours)</th>
<th>500°C A/A+B</th>
<th>B/A+B</th>
<th>800°C A/A+B</th>
<th>B/A+B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 - Spectral parameters of the ν₁(PO₄) Raman band

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Annealing temperature</th>
<th>Annealing pressure</th>
<th>Band position (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Measured FWHM</th>
<th>Corrected FWHM*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>untreated</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>972.2</td>
<td>15.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>15.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>972.5</td>
<td>13.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>12.6 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-2</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>974.3</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-4</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>974.4</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM98-1</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>974.3</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-10</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>974.4</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-8</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>974.4</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-6</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>974.4</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Measured FWHMs were corrected for the apparatus function (here 3 cm⁻¹) and real FWHMs were calculated according to Irmer (1985).
parameters of monazite (A) (Table 3). However the FWHM of (A) remains small and constant ($\approx 0.09^\circ$). At 1000°C, the A-lattice parameters approach those of the reference from Ni et al. (1995).

With increasing temperature the B diffraction maximum decreases in amplitude and disappears completely at 1000°C.

- Evolution with time:

Figure 4 shows the evolution of the amplitude ratios of A and B reflections at 500°C and at 800°C as a function of time (ratios are given in Table 4). Significant variations of the A/(A+B) and B/(A+B) amplitude ratios were not observed, neither at 500°C nor at 800°C as a function of time. At 500°C the A/(A+B) ratio is about 0.8 and the B/(A+B) ratio is 0.2 whereas at 800°C the A/(A+B) ratio is about 0.9 and the B/(A+B) ratio is 0.1 (Figure 4). The ratio B/(A+B) decreases with increasing temperature but remains constant during 30 days.

3-2 - Selected Area Diffraction and HRTEM observations

SAD patterns of the unheated monazite (Figure 5a) always show sharp reflections. No differences between these SAD patterns and the SAD patterns of the sample heated at 900°C were observed. However, HRTEM image of the unheated monazite revealed isolated areas (5 nm) where the lattice fringes were blurred or absent (Figure 5a). The distorted areas pose strain on the lattice in the vicinity, which causes inhomogeneous contrast distribution close to the distorted areas (Figure 5a). These distorted domains completely disappeared at 900°C: HRTEM images of this monazite showed undistorted lattice fringes and homogeneous contrast (Figure 5b).

3-3 - Dark Field images

Dark field (DF) images of the unheated monazite (Figure 6a) show mottled diffraction contrast as a result of a mosaic structure of the crystal. The slightly different oriented domains, which represent coherent scattering volumes with slightly varying orientations, are quite homogeneously distributed in the monazite. The bright areas, e. g. figure 6a, represent small coherent scattering volumes, which have no or only a small deviation from the exact Bragg position. At 500°C these domains were still observed. However, the coherent scattering volumes became larger (Figure 6b). At 800°C, only small amounts of the distorted domains remained (Figure 6c) and they are no longer observed at 900°C (Figure 6d).
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Figure 5:

a) Energy filtered HRTEM image and corresponding diffraction pattern (Fast Fourier Transform) of the unheated monazite. Note the presence of distorted domains (arrow).
b) Energy filtered HRTEM image and corresponding diffraction pattern (FFT) of the monazite heated at 900°C, 7 Kb, 10 days (VRM98-1). The lattice fringes are not distorted.

Figure 6: TEM dark field images (DF)

a) Unheated monazite. Mottled diffraction contrast is due to the presence of distorted areas. Their distribution is quite homogeneous in the grain.
b) Monazite heated at 500°C, 1 Kb, 12 days (VRM97-1). Mottled diffraction contrasts are still present. However the coherent scattering volumes became larger.
c) Monazite heated at 800°C, 1 Kb, 15 days (VRM97-2). Only a small amount of the distorted domains remained.
d) Monazite heated at 900°C, 7 Kb, 10 days (VRM98-1). No more distorted areas are visible.
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Figure 7: Raman spectra of the untreated monazite in comparison with spectra of annealed samples. Note the decreasing width of the $n_1(PO_4)$ band (the intense peak at ~972-974 cm$^{-1}$). Measured FWHMs are given.

Figure 8: CL images of the same four samples as in figure 7. The relative intensity of the area-integrated CL signal was measured on a random greyscale ($0 =$ black; $256 =$ white). The values at the ordinate axis represent the maximums of the respective greyscale distribution patterns.
Line scan of a certain volume of monazite did not show any detectable change in chemical composition.

3-4 - Raman measurements

The Raman spectra of monazites annealed at high temperature (Figure 7) show clearly narrower bands than the spectrum of untreated monazite, which shows however only moderate band broadening. Monazite annealed at 500 °C shows only moderately decreased Raman band broadening. Raman spectral parameters obtained from samples annealed between 800 and 1200 °C are identical within their errors (Table 5). At 800 °C, the recovery of the short-range order was almost as complete as after annealing at 1200 °C.

3-5 - Cathodoluminescence

The CL results are only briefly documented here and will be described in more detail elsewhere. CL images of monazites were taken from areas 30 × 120 µm in size. Four sample images are presented in figure 8. We did not observe notable inhomogeneities, which confirms the statement above that the studied monazite is chemically homogeneous at a micro-scale. Series of images were obtained in order to check potential changes of the relative CL signal intensity with annealing. In figure 8 it can be seen that annealed monazites emit more intense CL than the untreated sample. Corresponding with the other analytical results, most changes are observed between 500 and 800°C whereas above 800°C intensity variations are insignificant.

4 - Discussion

4-1 - Interpretation of the results

X-Ray diffraction patterns of the unheated monazite show the coexistence of two diffraction domains (A) and (B), which can be interpreted as two monazite phases with slightly different lattice parameters and different crystallinity (Table 3). The first one (A) shows sharp reflections of high amplitudes (Figure 2b). This means that (A) corresponds to a well-ordered, well-crystallised monazite with larger unit-cell volume (302.60 Å³). The second diffraction domains (B) show broad reflections of low amplitudes, 3.5 times lower than (A) (Table 3). Diffraction domain (B) is interpreted as a distorted monazite crystal lattice composed of “islands” with an expanded lattice, induced by presence of interstitials, and
“islands” of a compressed monazite lattice, induced by presence of vacancies. These vacancies and interstitials (Frenkel pairs) have resulted from α-recoil damage. The recoiled heavy nucleus knocks atoms from its lattice position into an interstitial position. The interstitials induce an expansion of the unit-cell volume of adjacent crystalline areas. The removed atoms create vacancies causing slight relaxations of the lattice (Figure 9b). Both the “islands” of expanded and contracted lattice will pose stress on the lattice vicinity, which is visible in the HRTEM images (Figure 5a) and accounts for the decreased short-range order causing Raman band broadening. The broadening (XRD) of the B-reflection, with its centre at the position of the reference reflection from Ni et al. (1995), is due to the expanded or compressed diffraction domains and additional small particle size. Furthermore, expansion of the A-domains will exert stress on the (B) domains too. The similar area percentages of the two domains show that the volume fraction of (A) in monazite seems to be the same as for (B). The expansion of the A-monazite lattice is discussed below, because it could be due to several mechanisms like differences in chemical composition compared with the B-monazite, disordering of atoms in the lattice, i.e. presence of defects in the A-monazite, or incorporation of He in the lattice.

TEM images (HRTEM and DF) support also the idea of the presence of two domains: nm-sized domains with blurred lattice fringes and well-crystallised domains (Figure 5a). According to the XRD results, we refer the (A) phase to these crystallised domains and the (B) phase to the distorted nm-sized domains. Consequently, the unheated monazite is a mosaic crystal composed of well-crystallised domains (A) and nm-sized distorted regions (B).

With increasing annealing temperature XRD-patterns exhibited a lower amplitude of the broad reflections (B) (Figure 3 and Table 3). This is also supported by TEM images (DF) with a gradual coarsening of the mosaic structure (Figures 6b and c). We interpret this as a progressive healing of defects in distorted domains (B) with increasing temperature. On the contrary, the FWHM of (A) reflections remain constant and narrow with increasing temperature, suggesting that (A)-domains in the unheated monazite were already well crystallised (Table 3). The complete absence of the broad reflections in the XRD pattern at 1000°C, correlates with the absence of the distorted domains in the HRTEM image (Figure 5b) and a homogeneous diffraction contrast on the DF image (Figure 6d). At 900°C the presence of a well-crystallised monazite lattice indicates complete healing of the structural radiation damage.
Raman spectroscopy was introduced by Nasdala et al. (1995) as a method to estimate the degree of metamictisation. These authors found that the gradually decreasing short-range order in metamict zircons causes increasingly broadening of Raman bands, accompanied by frequency shifts towards lower wavenumbers due to the general widening of bond lengths. In the case of monazites, however, decreased short-range order and, with that, Raman band broadening as well as shifted peak positions, can be due to both structural (radiation damage) and chemical causes (e.g., local lattice distortion due to uneven cation occupation). For instance, Podor (1995) has demonstrated that Raman spectra of synthetic REE phosphates show systematic band broadening and peak shifts with increasing actinide content. Correspondingly, Nasdala et al. (1999) obtained significantly broadened Raman spectra from natural monazites that were, in spite of Th contents exceeding 10 wt-% and self-irradiation doses exceeding $5 \times 10^{16} \alpha/\text{mg}$, well crystalline. Therefore, quantitative estimation of the degree of radiation damage from the Raman spectra alone is limited. It is, however, possible to analyse the spectra obtained from our chemically identical samples in view of potentially different contributions of their different degrees of radiation damage to the Raman spectral parameters. Observations in figure 7 suggest that a major portion of the initial Raman band broadening is caused by radiation damage. The greatly enhanced short-range order of the heat-treated samples points clearly to the structural recovery through annealing. This is also consistent with the observed moderate band shifts towards higher wavenumbers (Table 5; c. p. discussion on zircon by Nasdala et al. 1995). Furthermore, the observations suggest that most of the healing of the initially metamict structure must have occurred between 500 and 800°C. This is in agreement with the results given by the other methods.

Although quantitative conclusions are widely limited at the present stage, the comparison of the obtained spectra (Figure 7) with the Raman band broadening of other radiation-damaged minerals such as zircon (Nasdala et al. 1995) and biotite (Nasdala et al. 2001a) suggests that the untreated monazite sample represents only intermediate metamictization. This is consistent with the TEM observations above. Considering that minerals are fully amorphised after accumulating about 0.3 – 0.5 dpa (e.g., Weber et al. 1991), it is clear that the studied monazite (self-irradiation dose $\approx 2$ displacements per atom (dpa)) cannot have accumulated the complete radiation damage since the time of its growth 474 Ma ago. In contrast, only a small portion of the damage is stored whereas most of the radiation damage must have been annealed. The major annealing of the radiation damage has, however, not affected the concordant U-Th-Pb isotope system of the monazite. The common
radiation damage annealing without significant Pb loss has been documented by Nasdala et al (2001b) for zircons from Sri Lanka and other localities.

The results using the CL confirm first, that monazites are poor CL emitters, when compared with zircon. The recorded CL intensity was generally low and high signal amplification was needed to produce greyscale images. Thus, CL emission of natural minerals is not only determined by their chemical composition but is also affected by structural parameters, in our case the degree of radiation damage.

In the following the small A-lattice parameters, the shift of reflection (A) towards larger scattering angles and the decrease in amplitude of the B-reflection with increasing annealing temperature is discussed.

Chemical heterogeneities

The unit cell of monazite depends on its composition. Monazite is a complex solid solution, and the unit-cell volume of the major end member are 296.23 Å³ (huttonite), 289.47 Å³ (brabantite), 292.95 Å³ (Nd), 305.69 Å³ (La), 299.49 Å³ (Ce), but can be as low as 279.08 Å³ (Gd) (Devidal and Montel 1999). Consequently, two coexisting monazites with different chemical compositions would have different unit-cell parameters. If a different chemical composition would be the origin of the peak shift, we should expect a progressive homogenisation of the crystal with increasing temperature.

A volume increase of the A-monazite due to different chemical compositions is rejected, because monazite is very homogeneous on a nm-scale. If there is any chemical heterogeneity in the crystal, it must be beyond the detection and resolution limit of TEM, which is unlikely. Another argument against this hypothesis is that such a lattice expansion was recently also reported for monazite (Smith and Giletti 1997), for zircon (Holland and Gottfried 1955; Murakami et al. 1991) and for pyrochlore (Lumpkin and Ewing 1988). The lattice expansion might be a more general problem related to the amorphization/annealing process.

Presence of Frenkel defects

We interpret the distorted (B) domains as old alpha-recoil tracks. These isolated “islands” are expanded or can be compressed, and consequently compress or expand the adjacent crystalline regions of the sample (Lumpkin and Ewing 1988; Salje et al. 1999).
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Figure 9:
Model describing the (A) and (B) domains (a and b). The results from HRTEM and XRD from the unheated monazite are given in c and d. During an α-event a heavy atom nucleus liberates its energy in ejecting an α-particle. The remaining nucleus recoils in an opposite direction from the α-particle. This recoil creates Frenkel pairs, i.e. interstitial atom (1) and vacancy (2), which exert stress on the lattice in the vicinity (see b). These distorted domains correspond to the domains (B). They are interpreted as a He-free distorted monazite crystal lattice, which can be referred to old α-recoil tracks, and is composed of "islands" with an expanded lattice, induced by presence of interstitials (1), and "islands" of a compressed monazite lattice, induced by presence of vacancies (2). Both the "islands" will pose stress on the lattice in the vicinity (see b). The broadening of the (B)-reflection is due to the expanded or compressed diffraction domains and due to the different amount of the distortion (see d). He accumulates in the crystalline regions (A) (see a and c) and induces an expansion of the unit-cell volume (see d). During the heating, domains (B) are healed, then progressively disappear. He diffuses out of the monazite lattice, inducing a relaxation of the lattice of the regions (A). At 900 C, healing of the lattice is completed.
Expansion of (A) results in a compressive stress on (B). However, the scattering volumes referred to the A-reflections (Table 3) show a well-crystallised lattice with a volume increase of 1% compared with monazite, which was annealed at 1000°C. The expansion has been explained previously with the presence of Frenkel defects (Murakami et al. 1986; 1991; Weber et al. 1998). Such point defects cannot be resolved by HRTEM and XRD, which is also demonstrated in a recent study by Nasdala et al. (2001a).

With increasing temperature Frenkel defects are healed, i.e. interstitial cations diffuse into the vacant sites, resulting in a relaxation of the lattice and a shift of the A-reflections to smaller dhkl values. Alpha-recoil-tracks are healed and consequently the B-domains disappear.

However, with increasing temperature the FWHM of (A) remains constant. Healing of point defects should have resulted in a reduction of the FWHM, which is not observed. This suggests that either the effect of the interstitial atoms ordering in the lattice is not significant enough so that we observe a decrease of the A-FWHM with increasing temperature, or that this hypothesis is not correct. Furthermore, interstitials in the (A)-lattice will induce an expansion of the lattice, which can be compensated by vacancies. This would be in contradiction to the observed increase of the lattice parameters.

Presence of He (Figure 9)

We calculated that the studied monazite has experienced about \(2.43 \times 10^{16}\) alpha decay-events per mg since its growth 474 Ma ago. Ultimately those particles will become He atoms. We have calculated that each gram of the monazite produced 0.17 mg of He. Direct measurements of the He content in this monazite are in agreement with this calculation, and lead to U/Th-He age of 430 Ma (Pik, Personal Communication). This means that since 474 Ma nearly all the He has been stored in the monazite lattice. The accumulation of such a large amount of He in the monazite lattice should expand the monazite lattice (Weber et al. 1998).

It seems to be unlikely that He is accumulated in the distorted lattice domains, corresponding to the broad (B) reflections. He cannot accumulate in those areas because the crystal lattice is distorted, unable to trap atoms. Furthermore, during an alpha-decay event He is ejected far away (10-30 µm) in the opposite direction as the alpha-recoil-atom (10-20 nm), i.e. far from the old alpha-recoil-tracks-(B) domains.

The sharp reflections (A) correspond to the well-crystallised areas where He atoms are homogeneously distributed, thus inducing an expansion of the monazite lattice. Homogeneously distributed He in these domains will not modify the periodicity of the unit-
cell, i.e. not modify the FWHM of monazite (=0.09°(2θ)), which is in agreement with our results.

During heating, the alpha-recoil-tracks are healed, then disappear progressively. Simultaneously to the healing of the alpha recoil tracks with annealing, He diffuses out of the monazite lattice, inducing a relaxation of the lattice. This results in a decrease of the unit-cell volume, i.e. A-reflections shift to higher 2θ values (smaller d_{hkl} values) but the FWHM of (A) remains constant. Erichsen (1951) has demonstrated that at 600°C, 6 days, only about 50% of the total He of the monazite is lost. This is in agreement with our results, which still showed an expansion of domains (A) at 500°C.

A rough estimate of the accumulated He in monazite can be done using a very simple solid sphere model: 2.5 × 10^{16} He /mg is about 0.01 mole He in 1 mole of monazite. The volume occupied by atoms in 1 mole of monazite, calculated from the composition given in Table 1, is 30.71 cm³. The volume of 0.01 mole of He atoms (atomic radius 1.28 Å) is then 0.053 cm³. The increase in volume of atom due to the presence of He is about 0.2%. The observed relative variation in volume change of the unit cell during the annealing process is 1%, which is 5 times more than calculated. The discrepancy is not large considering two arguments. First, in this model, all the He atoms are assumed to be accumulated only in the volume fraction represented by the sharp-reflections (A). Consequently, the amount of He in the undistorted lattice volume is larger. Second, the method we used to estimate the effect of He on the monazite structure is very crude because it takes in account only volumes, and not geometry. Therefore we conclude that as far as volume is concerned, the He accumulation may explain the order of magnitude of expansion observed in undistorted regions (A).

Concerning the kinetic of the annealing process, we conclude that after 24 hours and even at 800°C it is too slow to show significant change of the A/B amplitude ratios (Figure 4 and Table 4) within experimental conditions (here 1 month). This is in agreement with the slow rate of cation diffusion in monazite (Smith and Giletti 1997; Suzuki et al. 1994; Montel and Seydoux 1998). However, Erichsen (1951) demonstrates that the He is leaving the monazite within the first 10-20 hours; then, they observed a “steady state”. We suggest that, during the 10-20 first hours He diffuses faster out of the crystal due to the radiation damage in domains (B). In the same time there is a rearrangement of the atoms by diffusion in these domains. This diffusion will be relatively fast if the vacancies and the interstitials are not so far from each other. However, there is a moment where the atoms are too far away and where
the diffusion will be too slow (after 20 hours). This might explain why the A/A+B or B/A+B ratios are quite constant (Figure 4). Thus, He diffusion becomes too slow and He is trapped in the monazite lattice.

4-2 - Comparison with previous studies

In the introduction, we mentioned some contradictions in the literature concerning the annealing temperature of monazite. Two different temperature ranges are proposed: between 300°C (Karioris et al. 1981) and 450°C (Meldrum et al. 1998) and between 1100°C (Smith and Giletti 1997) and 900°C (this study). The first difference, between 300 and 450°C, is attributed to the different methods used to characterise the process of annealing. Karioris et al. 1981 only used XRD, whereas Meldrum et al. (1998) used HRTEM. However, using only XRD it is more difficult to exactly determine if a structure is completely healed or if it still contains some defects (cf. this study). The difference between our study and that of Smith and Giletti (1997) is explained by the same argument.

Considering the difference between 450°C (Meldrum et al. 1998) and 900°C (this study) we would argue that the starting material was different for these studies. A completely metamict monazite, amorphised by 800 KeV Kr+ ions, was used by Meldrum et al. (1998), and a crystalline monazite with a small amount of defects in the study presented here. The differences in temperature may be due to the type of healing process: epitaxial recrystallization vs. diffusion. Epitaxial recrystallization starts immediately after the beginning of nucleation (not observed in our study). We observed that the diffusion rate is very slow and healing of defects by diffusion needs higher temperature to be more efficient. Metamict monazite begins to recrystallise at about 450°C. The lattice defects of crystalline monazite, which contains isolated distorted domains due to irradiation damage, are healed at about 900°C. This observation seems to concur very well with recent results of Nasdala et al. (2001b) who found that isolated Frenkel pairs are a comparably stable type of radiation damage whereas more radiation-damaged clusters are more easily annealed.

Furthermore, it is important to note that these studies treat annealing without considering the competing amorphization process. In nature there is always competition between these two processes. Many studies illustrate that monazite already healed at 200°C (Meldrum et al. 1996; 1997; 1998; 2000...).
4-3 - Differences between monazite and zircon

The reflection broadening in XRD-patterns mentioned above was already observed in the Harriman monazite by Smith and Giletti (1997) (Figure 6 page 1052). However the resolution of their diffractometer was not sufficient to clearly separate the two reflections and therefore, the authors did not interpret the diffraction pattern. Nevertheless, it is obvious that the raw monazite (200) reflection is composed of two reflections: a sharp one on the left and a broad one on the right. The broad reflection decreases with increasing temperature and totally disappears at the annealing at 1100°C, just like in our study.

Murakami et al. (1991) investigated irradiation damage in zircon. They presented results of a study of a suite of natural zircon samples from Sri Lanka that span a range of doses over which the transition from the crystalline to amorphous metamict state occurred. They defined three different stages of damage accumulation (Figure 17 page 1527 in article). We did not observe the complete range of these stages in our study. Our starting monazite is equivalent to Murakami’s Ib stage, which corresponds to a crystalline monazite with nm-sized distorted domains. Murakami et al. (1986) and (1991) described their XRD (200) pattern in stage Ib as an asymmetric reflection resulting from a combination of a Bragg diffraction maximum and a diffuse scattering component (Figure 2 page 749 in Murakami et al. 1986). We supposed that this asymmetry is visible, only because they used a low-resolution diffractometer. The Bragg diffraction component may correspond to the reflection (A) in our study and the diffuse scattering component may be referred to the reflection (B). The authors report that the symmetric Bragg diffraction maximum shifts to smaller values of 2θ with increasing dose (increasing unit-cell volume), which is in agreement with our observations. The diffuse scattering component in the diffraction pattern is assigned to interstitial defects. This explanation seems not to be appropriate, because the presence of defects should increase the lattice volume, i.e. a diffuse scattering component should be located at smaller scattering angles. We suggest to explain the diffuse scattering component in terms of the reflection (B) observed in our study.

4-4 - Applications for geochronology

Presently, two processes are proposed to explain the resetting of an isotopic system in monazite: loss of Pb by volume diffusion out of the monazite grain or dissolution by a coexisting fluid and precipitation of a newly formed monazite.

Pb diffusion in monazite is known to be very slow (Suzuki et al. 1994; Smith and Giletti 1997). However radiation damages can increase the rate of diffusion, because these defects
provide channels for the diffusion. Resetting of monazite depends on the behaviour of its crystal lattice with temperature. If the monazite lattice is destroyed by irradiation, Pb can be lost by diffusion through these channels, i.e. interface between crystalline and amorphous domains. If the monazite lattice is pristine, Pb diffusion will be not an efficient mechanism for resetting, because of the slow rate of Pb diffusion in monazite; resetting occurs by a dissolution-precipitation process.

It is generally observed in nature that monazite is very often well crystalline, because irradiation damage is rapidly healed at low temperature. This means that, in geologic samples, Pb diffusion occurs predominantly within a pristine, organised crystal structure. To conclude, discordant U-Pb ages obtained for monazite mainly result from resetting by dissolution-precipitation.

Cherniak (1993) studied the Pb diffusion in titanite. She demonstrated that metamict titanite is much less retentive for Pb and that Pb isotope ratios should rarely be preserved in this structure. The author calculated the closure temperatures, i.e. the temperature at which the daughter nuclide begins to accumulate in the structure, for metamict and crystalline titanite. A titanite crystal (0.005 cm-diameter) will still retain Pb isotope information when heated at 600°C for several million years. In contrast, a metamict titanite of the same size will retain Pb only when heated at a temperature < 200°C, for several million years. All these results show that closure temperature for minerals should be used carefully. We cannot explain an age discordance by simply using the closure temperature of a mineral, because it depends much on the lattice of the mineral, metamict or crystalline. This might explain the reported differences in closure temperature of monazite between 720-750°C (Copeland et al. 1988) and 530 ± 25°C (Black et al. 1984).

4-5 - Implications for nuclear waste

Monazite is studied in order to check its ability to incorporate large amount of actinides and to investigate its resistance to dissolution and irradiation damage. The aim is to find crystalline ceramics with a monazite-like structure as a container for high-level nuclear waste (Boatner et al. 1980; 1981; Boatner and Sales 1988).

Our study shows that although old (474 Ma), radioactive (1300 ppm of U and 69000 ppm of Th) and containing small amounts of nanometer scale irradiation damages, our monazite is not metamict, because of its ability to repair damage domains at relatively low temperature. Irradiation experiments show that monazite cannot be amorphised at temperatures exceeding 200°C (Meldrum et al. 1997; 1998). That means that radiation
damages cannot accumulate in monazite because during annealing the defects are healed faster than the lattice is damaged. Therefore it can be speculated that nuclear waste in a monazite-like matrix will remain in crystalline state during all the storage time.

5 - Conclusions

It is concluded that the investigated Brazilian monazite is like a mosaic crystal. The mosaic consists of two domains (A) and (B), which are basically two monazite crystals with slightly different lattice parameters. Diffraction domains (A) show sharp reflections with high amplitude. They are assigned to a well-crystallised lattice with small volume expansion (1%). Diffraction domains (B) exhibit very broad reflections with low amplitude and represent a distorted lattice, which can be referred to old alpha-recoil tracks. We calculated that about $2.5 \times 10^{16}$ α/mg have been accumulated in this monazite since 474 Ma. It is suggested that the (A) domains correspond to well-crystallised areas where He atoms are trapped. The trapped He causes an expansion of the monazite lattice (A). Consequently the A-reflections are shifted to smaller scattering angles $2\theta$. Diffraction domains (B) are interpreted as a distorted monazite crystal lattice composed of “islands” with an expanded lattice (interstitials), and “islands” of a compressed monazite lattice, (vacancies). Both the “islands” will pose stress (compression and/or dilatation) on the lattice in the vicinity. The broadening of the B-reflections is due to the expanded/compressed diffraction domains and due to the different amount of the distortion. Therefore, in the (B) domains, He cannot accumulate because the crystal net is very poor, unable to trap atoms.

During annealing, the alpha-recoil-tracks are healed, and the diffraction domains (B) progressively disappear. At the same time, He diffuses out of the monazite lattice, inducing a relaxation of the lattice (volume decrease). The (A) reflections are shifted to larger scattering angles (smaller $d_{hkl}$-values). At 900°C only one phase remains that is a monazite with well-crystallised lattice and minimum unit cell volume.

Why is monazite never metamict? Although it is obvious that monazite is able to heal its structure at low temperature (100-200°C), it is not sure that this low temperature annealing is the only effect. It is surprising that during annealing nearly all the He remains accumulated in the monazite lattice. It is also possible to consider an ionisation-annealing induced by He-ion irradiation, a phenomenon observed in apatite (Ouchani et al. 1997). This is in agreement with the assumption that the well-crystallised domains correspond to the He-rich domains.
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CHAPTER III

Resetting of U-Pb isotopic geochronological system

“C’est en un sens à force d’étudier l’homme que nous nous sommes mis hors d’état de le connaitre.”
Jean-Jacques Rousseau - Discours sur l’origine de l’inégalité parmi les hommes
Experimental resetting of the U-Th-Pb system in monazite

Abstract

Abraded fragments (200 to 400 µm) of a large and chemically homogeneous Brazilian monazite crystal, characterised by a concordant U-Pb ages of 474 ± 1 Ma ($^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}=19.5$), were hydrothermally treated at varying temperatures with solutions of different compositions. Experiments with pure water over a temperature range of 800 to 1200°C, at 700 MPa and durations ranging from 5 to 60 days showed that even at 1200°C any dissolution and recrystallization of new monazite is confined to the outermost surface of the grain. Neither Pb-diffusion at the EMP scale, nor significant discordancy was observed. Additionally, we were performed experiments at 800°C and 1000°C for different durations using different fluid compositions at quartz saturation: a 10 wt % CaCl$_2$ fluid, a 10 wt % SrCl$_2$ fluid, a 10 wt % NaCl fluid and a fluid containing NBS 982 Pb standard ($^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}=1$). Product monazites from each run were analysed with SEM, EMP, SIMS and ID-TIMS.

For all runs, EMP traverses revealed no Pb-diffusion profiles. Significant overgrowths of newly formed monazite are documented by SEM analyses. They occurred only in the 1000°C experiments when either CaCl$_2$ or Pb-bearing fluids were present. In the CaCl$_2$ experiment two zones could be distinguished within the crystal: a core possessing the initial monazite composition and a rim consisting of newly formed monazite produced by dissolution/precipitation which was enriched in Ca and Pb-free. ID-TIMS dating of single grains treated with SrCl$_2$ and CaCl$_2$ solutions at 1000°C are significantly discordant. Experiments employing the NBS Pb-standard produced sub-concordant monazite, for which the $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ apparent age has become older than prior to the experiment (544 Ma at 800°C and 495 Ma at 1000°C). The newly grown monazite rim had obviously incorporated Pb from the fluid.

None of our reaction products contained a detectable diffusion profile. Except for the Pb-experiment at 800°C, the only resetting mechanism we detected involved dissolution/precipitation. It is clear that the extent of the dissolution/precipitation process depends on fluid composition. Based on these results, we conclude that dissolution/precipitation rather than diffusion controls the resetting of monazite in natural rocks.

Keywords: Monazite • U-Pb isotopes • SIMS • resetting • fluid • dissolution/precipitation
Chapter III - Resetting of U-Pb isotopic geochronological system

1 - Introduction

The light rare earth element (LREE)-phosphate monazite is widely used as a U-Th-Pb geochronometer to determine the timing of both magmatic and metamorphic events (Parrish, 1990). In most cases, \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) and \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{235}\text{U}\) ages in monazites are concordant (e.g. Schärer et al., 1986; Corfu, 1988; Smith and Barreiro, 1990; Landzirotti and Hanson, 1995; Parrish, 1995; Simpson et al., 2000...); nevertheless, discordant U-Pb ages have also been reported. Such discordant data may reflect mixing of different grain populations that crystallised at different times within a particular rock (Black et al., 1984; Bertrand et al., 1993; Childe et al., 1993); or a mixture of newly grown rims with inherited cores (Montel et al., 1996; Braun et al., 1998; Cocherie et al., 1998; Crowley and Ghent, 1999; Williams et al., 1999; Zhu and O’Nions, 1999; Poitrasson et al., 2000; Rubatto et al., 2001; Townsend et al., 2001...); or else a diffusive Pb loss (Suzuki and Adachi, 1994; Suzuki et al., 1994). Clearly the correct interpretation of measured isotope ratios, then of the ages, requires a detailed understanding of the resetting process that affects the isotope system of monazite.

Despite the fact that major analytical progress on the U-Th-Pb isotope system in natural monazite has recently been achieved by SIMS (Harrison, 1995; Grove and Harrison, 1999; Zhu et al., 1997, Rubatto et al., 2001...), LA-ICP-MS (Poitrasson, 1996; 2000) and EMP methods (Montel et al., 1996; Cocherie et al., 1998; Crowley and Ghent, 1999), the controlling mechanisms that induce its partial or complete resetting during a geological event are only poorly understood. There is overall agreement that, in nature, the U-Th-Pb system in monazite may be reset by loss of Pb by interacting with coexisting fluids, implying a dissolution/precipitation process. The partitioning of elements between fluid and growing monazite would thus define the U-Th-Pb budget of any newly formed rims.

With respect to resetting by diffusive Pb-loss, there have been several attempts to interpret discordant ages of natural monazite grains in terms of Dodson’s (1973)-closure temperature. Estimations for closure temperatures range from \(530 \pm 25^\circ\text{C}\) (Black et al., 1984) to \(725 \pm 25^\circ\text{C}\) (Copeland et al., 1988). A major drawback of the closure temperature concept is that it ignores all quantification of the main driving force for any diffusion process; in this case the chemical potential gradient of the Pb between monazite and the adjacent phase, be it silicate, fluid or melt. If this gradient is small or zero, significant Pb-loss via volume diffusion is unlikely. Additionally, experiments on Pb–self-diffusion in monazite by Smith and Giletti (1997) showed that such self-diffusion is very slow, even at high temperatures. Furthermore, it has been shown that monazite inclusions shielded by host minerals such as quartz or garnet
are not subject to resetting, despite the fact that they were exposed to granulite-facies temperatures of more than 800°C over long time scales (De Wolf et al., 1993; Kalt et al., 2000; Montel et al., 2000). It thus appears that volume diffusion is not a key mechanism for the resetting of the U-Pb system of monazite.

Dissolution/precipitation in the presence of a fluid phase is a second possible mechanism for a partial or even a complete resetting of the monazite isotope system. If so, one would expect that fluid composition has a major effect on the process. In a previous study, Teufel and Heinrich (1997) demonstrated that the hydrothermal treatment of natural monazite grains larger than 40µm at 750°C/0.3 GPa using pure water did not affect their U-Pb system. Significant dissolution and precipitation along with Pb-loss into pure water was only observed when very fine powdered monazite was used as starting material. Obviously these experiments with pure water did not demonstrate definitely whether dissolution-precipitation is an important process for resetting large natural monazites.

In this study we present an experimental study of the resetting of a natural monazite under hydrothermal conditions. The effects of pure water at high temperature (>800°C), NaCl, CaCl₂ and SrCl₂-bearing fluids on the dissolution-precipitation process and the concomitant redistributions of elements and isotopes are evaluated. NaCl was used as an analogue for relevant crustal fluid. Sr- and Ca-bearing fluids were used to test for an exchange between Ca²⁺ (1.18 Å) or Sr²⁺ (1.31 Å) with Pb²⁺ (1.35 Å) (radii from Shannon, 1976) and because both of these elements are abundant in monazite hosting minerals such as garnet and plagioclases. Further, we investigated the effect on the Pb-isotopic compositions of monazite if treated with a NBS 982 Pb solution. This Pb-spike is very depleted in ²⁰⁸Pb compared to monazite so that any modification to the Pb system should be readily visible. Furthermore, because crustal fluids are generally SiO₂ saturated, we buffered most experiments with SiO₂, except for those experiments involving pure water. Our data constrain which mechanisms dominate the resetting of the U-Th-Pb isotope system in monazite and we establish what influence the composition of the fluid phase and temperature have on this process.
### Table 1 - EMP analyses for the untreated monazite (Untreated Mz) and some representative analyses for a monazite grain from the VRM0-1 experiment (1000°C, 1GPa, 21 days) at different distances from the crystal rim (see figure 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance along E-F (µm) in VRM0-1 (1000°C, CaCl₂-bearing fluid)</th>
<th>Untreated Mz</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>102</th>
<th>104</th>
<th>110</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>27.81</td>
<td>27.46</td>
<td>28.07</td>
<td>27.14</td>
<td>27.03</td>
<td>27.33</td>
<td>27.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThO₂</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>7.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UO₂</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y₂O₃</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce₂O₃</td>
<td>30.59</td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td>29.92</td>
<td>29.87</td>
<td>29.23</td>
<td>29.56</td>
<td>30.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr₂O₃</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd₂O₃</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>10.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm₂O₃</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd₂O₃</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb₂O₃</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy₂O₃</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho₂O₃</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er₂O₃</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb₂O₃</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu₂O₃</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>99.18</td>
<td>99.19</td>
<td>98.43</td>
<td>97.94</td>
<td>99.35</td>
<td>98.71</td>
<td>98.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cations (pfu) on the basis of 16 Oxygens</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>3.782</td>
<td>3.734</td>
<td>3.812</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.704</td>
<td>3.747</td>
<td>3.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>1.799</td>
<td>1.764</td>
<td>1.757</td>
<td>1.785</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>1.753</td>
<td>1.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>8.013</td>
<td>8.018</td>
<td>7.995</td>
<td>8.017</td>
<td>8.012</td>
<td>7.995</td>
<td>7.998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End members</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LREEPO₄</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHREEPO₄</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaThU(PO₄)₂</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbThU(PO₄)₂</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ThSiO₄</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USiO₄</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysed sample</td>
<td>Weight (µg)</td>
<td>U (ppm)</td>
<td>Pb rad (ppm)</td>
<td>(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb})</td>
<td>(^{208}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb})</td>
<td>(^{238}\text{U} / ^{208}\text{Pb})</td>
<td>(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated monazite 1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1216</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>3692</td>
<td>19.5718</td>
<td>0.07631 ± 5</td>
<td>0.5950 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated monazite 2</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>1788</td>
<td>4651</td>
<td>19.4575</td>
<td>0.07629 ± 5</td>
<td>0.5951 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated monazite 3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>1189</td>
<td>3773</td>
<td>19.4590</td>
<td>0.07650 ± 27</td>
<td>0.5973 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated monazite 4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>1758</td>
<td>3693</td>
<td>19.4553</td>
<td>0.07634 ± 36</td>
<td>0.5956 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated monazite 5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>1399</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>19.6078</td>
<td>0.07646 ± 64</td>
<td>0.5965 ± 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-10 (1000°C, H(_2)O)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1248</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>19.2005</td>
<td>0.07457 ± 37</td>
<td>0.5814 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-8 (1100°C, H(_2)O)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>1757</td>
<td>19.3870</td>
<td>0.07554 ± 53</td>
<td>0.5892 ± 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-8 (2) (1100°C, H(_2)O)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>1412</td>
<td>1758</td>
<td>19.2604</td>
<td>0.07542 ± 115</td>
<td>0.5878 ± 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-6 (1200°C, H(_2)O)</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>1623</td>
<td>2141</td>
<td>18.9959</td>
<td>0.07555 ± 33</td>
<td>0.5887 ± 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM99-2 (1100°C, H(_2)O-SiO(_2))</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1076</td>
<td>1484</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>19.0767</td>
<td>0.07579 ± 216</td>
<td>0.5915 ± 299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-7 (800°C, Pb-spike)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>852</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>16.9981</td>
<td>0.08699 ± 44</td>
<td>0.7000 ± 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-18 (1000°C, Pb-spike)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1392</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>18.1686</td>
<td>0.07851 ± 70</td>
<td>0.6181 ± 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-4 (800°C, CaCl(_2))</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>18.8929</td>
<td>0.07640 ± 147</td>
<td>0.5959 ± 178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-1 (1000°C, CaCl(_2))</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>3253</td>
<td>19.7006</td>
<td>0.02464 ± 43</td>
<td>0.1921 ± 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-3 (800°C, SrCl(_2))</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>3630</td>
<td>19.3986</td>
<td>0.07626 ± 75</td>
<td>0.5945 ± 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-2 (1000°C, SrCl(_2))</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>4555</td>
<td>19.4326</td>
<td>0.06416 ± 105</td>
<td>0.5001 ± 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-17 (800°C, NaCl)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>2838</td>
<td>19.5046</td>
<td>0.07546 ± 36</td>
<td>0.5873 ± 35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For untreated monazites, a 4 pg blank correction was applied corresponding to a corrected \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb}\) ratio of around 6500. For the treated monazites, it was necessary to add an experimental blank in the calculations. Nevertheless, it is not possible to precisely define an experimental blank. Consequently, an individual experimental blank was determined for each experiment in order to obtain a corrected \(^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{204}\text{Pb}\) ratio of 6500.
2 - Sample selection and description, and experimental procedure

A monazite single crystal, 3×2-cm in size, from the Itambe pegmatite district (Brazil, Cruz et al., 1996) was used for this study. The yellow-orange crystal is nearly free of fluid and solid inclusions, and is homogeneous at the micrometer scale. EMP-analyses show a composition typical for natural monazites (Table 1, first column) and it contains 7.25 wt.% ThO\(_2\), 0.13 wt.% UO\(_2\) and 0.16 wt.% PbO. In terms of end-members, the LREE\(_{La-Gd}\)PO\(_4\) component makes up 89.8 mol% and the Y+HREE\(_{Tb-Lu}\)PO\(_4\) component 1.7 mol%. Th is incorporated through about equal amounts of brabantite CaTh(PO\(_4\))\(_2\) (3.8 mol%) and huttonite ThSiO\(_4\) (4.3 mol%) components. Detailed study using TEM, XRD, and raman methods showed that this monazite is non-metamict (Seydoux-Guillaume et al., submitted). Multiple ID-TIMS dating yielded concordant U-Pb ages of 474 ± 1 Ma (Table 2).

A fragment of the crystal was broken and sieved into different grain size fractions. For our experiments the 200-400 µm fraction was used. The angular grains obtained after crushing were mechanically air-abraded (Krogh, 1982) in order to obtain a rounded morphology (Figure 1a). This was done to avoid the preferential dissolution of the angular edges during hydrothermal experiments (Teufel and Heinrich, 1997). Abraded fragments were washed in 0.1N HNO\(_3\). Only well rounded grains devoid of micro-inclusions were selected for our experiments.

A long duration experiment using pure water was performed at 800°C/0.2 GPa for 60 days. Shorter duration runs were performed at 1000°C, 1100°C and 1200°C/0.7 GPa for 7, 12 and 5 days, respectively (Table 3). About 10 grains of abraded fragments were placed into a platinum capsule (0.2 mm wall thickness) filled with ~20 mg of distilled H\(_2\)O. The capsules, 15 mm in length and 3 mm in diameter, were welded and checked for leaks by heating at 110°C for 24 hours. A standard cold seal pressure vessel was used for the 800°C/0.2 GPa experiment. For experiments at higher temperatures an internally heated pressure vessel (IHPV), using N\(_2\) as the pressure medium, was employed. Temperature error is within ± 2°C for the 800°C, and ± 25°C for the 1200°C. Details about the apparatus and calibration procedure can be found in Vielzeuf and Montel (1994). At the end of the runs, vessels were quenched to room temperature within a few minutes. After quenching each capsule was checked for leakage by weighing.
Table 3 - Experimental conditions of all runs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Weight of monazite (mg)</th>
<th>Weight of fluid (mg)</th>
<th>Composition of fluid</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Pressure (Gpa)</th>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-3</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-10</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-8</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM97-6</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM99-2</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>H₂O + SiO₂</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-7</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td>NBS 982 Pb + SiO₂</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-18</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>NBS 982 Pb + SiO₂</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-4</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>10 wt% CaCl₂ + SiO₂</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-1</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>11.84</td>
<td>10 wt% CaCl₂ + SiO₂</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-3</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>10 wt% SrCl₂ + SiO₂</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-2</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>10 wt% SrCl₂ + SiO₂</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRM0-17</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>11.85</td>
<td>10 wt% NaCl + SiO₂</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One experiment was performed at 1100°C/0.2 GPa in silica saturated water. For that about 30 monazite grains plus 1 mg SiO₂ powder were placed into a Pt-capsule along with 10 µl distilled H₂O. This experiment was also run in an IHPV.

In another series of experiments, monazites were treated with fluids of different compositions: H₂O-NaCl, H₂O-CaCl₂ or H₂O-SrCl₂. Salt concentrations were 10 wt% in all runs. Additionally, an HNO₃-bearing solution containing 950 µg/g NBS 982 Pb standard (²⁰⁸Pb/²⁰⁶Pb=1.000, ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb=0.467 and ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁴Pb=36.765) was used in two runs. At 800°C/0.2 GPa, experiments were again performed in standard cold seal hydrothermal pressure vessels, and at 1000°C/1 GPa in a piston-cylinder apparatus with a 22 mm diameter pressure chamber and CaF₂ as pressure medium. In the latter, Pt-PtRh thermocouples were used for temperature control. The temperature difference along the 13 mm long capsules was ± 13°C at 1000°C, and the variation of temperature during the run was ± 2°C. Piston cylinder experiments were quenched in a few seconds. Experimental conditions of all runs are summarised in Table 3.

Subsequent to each run the samples were hand-picked and split up for optical microscopy, SEM, EMP and SIMS analyses. A single grain of each run was selected for ID-TIMS analysis.

3 - Analytical methods

Grain surface morphology was investigated using a SEM. BSE and SE images were made on grains for each using a Zeiss DSM962 scanning electron microscope. Acceleration voltage was typically 15 kV.

Additionally, EMP analyses of polished single monazite grains mounted in epoxy resin were obtained using a CAMECA SX-50 electron microprobe equipped with a wavelength dispersive system. The operating conditions are given in Förster et al. (1998a). Core-rim relationships of at least one monazite grain from each run were evaluated by measuring compositional profiles in 2 µm steps across the grain. Furthermore, X-ray imaging was conducted on a monazite grain from run VRM0-1 (1000°C, 1 GPa, CaCl₂-bearing solution) using a Cameca SX 100 microprobe. Element mapping for Th-Mₐ, Pb-Mₜ and U-Mₜ was made in wavelength-dispersive mode, and for Ca-Kₐ, Ce-Lₐ and P-Kₐ in energy dispersive
mode with the following conditions: accelerating potential 20 kV, beam current 30 nA and scan of 256×256 pixels with 600 ms/pixel.

The Cameca ims 6f ion probe in Potsdam was used to acquire digital images of the relative concentration distributions of selected elements within our monazite sample VRM0-1 (1000°C, CaCl2 fluid). In addition to images acquisition, profiles across the margins of grains produced in VRM0-7 (800°C, 0.2 GPa, Pb-spike solution) and VRM0-18 (1000°C, 1 GPa, Pb-spike solution) were also performed. This technique provides more precise information of one-dimensional variations of the target elements, but at the cost of reduced spatial resolution (see appendix for details).

Individual thermal ionisation U-Pb isotope analyses were performed in Clermont-Ferrand on five abraded monazite fragments from our starting material plus on one product grain from each experiment. The handpicked selected grain was washed before in a 0.1N HNO3 heated solution in order to clean grains from experiment derived in common Pb contamination. The selected monazite grain was dissolved using 8N HCl in a PFA Teflon modified version of Parrish-type microcapsules (Parrish, 1987) at 210°C, during 16-20 hours. Chemical separation and mass spectrometry were performed according to Pin and Paquette (1997).

4 - Results

Optical microscope revealed a complete decolorisation of the monazite grains after all runs. Teufel and Heinrich (1997) have already reported this effect. The colour changed from yellowish orange to whitish grey.

4.1 - Grain-surface morphology:

SEM images for experiments with H2O+SiO2, NaCl, SrCl2, CaCl2 at 800°C and Pb-spike at 800°C showed no textural modifications of the monazite grains after the run (Figures 1 d, e and f). Quartz crystals related to the SiO2 in excess in the capsule were present in all runs. For the experiment with SrCl2 fluid at 800°C few small SrCl2 crystals were found in the
Figure 1: SEM micrographs illustrating textural characteristics observed in both untreated and treated monazite.

a) Surface details of an abraded but otherwise untreated monazite fragment.
b) Surface details of a monazite grain from the experiment VRM97-3 (H₂O, 800°C). Many small (200 nm) "bright" crystals of thorianite (ThO₂) were observed on the surface of the grain.
c) Surface details of a monazite grain from the experiment VRM97-6 (H₂O, 1200°C). The grain appears totally recrystallised with very large, newly formed faces and ThO₂ crystals were observed.
d) Experiment VRM99-2 (H₂O + SiO₂, 1100°C). Presence of Si in the system seems to avoid formation of ThO₂ crystals.
e) Experiment VRM0-2 (SrCl₂, 1000°C). No overgrowth was observed.
f) Experiment VRM0-4 (CaCl₂, 800°C). No overgrowth was observed.
g) Experiment VRM0-1 (CaCl₂, 1000°C). Significant overgrowths were observed. Monazite shows newly formed faces.
h) Experiment VRM0-18 (Pb-standard, 1000°C). Significant overgrowths were observed. Monazite shows newly formed faces.
run products. For the experiment with CaCl₂ fluid at 800°C, precipitation of some brabantite crystals (Ca, Th) (PO₄)₂ occurred.

For experiments with pure H₂O SEM observations showed little modifications at the surface of the grains. At 800°C, there is no apparent modification of monazite grains excepted the precipitation of numerous 200 nm “bright” (in fact appearing bright in BSE mode in SEM) crystals on the grain surfaces (Figure 1b). However, at temperatures higher than 1000°C the development of new, ~5 µm long crystal faces on the grain surface as well as “bright” crystals, 1-2 µm large, were observed. At 1100°C these faces are longer, reaching 12 µm in length. Finally, by 1200°C the grain surface was totally recrystallised completely replacing the original abraded morphology. Large newly formed faces (>40 µm) and larger “bright” crystals with visible morphology are visible (Figure 1c). EDS analysis demonstrated that the faces are newly formed, Th-free monazite and that the “bright” crystals are ThO₂ (thorianite), which is consistent with their cubic morphology.

Because Th can be incorporated in monazite via the [P₁,₁REE₁Si₁Th₁] thorium-silicate component, the presence of silica might have affected the monazite solution interactions. In contrast to the SiO₂-free experiment VRM97-8, SEM observations of monazite grain from our experiment VRM99-2 (water + SiO₂), figure 1d shows no growth of newly formed monazite and no ThO₂ on the surface. We conclude that an excess of SiO₂ in the system prevented the formation of new ThO₂ crystals. This is a first indication of the effect of fluid composition on monazite behaviour in fluids.

Finally, two experiments showed significant overgrowths. The first with CaCl₂ fluid at 1000°C (Figure 1g) and the second with Pb fluid at 1000°C (Figure 1h). In both experiments the monazite grains seems to have fully recrystallised.

4-2 - Modifications of chemical compositions:

EMP imaging (BSE and X-ray) and concentration profiles from the rims to the centres of monazite grains showed no variation of the chemical composition; particularly important is that we detected no Pb-diffusion profiles for experiments with H₂O, H₂O+SiO₂, NaCl, SrCl₂, CaCl₂ at 800°C and Pb fluids.
Figure 2: BSE image of a polished monazite grain from VRM0-1 (1000°C, CaCl₂) in epoxy showing the location of EMP profile (E-F) from the figure 3, EMP-X-Ray imaging (map I) from the figure 4 and SIMS-imaging (map II) from the figure 5.

The interface (1) between the rim and the core of this grain is clearly visible.

Figure 3: EMP-traverse E-F across rim-core interface (figure 2). Ca, Y, U, Pb, Th, Si, P and Ce content in cations are reported and some analyses are in table 2. The line at 102 μm corresponds to the “bright” interface (1) observed on figure 2. The boundary (2) corresponds to the diffuse interface visible on figures 4 and 5.
Figure 4: X-Ray imaging (map I) from the grain shown in figure 2 (experiment VRM0-1 with CaCl₂ at 1000°C). Th, Pb and U were analysed with WDS and Ca, Ce and P with EDS using a Cameca SX-100 EMP. Analytical conditions: 30 nA, 20 kV, 256 × 256 μm, 600 ms/μm. The drawing under the images shows the distinguished zones: the sharp interface (1) between the rim and the core and a diffuse interface (2). Between (1) and (2) U is depleted and Ca enriched.
**Figure 5:** SIMS digital ion image (map II) from the grain shown in figure 2 (experiment VRM0-1 with CaCl₂ at 1000°C). Images were corrected with $^{140}$Ce in order to suppress artefacts caused by sample charging ($^{40}$Ca $\times 100/^{140}$Ce; $^{208}$Pb $\times 100/^{140}$Ce; $^{89}$Y $\times 100/^{140}$Ce and $^{238}$U $\times 1000/^{140}$Ce). Field of view is ~70 µm in diameter.
Only the experiment with CaCl₂ at 1000°C showed significant variations in monazite chemical composition. In order to confirm this result a second grain was analysed. BSE imaging and EMP traverses of these two monazite fragments both document significant zonation. Figure 2 shows BSE image for one grain prepared for EMP. Two domains are clearly visible demarked by a “bright” discontinuity (1). The external domain (rim) shows sharper faces as compared to the internal domain (core). On this grain, EMP profile E-F from the rim to the core of the grain (Figure 3), X-ray imaging (Figure 4) and SIMS imaging (Figure 5) were performed. The EMP and SIMS maps show that the results obtained on the profile can be generalised to the whole grain. Two zones with different chemical compositions, separated by a sharp boundary (1) could be readily distinguished in all data sets. At a distance of 102 µm from the rim, a significant enrichment in Th and Si is observed over a 2-4 µm distance, correlated with a P and Ce depletion. The rim is free of Pb but enriched in Ca relative to the initial monazite (Figures 3, 4 and 5). Furthermore, in contrast to the core, the ThSiO₄ end-member newly formed in the rim is greater than the REEPO₄ component (Table 1). A more gradual decrease in both U and Y concentrations at about 80 µm from E is also observed (Figure 3). The (Y+HREE)PO₄ xenotime and USiO₄ coiffinite components decrease (Table 1) whereas Ca increases. U and Y are depleted between (1) and (2) over a distance of ~30µm (Figures 4 and 5), whereas Ca is enriched. The discontinuity (2), better visible using SIMS imaging (Figure 5), is more diffuse. Furthermore, the Si content increases gradually starting 60 µm away from E (Figure 3). The core showed the same composition than the initial monazite (Table 1) and no other significant variations were observed.

4-3 - Isotopic modifications:

The results from U-Pb isotope analyses are reported in table 2. For the experiments employing H₂O, H₂O+SiO₂, NaCl, SrCl₂ and CaCl₂ at 800°C, single grains analyses yielded sub-concordant to concordant U-Pb ages in the range of 458-475 Ma (Table 2 and Figure 6). U and Pb concentrations coincide within error limits (Table 2). A duplicate of the experiment at 1100°C with H₂O demonstrates the reproducibility of the U-Pb isotope analyses and the homogeneity of run products.

Significant discordancy was only observed at 1000°C when CaCl₂ or SrCl₂ were present in the fluid. In the SrCl₂ enriched fluid, the discordancy was about 16 % (Table 2 and Figure 6).
Figure 6: U-Pb concordia diagrams for monazites experimentally treated by several fluids.

a) Untreated monazite and experiments with H₂O
b) Experiments with NaCl
c) Experiments with SrCl₂
d) Experiments with CaCl₂
e) Experiments with Pb-spike.

f) $^{208}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb vs. $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb diagram. Pb 800°C and Pb 1000°C correspond to the experimental values measured with ID-TIMS (see table 2)

Individual error ellipses are quoted at the 2σ level. The analytical point for H₂O+SiO₂ is not plotted in the diagram owing to the very large error ellipse. Nevertheless, the point is centred on the untreated monazite and consequently can be considered as concordant.
whereas in the case of CaCl₂ enriched fluid the discordancy was 68% (Figure 6). This Pb-loss is in agreement with the EMP results, which show a volume of about 70% from the newly grown, Pb-free monazite.

U-Pb analyses of experiments with the Pb-enriched fluid show very different isotopic Pb ratios than before the experiments, this effect is most pronounced in the 800°C run. The \(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}\) ratio decreases from ~19.5 to 17.0 at 800°C and 18.2 at 1000°C and the \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}\) increase from ~0.0566 to 0.0584 at 800°C and 0.0571 at 1000°C, reflecting an enrichment of both \(^{207}\text{Pb}\) and \(^{206}\text{Pb}\) relative to \(^{208}\text{Pb}\) (Table 2). This results in sub-concordant analytical data points where the apparent \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}\) age is older than that of the initial monazite (Figure 6). Thus, the \(^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}\) age obtained from the experiment at 800°C (543 Ma) is older than that for the experiment at 1000°C (495 Ma). SIMS traverses from epoxy to the centre of the grains, with a 3 µm step size, were performed in one grain produced from each of these two experiments: A-B for VRM0-7 (800°C) and C-D for VRM0-18 (1000°C) (Figures 7 and 8). The observed \(^{208}\text{Pb}\) and \(^{206}\text{Pb}\) secondary ion intensities were normalised to \(^{140}\text{Ce}\) to compensate for any drift in the primary ion beam intensity. For the experiment at 800°C, the \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{140}\text{Ce}\) ratio increases exponentially from the centre B to the boundary of the grain, while the \(^{208}\text{Pb}/^{140}\text{Ce}\) ratio slowly increases (Figures 8a). The weaker increase in \(^{208}\text{Pb}\) results from the very high initial \(^{208}\text{Pb}\) content in monazite (~95%); a so small variation in \(^{208}\text{Pb}\) will not be possible to detect. For the experiment at 1000°C, the same trends were observed but they are less pronounced (Figures 8b). These results are in good agreement with results from ID-TIMS.

5 - Discussion

Even experiments at the very high temperature of 1200°C (5 days in water) showed no resetting, neither by diffusion nor by dissolution-precipitation. For all runs, EMP traverses show no diffusion profiles, and in particular no Pb-diffusion profile. For Pb, this is in agreement with the data about Pb diffusion in monazite (Suzuki et al., 1994; Smith and Giletti, 1997). From these data we can calculate the diffusion distance for Pb in monazite using the Einstein relation:
Figure 7: BSE image of a monazite grain prepared for SIMS. Grain in epoxy. Step scan of the ion beam is visible (see the drawing too) and indicates that the Au-coat has been removed from a ~15 μm wide path.

Figure 8: SIMS traverses of a grain from VRM0-7 (800°C, Pb-spike) on the left (a) and on a grain from VRM0-18 (1000°C, Pb-spike) on the right (b). 206Pb/144Ce, 208Pb/144Ce were determined. The full lines indicate the boundary between the epoxy and the grain. Ion beam diameter was about 15 μm (figure 7) when using a 3 μm step length. We have divided the Pb signal against 140Ce in order to compensate for any drift in the primary beam intensity.
\[ x = \sqrt{4Dt} \]

where \( x \) is the characteristic distance for diffusion (m)
\( D \) is the diffusion coefficient (m\(^2\)/s)
\( t \) is the duration (s) at fixed temperature

From the data of Smith and Giletti (1997), we can calculate that at 1200°C, a diffusion of only 40 nm would be generated in 5 days. This cannot be detected by EMP.

In the water experiments, the presence of both ThO\(_2\) crystals and of a Th-poor layer in the newly formed monazites are related to the absence of SiO\(_2\) in the initial solution. The starting material, containing Th, initially undergoes a dissolution step, which subsequently results in the precipitation of Pb-free monazite faces. Th is insoluble in water (Faure, 1986), consequently 1 atom of Si (Franz et al., 1996; Van Emden et al, 1997; Förster, 1998) is necessary for the following substitution conditions: Th\(^{4+}\) + Si\(^{4+}\) = REE\(^{3+}\) + P\(^{5+}\). The Si, coming from the dissolved monazite, stays in the fluid and the insoluble Th precipitates as euhedral ThO\(_2\) crystals. When SiO\(_2\) is present in the initial solution neither ThO\(_2\) crystals nor Th-poor layer appear. The dissolution of monazite and the subsequent formation of ThO\(_2\) are prohibited by the presence of SiO\(_2\) in the initial solution.

Strong chemical modification was observed only for the 1000°C-CaCl\(_2\) experiment (Table 1 and Figure 3, 4 and 5). Only ~20 grains were recovered from the initial 30, showing that some grains were totally dissolved during the run. We interpret this as resulting from a dissolution/precipitation mechanism that operated in this run. Because the P-T conditions in this run were different from the conditions of formation of the starting monazite, the newly formed monazite has a distinctly new composition. Because very little is known about the thermodynamic of REE-phosphate and other monazite end-members, we cannot discuss in more detail the change in composition and the formation of the Th-rich limit. However, it seems likely that the starting monazite dissolved up to interface (1) and then began to crystallise from (1) to the grain margin (Figure 4). Because Th is very insoluble in the fluid, the first monazite that recrystallised was enriched in ThSiO\(_4\) and because Y, HREE and U are less compatible the initial product was depleted in these elements. At a certain moment during the crystallisation process a progressive enrichment in U, Y and HREE was initiated.
A very important conclusion from this experiment was that Pb was not reincorporated into the newly formed monazite. This confirms the results of Teufel and Heinrich (1997) who showed that recrystallization is associated with strong discordancy. Finally, no self-diffusion of Pb or inter-diffusion of Ca-Pb were observed, even at the interface between the two zones where the optimal conditions for such diffusion were present. Relative to solid state diffusion, dissolution/precipitation is clearly a more efficient, faster mechanism for inducing discordancy.

More intense Pb-loss was observed in the experiment using CaCl₂ fluid (67% discordancy), than was the case with SrCl₂ (16% discordancy). This underlines the importance of the fluid composition in resetting process. However, in the run at 1000°C with SrCl₂ neither overgrowths nor chemical heterogeneity were observed. We cannot say at present if this discordancy results from simple Pb-loss from Sr-Pb exchange or from the formation of a small Pb-free-overgrowth, because neither dissolution features nor diffusion profiles were observed using SEM and EMP.

We have shown that no significant discordancy occurs at 1200°C/5 days/pure water, whereas at 1000°C/21 days/CaCl₂-fluid a 68% discordancy was present (Table 2). Temperature, and consequently volume diffusion, is not the most significant parameter which causes discordancy. As a consequence, the concept of “closure temperature” from Dodson (1973) must be invoked with extreme caution.

Our most surprising result relates to the Pb-enriched fluid experiments that however not reflect a “normal” geologic environment, as nature seldom provides such extremely Pb-enriched-fluid. The starting monazite (\(^{208}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb} \approx 19.5\) and \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb} \approx 0.0566\)) was mixed with NBS 982 standard (\(^{208}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb} = 1.00\) and \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb} = 0.467\); Table 2). After experiments, the measured \(^{208}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) ratios of the monazite were ~17 at 800°C and ~18 at 1000°C; the measured \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) ratios were ~0.058 at 800°C and ~0.057 at 1000°C. These lower \(^{208}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) and higher \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) ratios are clearly related to a contamination of the monazite by the Pb-bearing fluid. In a \(^{208}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) vs. \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) diagram (Figure 6f), both 800°C and 1000°C treated monazites plot significantly below the mixing line between the starting monazite and the NBS Pb spike. This implies that the decrease of \(^{208}\text{Pb}\) relative to \(^{206}\text{Pb}\) and \(^{207}\text{Pb}\) is not the product just of a simple contamination mechanism. In the starting monazite ~95% of the Pb is \(^{208}\text{Pb}\). Consequently, if monazite is affected by a Pb-loss, the \(^{208}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) ratio will decrease. Additionally, the increase of \(^{206}\text{Pb}\) and at a lower scale \(^{208}\text{Pb}\), observed on
the SIMS diagrams (Figure 8), implies a Pb-excess in the newly formed monazite rim, possibly the by-product of a Ca\text{monazite}-Pb\text{fluid} exchange.

Using this mechanism for generating older ages in natural monazites is not realistic. The contamination of the starting monazite has clearly occurred. Furthermore, a highly $^{208}\text{Pb}$ enriched component apparently was leached out of the monazite (in fact this second mechanism must have involved a component which was $>95\%$ $^{208}\text{Pb}$). It is difficult to distinguish which phenomenon was the earliest and their relative intensity. The first one will produce Pb-excess in monazite and the second one the $^{208}\text{Pb}$-loss. Two mechanisms are possible: (1) exchange involving both Pb-Pb self-diffusion and Ca\text{monazite}-Pb\text{fluid} inter-diffusion, or (2) a partial dissolution of the starting monazite with a subsequent precipitation of newly grown monazite containing Pb predominantly derived from the fluid. This second of these hypothesis seems unlikely, because no dissolution features were visible in the 800°C-product and SIMS traverse suggest a diffusion profile rather than a sharp interface (Figure 8a). Consequently, at 800°C a diffusion mechanism is favoured, nevertheless the distance is not defined, because of the large ion beam diameter (~15 µm; Figure 7). At 1000°C, SEM images demonstrate that dissolution/precipitation was active (Figure 1h).

Finally, the results obtained on the experiments at 800°C and 1000°C suggest the activity of different mechanisms and, surprisingly, those occurring at 800°C apparently were much more efficient. This cannot be an artefact because of the good agreement between SIMS (Figure 8) and ID-TIMS (Figure 6) data, performed on different monazite fragments. However, an interpretation of that difference is tricky, because two parameters, temperature and duration, were different, associated with variations of kinetic and solubility.

6 - Conclusions

Significant dissolution-precipitation and corresponding discordancy only occurred for experiments with CaCl$_2$ or Pb-bearing fluids at 1000°C. In the case of experiments with a SrCl$_2$ fluid the effect was much weaker. Diffusion profiles were not observed for any element, including Pb. One exception may be for the 800°C experiment with Pb-bearing fluid. In that case, we propose as preliminary interpretation a Pb exchange via Pb\text{fluid}-Ca\text{monazite} inter-diffusion, suggesting a mechanism for creating a Pb-excess in monazite. Additional to this exchange, it appears that $^{208}\text{Pb}$ may have been preferentially lost from the monazite products.
of both the 800 and 1000°C experiments. Although this phenomenon is not well understand, we underline that this situation is not a “natural” condition, as nature seldom provides such extremely Pb-enriched-fluids. We conclude that dissolution/precipitation is the dominant mechanism by which the U-Pb monazite system can be modified and its impact depends both on the fluid composition and temperature.

The presence of Ca in the fluid has major consequences on U-Pb isotopic system of monazite. In nature a monazite frequently occurs as inclusions in either a plagioclase or a garnet. In this case, circulation of a fluid in the rock could mobilise the Ca from the host phase which can result in a partial or total resetting of monazite (De Wolf et al., 1993; Braun et al., 1998; Montel et al., 2000). If such a fluid is rich in SiO₂, then Th would be reincorporated into the monazite structure, favouring the crystallisation of a Th-rich and Pb-free rim. In natural samples Th-rich zones frequently occur. These latter are often younger, i.e., contain a lower Pb content than the adjacent domains (Cocherie et al., 1998; Crowley and Ghent, 1999). In the presence of intense deformation it is possible to obtain discordant U-Pb monazite ages, resulting from new overgrowths of Pb-free monazites produced by dissolution/precipitation (Krohe and Wawrzenitz, 2000). In nature, resetting (discordancy) by diffusion is unlikely. Fluid occurrences and compositions, and textural positions of the monazite in the rock (Paquette et al., 1999; Montel et al., 2000) are more important. The use of the closure temperature concept from Dodson (1973) must then be viewed with caution in the case of the U-Th-Pb system in monazite.
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Appendix: SIMS analytical details

Images used a 12.7 kV, 20 nA, ¹⁶O- primary beam which was rastered over an area of 150 x 150 µm. Samples were cleaned in ethanol, dried at 75°C and coated with a conductive gold film prior to analysis. The selected area for imaging was initially pre-sputtered in order
to remove the gold coat. Digital image acquisition, employing a resistive anode encoder, involved peak-stepping 20 times through the cycle: $^1$H (8 s), $^{28}$Si (8 s), $^{31}$P (8 s), $^{40}$Ca (8 s), $^{89}$Y (8 s), $^{140}$Ce (8 s), $^{206}$Pb (60 s), $^{208}$Pb (30 s), $^{232}$Th (8 s), $^{238}$U (30 s). Total analytical time per imaged area was thus some 65 minutes. For these analyses the mass spectrometer was operated at low mass resolving power employing a 10kV secondary ion extraction potential in conjunction with a 50V energy bandpass. In order to suppress artefacts due both to sample charging as well as from polyatomic ions a-80V bias was applied to our sample. The field-of-view for our images was circa 75µm in diameter and our spatial resolution was better than 2µm.

We employed a 0.1nA $^{16}$O- primary beam operated in shaped beam mode using a 100µm primary L4 aperture. Subsequent measurements with both a stylus profilometer and using backscattered electron imaging (Figure 7) indicated a beam diameter of 12µm of which circa 80% of the primary intensity was focused to a diameter of < 6µm. An automatic 600s preburn was conducted prior to each analysis in order to sputter off the sample’s gold coat and so that equilibrium sputtering conditions would be established. Our mass spectrometer was operated at low mass resolution (M/DM = 400) using a 400µm contrast aperture in conjunction with a 60µm diameter filed-of-view. Isobaric molecular interferences were suppressed using a 100V offset voltage. Each analysis involved 50 cycles of the peak-stepping sequence: $^{139.5}$bkg (0.1 seconds), $^{140}$Ce (2 s), $^{206}$Pb (10 s), $^{208}$Pb (2 s), $^{208.5}$bkg (0.1 s). A single analysis, including the 10 minutes preburn, lasted 24 minutes followed by an automatic 3µm sample movement using the instrument’s stepping motor.
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Conclusions

“Pour le savant, croire la science achevée est toujours une illusion aussi complète que le serait pour l’historien de croire l’histoire terminée.”

Louis de Broglie - *Physique et microphysique*
CONCLUSIONS

In this thesis we first tried to understand how Th is partitioned between monazite and xenotime and if this distribution has an influence on the CePO₄-YPO₄ geothermometer. It has been demonstrated that for a ThSiO₄ content in the bulk composition of 0.1 or 0.2 mole fraction, Th was almost partitioned in monazite. The incorporation of ThSiO₄ depends on the XThSiO₄ content, whereas Y incorporation is more temperature dependent. Xenotime is apparently Th-free. For a ThSiO₄ content in the bulk composition of 0.5 mole fraction, thorite is additionally formed for temperature <1000°C. This indicates a saturation of monazite (and xenotime), i.e. solvus between monazite and thorite (and thorite and xenotime) has been intersected. Above 1000°C only monazite, a small amount of xenotime and no thorite were present. This indicates that the solvus shrinks considerably at high temperatures. All ThSiO₄ is also partitioned between monazite and xenotime. The position of the solvus depends on the Th content present in the system and also on the phases present. Our results show that the interpretation of the calculated temperatures using the Y-partitioning between monazite and xenotime should be treated with caution. The Th content in monazite has to be taking into account.

The structure investigations of a natural, chemically homogeneous monazite crystal concordant at 474 Ma (2.5 × 10¹⁶ α/mg accumulated), indicate that the crystal consists of two domains (A) and (B), which are basically two monazite crystals with slightly different lattice parameters. Diffraction domains (A), showing sharp reflections with high amplitude, are assigned to a well-crystallised lattice with small volume expansion (1%). Diffraction domains (B), exhibiting very broad reflections with low amplitude, represent a distorted lattice, which can be referred to old alpha-recoil tracks. It is suggested that the (A) domains correspond to well-crystallised areas, within which He atoms are trapped, causing an expansion of the lattice. Diffraction domains (B) are interpreted as a distorted monazite crystal lattice composed of “islands” with an expanded lattice (interstitials), and “islands” of a compressed monazite lattice, (vacancies). Both the “islands” will pose stress (compression and/or dilatation) on the lattice in the vicinity. The broadening of the (B)-reflections is due to the expanded/compressed diffraction domains and due to the different amount of the distortion. Therefore, in the (B) domains, He cannot accumulate because quality of the crystal net is very poor, unable to trap atoms.
The behaviour of this monazite under healing was investigated. With increasing temperature, alpha-recoil-tracks are healed, i.e. diffraction domains (B) progressively disappear. At the same time, He diffuses out of the monazite lattice, inducing a relaxation of the lattice resulting in a volume decrease. At 900°C only one phase remains that is a monazite with well-crystallised lattice and minimum unit-cell volume. This study confirms the good crystallinity observed for most of natural monazites. In natural samples, Pb-diffusion will occur predominantly within a pristine, organised crystal structure. Because it is known that Pb-diffusion in monazite is very slow (Suzuki et al. 1994; Smith and Giletti 1997), we suggest that Pb-diffusion will not be an efficient mechanism for resetting.

In a third part, experiments on the same monazite as used in part II were focused on the understanding of the resetting mechanisms of the U-Pb isotope system. It could be shown that dissolution/precipitation is the predominant mechanism by which the U-Pb monazite system can be modified and its extension is strongly dependent on the fluid composition. At 1000°C in a CaCl₂ fluid significant dissolution/precipitation, demonstrated by presence of inherited core and newly formed Ca-rich/Pb-free monazite rim, and corresponding discordancy (68%), occurred. In the case of a fluid with SrCl₂ the effect is weaker (16% discordancy). In a pure water fluid, no significant modifications are visible even at 1200°C/5 days. Temperature, and consequently volume diffusion, was never observed in our study except for the very particular experiment at 800°C using Pb-spike. Therefore, we propose that volume diffusion is neither the only nor the most significant parameter that causes discordancy. In nature, resetting (discordancy) by diffusion is most therefore unlikely, whereas fluid occurrences, fluid compositions, and textural positions of the monazite in the rock (Paquette et al., 1999; Montel et al., 2000) are the most important parameters. As a consequence, the concept of “closure temperature” from Dodson (1973) must be viewed with extreme caution.

Experiments with Pb-bearing fluid demonstrated the possibility to create a Pb-excess in monazite, maybe by a Pb exchange via Pb_{fluid}-Ca_{monazite} inter-diffusion. Additional to this exchange, it appears that ⁰⁸\text{Pb} may have been preferentially lost from the monazite products of both the 800 and 1000°C experiments. Although this phenomenon is not well understand, we underline that this situation is not a “natural” condition, as nature seldom provides such extremely Pb-enriched-fluids.
Each part of the thesis was done with other authors and the papers were submitted in different international journals:
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**Seydoux-Guillaume A.M.,** Paquette J.L., Wiedenbeck M., Montel J.M. and Heinrich W. Experimental resetting of the U-Th-Pb system in monazite. *Submitted to Chemical Geology*
“Quand nous avons soif, il nous semble que nous pourrions boire tout un océan: c’est la foi; et quand nous nous mettons à boire, nous buvons un verre ou deux: c’est la science.”
Anton Tchekhov - Calepin
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