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Halogenation of pharmaceutical molecules is a common tool to modify their physiological properties. The geometric, vibrational, and electronic properties of the ortho-fluorinated protonated neurotransmitter 2-phenylethylamine (oF-H$^+$PEA) are characterized by infrared photodissociation (IRPD) spectroscopy in the NH stretch range using the messenger technique and dispersion-corrected density functional theory calculations at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level to elucidate the drastic effect of site-specific ortho-fluorination. The IRPD spectra of cold oF-H$^+$PEA–Rg dimers (Rg = Ne, Ar) are assigned to the most stable gauche conformer (Gf1) of oF-H$^+$PEA, which benefits from both NH$^+\cdot\cdot\cdot\pi$ and NH$^+\cdot\cdot\cdot$F interactions. A minor contribution (∼5%) of the slightly less stable Gf2 gauche conformer ($E_0 = +11$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) is also identified. Comparison of oF-H$^+$PEA with unsubstituted H$^+$PEA reveals a much stronger NH$^+\cdot\cdot\cdot$F interaction in H$^+$PEA resulting in a large red shift of the bonded NH stretch frequency. This behavior is confirmed by natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis and noncovalent interaction (NCI) calculations. The Rg ligand prefers a binding site at which it can maximize the interaction with the aromatic π electron system and the ammonium group. Although the intermolecular interactions with the Rg atoms can compete with the noncovalent intramolecular bonds, they induce only minor spectral shifts in the NH stretch range.

1. Introduction

Fluorination of pharmaceutical compounds modulates physicochemical properties of bioactive molecules such as chemical reactivity, metabolic stability, protein–ligand interactions, binding mechanisms with enzymes or receptors, and transport and absorption characteristics of pharmaceuticals.1–9 2-Phenylethylamine (PEA), with an aromatic ring and a flexible ethylamino side chain, represents the prototype of the large family of more complex aromatic ethylamino neurotransmitters, including dopamine and serotonin, and other psycho-active drugs such as amphetamines. Under physiological conditions, these neurotransmitters are usually protonated at the basic amino group of the side chain. Recently, we characterized the structural, vibrational, and energetic properties of a series of such protonated neurotransmitters (e.g., histamine, dopamine, serotonin, (fluorinated) PEA, phenylalkylamines) by means of infrared multiphoton dissociation (IRMPD) in the fingerprint and NH stretch ranges in room-temperature ion traps.10–14 Although the observed IRMPD spectra are consistent with the most stable conformer of each species determined by quantum chemical calculations, the contributions of higher energy conformers could not be completely ruled out in several cases, because of the limited spectral resolution of the room-temperature IRMPD spectra. Higher-resolution IR photodissociation (IRPD) spectra have been obtained for H$^+$PEA and its monohydrate by tagging the ions with rare gas atoms (Rg = Ne or Ar) in a cold supersonic plasma expansion.15,16 Tagging with weakly-bound Rg atoms lowers the temperature of the ions and the effective dissociation energy.17–27 This approach allows for recording single-photon IRPD spectra of cold ions, yielding higher spectral resolution and thus substantial improvement in the quality of the conformer assignment. Here, we apply this technique to determine the most stable conformers of ortho-fluorinated protonated PEA (oF-H$^+$PEA), by analyzing the IRPD spectra of cold oF-H$^+$PEA–Rg dimers with Rg = Ne and Ar in the NH stretch range.

In the following, we briefly summarize the current knowledge about H$^+$PEA and F-H$^+$PEA relevant for the current work. Quantum chemical calculations reveal two conformers for H$^+$PEA (Fig. 1), namely the most stable folded gauche conformer (G), which is stabilized by a strong noncovalent intramolecular NH$^+\cdot\cdot\cdot\pi$ (cation–π) interaction between the protonated amino group and the aromatic π-electron system of the phenyl ring, and the
extended anti conformer (A), which is substantially higher in energy \( (E_0 = +18.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}) \). Therefore, only the G conformer is detected in IRMPD spectra of H\(^+\)PEA ions stored in room-temperature ion traps\(^{13}\) and IRPD spectra of cold H\(^+\)PEA–Rg\(_n\) clusters \((\text{Rg} = \text{Ne, Ar}; n \leq 3)\) recorded in a supersonic expansion.\(^{15}\) Similarly, also the electronic photodissociation spectrum of H\(^+\)PEA was interpreted solely by the G conformer.\(^{28}\) Protonation at the amino group causes conformational locking of neutral PEA, for which as many as four conformers are observed in cold molecular beams.\(^{29,30}\) The effects of site-specific aromatic fluorine substitution of H\(^+\)PEA in ortho, meta, and para positions \( (x\text{-}\text{F-H}^+\text{PEA} \text{ with } x = \sigma, \mu, \pi) \) have been determined by IRMPD spectroscopy in the fingerprint range and dispersion-corrected density functional calculations at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level.\(^{14}\) H \( \rightarrow \) F substitution has only a minor impact on the energy landscape and the properties of \( m\text{-F-H}^+\text{PEA} \) and \( p\text{-F-H}^+\text{PEA} \), because of the large distance between the F atom and the protonated ethylamino side chain. However, H \( \rightarrow \) F substitution in ortho position drastically changes the energy landscape and the structures of the H\(^+\)PEA conformers because of the strong interaction between F and the nearby side chain (Fig. 1). In addition to the high-energy anti conformer \((A, E_0 = +19.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})\), three low-energy gauche conformers with similar stabilities and low isomerization barriers are calculated for \( o\text{-F-H}^+\text{PEA} \), namely the Gf1, Gf2, and Gh conformers with \( E_0 = 0, +1.1, \) and +7.2 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively. All gauche conformers merely differ by the configuration of the protonated side chain and the relative strengths of the competing NH\(^+\)···π and NH\(^+\)···F hydrogen bonds (H-bonds), which have been quantified in detail by use of the noncovalent interaction (NCI) approach.\(^{14}\) In the Gf1 and Gf2 conformers, the ammonium group is oriented toward the F atom, while in the Gh conformer it is pointing away from it. The experimental IRMPD spectra of all three \( x\text{-F-H}^+\text{PEA} \) isomers have been measured in the fingerprint range in a 300 K ion cyclotron resonance trap and mostly assigned to the respective gauche conformers. However, the insufficient spectral resolution of the IRMPD spectra (\( \sim 30 \text{ cm}^{-1} \)) and the similarity of the IR spectra predicted for all gauche conformers in the fingerprint range prevent an unambiguous assignment to one or more gauche conformers, as shown in Fig. S1 in ESI\(^*\) for the case of \( o\text{-F-H}^+\text{PEA} \). On the other hand, the calculations predict clearly distinguishable IR spectra in the NH stretch range, which is very sensitive to the intramolecular H-bonds of the ammonium group and thus to the side chain conformation.\(^{14}\) To this end, we apply herein single-photon IRPD spectroscopy to cold \( o\text{-F-H}^+\text{PEA}–\text{Rg} \) dimers \((\text{Rg} = \text{Ne and Ar})\) produced in a supersonic expansion using a narrow bandwidth IR laser \( (1 \text{ cm}^{-1}) \) operating in the NH stretch range, an approach recently applied to \( \text{H}^+\text{PEA} \). \(^{15}\) Previous comparison of the IRMPD spectrum of room-temperature \( \text{H}^+\text{PEA} \) with the IRPD spectra of cold \( \text{H}^+\text{PEA}–\text{Rg} \) clusters clearly demonstrated the superior performance of IRPD over IRMPD in spectral resolution and reproduction of frequencies and relative intensities of fundamental transitions.\(^{15}\) The IRPD spectra of \( o\text{-F-H}^+\text{PEA}–\text{Rg} \) presented here allow for a clear-cut conformer assignment and provide for the first time invaluable experimental information of the drastic effects of ortho-fluorination on the NH\(^+\)···π interaction in this prototypical protonated neurotransmitter, which are much more pronounced than those deduced for neutral PEA.\(^{31,32}\)

2. Experimental and computational details

The IRPD spectra of mass-selected \( o\text{-F-H}^+\text{PEA}–\text{Rg} \) clusters shown in Fig. 2 are recorded in a quadrupole tandem mass...
spectrometer coupled to an electron impact ionization source and an octupole ion guide.\textsuperscript{22,33} Cold oF-H\textsuperscript{+}PEA-Rg clusters are produced in a supersonic plasma expansion by electron and/or chemical ionization of oF-PEA close to the nozzle orifice of a pulsed valve and subsequent clustering reactions in the high-pressure regime of the expansion. The expanding gas mixture is produced by passing Ne (7 bar) or Ar (8 bar) carrier gas through a reservoir filled with oF-PEA (Sigma-Aldrich, 99\%) and heated to 60 °C. Protonation of oF-PEA occurs by self-protonation reactions and/or exothermic proton transfer from protonated water clusters resulting from water impurity in the gas inlet system. oF-H\textsuperscript{+}PEA-Rg ions are selected by the first quadrupole mass spectrometer and deflected by a quadrupole bender into the octupole ion trap, where they are irradiated with IR photons in the 3000–3500 cm\textsuperscript{-1} range emitted from a tunable optical parametric oscillator (10 Hz, 2–5 mJ pulse\textsuperscript{-1}, 1 cm\textsuperscript{-1} bandwidth) pumped by a nanosecond Q-switched Nd:YAG laser. Calibration of the IR laser frequency to better than 1 cm\textsuperscript{-1} is accomplished by a wavemeter. The oF-H\textsuperscript{+}PEA fragment ions produced by evaporation of the Rg ligands upon resonant IR excitation into vibrational modes of oF-H\textsuperscript{+}PEA-Rg are selected by the second quadrupole and detected by a Daly detector as a function of the IR laser frequency to extract the IRPD spectrum. To separate metastable decay background from the laser-induced IRPD signal, the ion source is triggered at twice the laser frequency and signals from alternating triggers are subtracted. The IR laser power is monitored by a pyroelectric detector simultaneously with the IRPD spectra and found to be stable to within a factor two in the spectral range investigated. Although the IRPD spectra in Fig. 2 are not normalized for the laser intensity variations, the relative intensities of the transitions are believed to be accurate to within a factor of 2–3 arising mostly from variations in the overlap between the ion and laser beams. The widths of the transitions observed (∼5–10 cm\textsuperscript{-1} for Rg = Ne and ∼10–20 cm\textsuperscript{-1} for Rg = Ar) arise from a combination of the limited laser bandwidth, unresolved rotational substructure, and possible spectral congestion due to unresolved sequence hot bands involving low-frequency intermolecular modes and overlapping transitions of different isomers arising from various Rg binding sites.

Dispersion-corrected density functional theory calculations\textsuperscript{14} are performed at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level to obtain geometric, vibrational, and energetic properties of oF-H\textsuperscript{+}PEA and oF-H\textsuperscript{+}PEA-Rg. This theoretical level has previously been employed for H\textsuperscript{+}PEA(–Rg) and yields satisfactory agreement with experimental data.\textsuperscript{15} Calculated harmonic frequencies are scaled with a factor of 0.9586 as previously derived for H\textsuperscript{+}PEA(–Rg).\textsuperscript{15} If not stated otherwise, all reported energies are corrected for harmonic zero-point vibrational energy to yield relative energies ($E_0$) and intermolecular dissociation energies ($D_0$). The charge distribution is evaluated using the natural bond orbital (NBO) population analysis.\textsuperscript{35} The noncovalent interaction (NCI) approach is employed to identify weak inter- and intramolecular noncovalent interactions.\textsuperscript{36,37} Visualization is obtained by plotting the reduced gradient of the electron density $s(\rho)$ against the electron density $\rho$ oriented by the sign of the second eigenvalue $\lambda_2$ of the Hessian matrix, with $\rho^*=\rho\text{sign}(\lambda_2)$. The $\rho^*$ values (given in a.u.) provide a measure of the interaction strength. By use of a BGR color code covering the range $-1.25 < \rho^* < 1.25$ a.u., a representation of the isosurfaces with $s = 0.5$ a.u. is derived, in which blue surfaces correspond to attractive
interactions (negative $\lambda_2$), red surfaces to repulsive interactions (positive $\lambda_2$), and green surfaces ($\lambda_2 \approx 0$) to weak and delocalized dispersive interactions.

3. Results and discussion

The IRPD spectra of $\text{H}^{+}\text{PEA}$–$\text{Ne}$ and $\text{H}^{+}\text{PEA}$–$\text{Ar}$ are compared in Fig. 2 to the linear IR absorption spectra calculated for the four possible conformers of bare $\text{H}^{+}\text{PEA}$ (Gf1, Gf2, Gh, A). For comparison, the corresponding IRPD spectra of $\text{H}^{+}\text{PEA}$–$\text{Ne}$/Ar and the spectra calculated for the two nonequivalent $\text{H}^{+}\text{PEA}$ conformers (G, A) are reproduced as well. The positions and widths of the transitions observed are listed in Table 1 along with their vibrational and conformer assignments. As can be seen from the computed spectra in Fig. 2, the protonated amino group gives rise to three strong IR active NH stretch fundamentals in the 3100–3400 cm\(^{-1}\) range. In the case of a C\(_3\) symmetric environment, these three vibrations are composed of a higher-frequency degenerate antisymmetric e mode and a lower-frequency symmetric a mode. Reduction of symmetry and changes in force constants, e.g., via hydrogen bonding, leads to a splitting of the e mode and frequency shifts of all three modes. In the case of the anti conformer of (of-H)$\text{PEA}$, the splitting of the e mode is small. The detection of more than three transitions in this spectral range in the experimental IRPD spectra is indicative of the presence of more than one conformer and/or isomers with different Rg binding sites and/or the presence of overtone and combination bands.

Before turning to the of-H$\text{PEA}$ case, we briefly summarize the salient results for H$\text{PEA}$. The experimental bands A, B, and C observed at 3348, 3299, and 3120 cm\(^{-1}\) for H$\text{PEA}$–Ne are assigned to the two free antisymmetric and symmetric NH stretch modes ($\nu_a, \nu_s$) and the bound NH stretch mode ($\nu^b$) involved in the NH$^+$$\cdots$π (cation–π) interaction of the gauche conformer (G), respectively. The two bands indicated by asterisks in Fig. 2 to the linear IR absorption spectra calculated for the four fundamentals in the 3100–3400 cm\(^{-1}\) range. The minor and subtle effects of Rg tagging will be considered in a second step.

The IRPD spectra of of-H$\text{PEA}$–Ar is rather different from that of H$\text{PEA}$–Ar, indicating that ortho-fluorination has a drastic impact on the preferred conformation of the flexible protonated ethylamino side chain. Three major bands A, B, and C are observed at 3341, 3283, and 3238 cm\(^{-1}\) and weaker transitions A1 and D are detected at 3329 and 3122 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. The of-H$\text{PEA}$–Ne spectrum exhibits a substantially lower signal-to-noise ratio than the of-H$\text{PEA}$–Ar spectrum but clearly reproduces the two strongest transitions A and B at 3344 and 3287 cm\(^{-1}\), which are slightly blue-shifted (by 3–4 cm\(^{-1}\)) from the corresponding of-H$\text{PEA}$–Ar transitions. The detection of the transitions C and D in the of-H$\text{PEA}$–Ne spectrum is only tentative. Hence, we will utilize the richer of-H$\text{PEA}$–Ar spectrum for assigning the observed of-H$\text{PEA}$ conformers by initial comparison to the IR spectra calculated for the four of-H$\text{PEA}$ monomers in Fig. 2. The minor and subtle effects of Rg tagging will be considered in a second step.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\nu_a$ (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>$\nu_s$ (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>$\nu^b$ (cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$\text{PEA}$(G)</td>
<td>3348 (90)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$\text{PEA}$(A)</td>
<td>3333 (91)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$\text{PEA}$–Ne (exp)</td>
<td>3341 (6)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$\text{PEA}$–Ar (exp)</td>
<td>3314 (8)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of-H$\text{PEA}$–Ne (exp)</td>
<td>3329 (1.4)</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| of-H$\text{PEA}$–Ar (exp) | 3329 (1.4) | A | 3283 (11) | B | 3283 (10) | C | 3122 (D) |

$^a$ Calculated frequencies are scaled by 0.9586. A complete list of calculated frequencies in the NH stretch range for all isomers of of-H$\text{PEA}$(Gf1)–Rg is available in Table S2 in ESI. Data for H$\text{PEA}$–Rg are taken from ref. 15. $^b$ Tentative assignment.
and +19.9 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively, are discussed in detail in ref. 14, we consider here only their N–H bond parameters summarized in Table S1 in ESI. The A conformer has three N–H bonds with quite similar bond lengths (1.022 Å), which give rise to three strongly coupled free NH stretch modes, namely two nearly degenerate high-frequency antisymmetric modes (ν₁) at 3334 and 3327 cm⁻¹ and a low-frequency symmetric mode (ν₀) at 3253 cm⁻¹. The two more stable gauche conformers Gf2 and Gh exhibit two free N–H bonds with similar bond lengths (1.020–1.021 Å), giving rise to coupled antisymmetric and symmetric NH stretch fundamentals (ν₂, ν₃, ν₄) at around 3345 and 3300 cm⁻¹, respectively. In the Gh conformer, the third N–H bond is stretched by a weak NH⋯⋅π interaction to 1.028 Å, corresponding to a largely red-shifted isolated bound NH stretch mode (ν₂) at 3201 cm⁻¹. In the Gf2 conformer, the third N–H bond is stretched by a stronger NH⋯⋅F interaction to 1.029 Å, with an even lower-frequency bound NH stretch mode (ν₀) at 3166 cm⁻¹. Interestingly, the most stable Gf1 conformer benefits from weak NH⋯⋅π and NH⋯⋅F contacts, which give rise to three similar N–H bond lengths (1.020–1.024 Å) and three largely coupled and roughly equally-spaced (nearly) free NH stretch fundamentals at 3346/3304 cm⁻¹ (ν₂, ν₃, ν₄) and 3245 cm⁻¹ (ν₀).

Comparison of the IRPD spectra of the oF-H’PEA–Rg dimers with the IR spectra calculated for the four oF-H’PEA conformers in Fig. 2 suggests an immediate assignment of the most prominent bands A, B, and C to the three NH stretch fundamentals (ν₁, ν₂, ν₃) of the most stable Gf1 conformer. The very weak but clearly discernible band D in the oF-H’PEA–Ar spectrum is an unambiguous fingerprint of the minor presence of the second most stable Gf2 conformer (ν₂). Neglecting effects of Ar complexation, the abundance ratio of the Gf2 and Gf1 conformers can be roughly estimated as ~5% from the ratio of the integrated band intensities of band D and bands A–C, corrected for variations in the laser intensity and the calculated IR cross sections. The small population of the Gf2 conformer with relative energy of only E₀ = +1.1 kJ mol⁻¹ compared to the Gf1 global minimum is indicative of the low cluster ion temperature achieved in the supersonic plasma expansion. The free NH stretch bands of Gf2 overlap with those of the much more abundant Gf1 conformer. As will be shown below, the band A₁ observed only in the oF-H’PEA–Ar spectrum most likely arises from oF-H’PEA(Gf1)–Ar isomers with an Ar binding site producing a noticeable red shift from band A. The abundance of the Gh conformer is below the detection limit because its bound NH stretch transition predicted at ν₂ = 3201 cm⁻¹ is absent in the IRPD spectra. This result is in line with its relative energy of E₀ = +7.2 kJ mol⁻¹. Although the spectrum predicted for the A conformer of oF-H’PEA partly overlaps with the measured spectrum, its abundance can also be excluded for energetic reasons (E₀ = +19.9 kJ mol⁻¹) and by analogy to the H’PEA case.

In the following, we turn our attention toward the effects of Rg tagging on the structures, energetics, and IR spectra of the oF-H’PEA conformers. As the Gh and A conformers are experimentally not detected, we focus mostly on the predominant Gf1 conformer. Fig. 3 summarizes the six major binding sites and binding energies of the Rg atoms for Rg = Ar and Ne around the oF-H’PEA ion (I–VI), along with their predicted IR spectra (Table S2 in ESI) and the measured IRPD spectra. The binding motifs and energetic order of the Gf1–Rg isomers are the same for both Rg atoms.
In the most favorable binding site I, the Rg atom interacts with the aromatic π-electron system via dispersion forces and one of the protons of the ammonium group via a NH⋯-Ar H-bond, leading to binding energies of \( D_0 = 12.1 \) and \( 5.1 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for Rg = Ar and Ne, respectively. In the slightly less stable binding motif II, the Rg atom interacts with the F atom, one NH proton, and both CH\(_2\) groups, with \( D_0 = 10.0 \) (Ar) and \( 4.6 \) (Ne) kJ mol\(^{-1}\).

Binding site III is mostly characterized by a NH⋯-Rg interaction along with a weak C\(_2\)H\(_2\) contact, and \( D_0 = 9.7 \) (Ar) and 3.9 (Ne) kJ mol\(^{-1}\). The remaining isomers IV–VI are substantially less stable, with \( D_0 \leq 6.4 \) (Ar) and 2.6 (Ne) kJ mol\(^{-1}\). because the Rg atoms interact merely with aliphatic and/or aromatic CH\(_2\) protons. The binding energies of Ar with the three less stable Gf2, Gh, and A conformers are listed in Table S3 in ESI.† Interestingly, all efforts to locate a Gf2–Rg(i) isomer failed, and all optimizations converged toward the Gf1–Rg(i) isomer, indicating a Rg-induced conformational isomerisation reaction (Gf2 → Gf1). Thus, position II is the most stable Rg binding site for Gf2, and this result may to some extent also contribute to the low abundance of this conformer in the oF-H′PEA-Ar spectrum.

Because of the relatively weak interaction of Ne with oF-H′PEA(Gf1) \( (D_0 = 1.8\text{--}5.1 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\)\), the perturbation by tagging is small. As a consequence, the predicted IR spectra of all oF-H′PEA(Gf1)–Ne isomers are the same within experimental resolution and reflect the one of the untagged ion with respect to frequency \( (\Delta \nu_{\text{NH}} \leq 6 \) cm\(^{-1}\)\) and IR intensity \( (\Delta \nu_{\text{NH}} < 30\%) \) to high accuracy (Fig. 3 and Table S2 in ESI†). As a result, the preferred Ne binding site cannot be inferred from the experimental IRPD spectrum. The prominent bands A and B observed at 3344 and 3287 cm\(^{-1}\) in the IRPD spectrum of oF-H′PEA–Ne are red-shifted by 2 and 17 cm\(^{-1}\) compared to the two \( \nu_{\text{NH}} \) fundamentals calculated as 3346 and 3304 cm\(^{-1}\) for bare oF-H′PEA(Gf1). Tagging with Ar affects the transitions slightly more because of its stronger interaction \( (D_0 = 3.8\text{--}12.1 \) kJ mol\(^{-1}\)\).

For isomers I–II and IV–VI, the effects are again below or comparable to the experimental spectral resolution, with \( \Delta \nu_{\text{NH}} \leq 6 \) cm\(^{-1}\) and \( \Delta \nu_{\text{NH}} < 125\% \) (Fig. 3 and Table S2 in ESI†). The only exception is isomer III, for which the highest-frequency free NH stretch band is red-shifted by 19 cm\(^{-1}\) because of the formation of a relatively strong NH⋯-Ar H-bond. Hence, the bands A, B, and C observed at 3341, 3283 and 3238 cm\(^{-1}\) in the IRPD spectrum of oF-H′PEA–Ar are mostly assigned to the three free NH stretch fundamentals of isomer I (and possibly II) of oF-H′PEA(Gf1)–Ar predicted at 3347, 3300, and 3239 cm\(^{-1}\), with deviations of \(-6, -17\), and \(-1 \) cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. The satellite transition A1 measured at 3329 cm\(^{-1}\) is currently interpreted as Ar-bound NH stretch of isomer III predicted at 3327 cm\(^{-1}\), with an estimated abundance of roughly 10% compared to isomers I and II resulting from the ratio of the integrated band intensities of bands A and A1 corrected for the calculated IR cross sections.

Rg tagging with Ar and Ne leaves the conformational structure of oF-H′PEA(Gf1) nearly unchanged (Table 2). Relevant dihedral angles describing the side chain conformation \( (\tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2) \) deviate by less than 1.2° for the relevant I and III binding sites of the Rg atom. From this result, one can conclude that the intermolecular interactions of the Rg atoms do not affect much the noncovalent intramolecular bonds in oF-H′PEA(Gf1) and that they can be considered separately.

Analysis of the NBO charge distribution of oF-H′PEA(Gf1) and its most stable oF-H′PEA(Gf1)–Rg(i) dimer demonstrates only minor charge rearrangement by Rg tagging. As noted previously,13–16 the charge of the excess proton is mostly located on the side chain (>930 me) with a major part on the ammonium group (≈650 me). Upon Rg tagging at binding site I, only a small amount of the positive charge is transferred to the Rg atom (10 and 3 me for Ar and Ne, respectively), mainly shifted from the ammonium nitrogen atom (≈7 and ≈3 me). The noncovalent inter- and intramolecular interactions occurring in H′PEA(G), oF-H′PEA(Gf1), and their most stable Ar dimers (isomer I) are quantified by NCI calculations (Fig. 4 and Table 3). Comparison of the 2D plots of oF-H′PEA(Gf1) and oF-H′PEA(Gf1)–Ar(i) reveals the same noncovalent intramolecular interactions with nearly similar strengths. The intramolecular NH⋯−π interaction in isolated oF-H′PEA(Gf1) \( (R_{\text{NH}−\pi} = 3.26 \AA, \rho^* = -0.010 \text{ a.u.}) \) gets slightly weaker in the Ar-tagged dimer \( (R_{\text{NH}−\pi} = 3.30 \AA, \rho^* = -0.009 \text{ a.u.}) \), because the Ar atom slightly pushes the side chain away from the aromatic ring. At the same time, the intramolecular NH⋯−F interaction \( (R_{\text{NH}−F} = 2.28 \AA, \rho^* = -0.013 \text{ a.u.}) \) becomes slightly stronger upon Ar tagging \( (R_{\text{NH}−F} = 2.24 \AA, \rho^* = -0.013 \text{ a.u.}) \). Additional intermolecular interactions of the Ar tag are the relatively strong NH⋯−Ar H-bond \( (R_{\text{NH}−Ar} = 2.54 \AA, \rho^* = -0.010 \text{ a.u.}) \) and the weaker delocalized dispersive Ar⋯−π interaction \( (R_{\text{Ar}−\pi} = 3.58 \AA, \rho^* = -0.004 \text{ a.u.}) \). The intermolecular NH⋯−Ar bond is somewhat weaker than the intramolecular NH⋯−F and Cπ-H⋯−F interactions \( (\rho^* = -0.011 \text{ a.u.}) \) because F has a better electron donor character than Ar. Interestingly, this intermolecular interaction is slightly stronger than the intramolecular

Table 2 Selected bond lengths \( (R_{\text{NH}−\pi}, R_{\text{NH}−F}, R_{\text{NH}−Ar}, R_{\text{NH}−Cπ}) \), dihedral angles \( (\tau_1, C_2C_3C_4C_6, \tau_2, C_2C_3C_4C_5C_6) \), and zero-point corrected relative energies \( (E_0) \) and binding energies \( (D_0) \) of oF-H′PEA(Gf1) and two representative oF-H′PEA(Gf1)–Rg isomers calculated at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( R_{\text{NH}−\pi} ) (Å)</th>
<th>( R_{\text{NH}−F} ) (Å)</th>
<th>( R_{\text{NH}−Ar} ) (Å)</th>
<th>( R_{\text{NH}−Cπ} ) (Å)</th>
<th>( \tau_1 ) (°)</th>
<th>( \tau_2 ) (°)</th>
<th>( E_0 ) (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( D_0 ) (cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>oF-H′PEA(Gf1)</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.275</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oF-H′PEA(Gf1)–Ar(i)</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>2.243</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>2.538</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oF-H′PEA(Gf1)–Ar(ii)</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>2.311</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>2.497</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oF-H′PEA(Gf1)–Ne(i)</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>2.274</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.277</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oF-H′PEA(Gf1)–Ne(ii)</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>2.311</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.262</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a \) Distance to the center of the aromatic ring. \( b \) Shortest NH⋯−F or NH⋯−Rg distance.
NH\textsuperscript{+}⋯\pi interaction. Moreover, the Ar⋯\pi interaction is divided into two parts (indicated by the dotted line in Fig. 4d), where the stronger one (\(\rho^* = -0.004\ \text{a.u.}\)) corresponds to the half of the isosurface in direction toward the side chain and the weaker one (\(\rho^* = -0.003\ \text{a.u.}\)) to the other half. As this behavior is also observed in the NCI plots of H\textsuperscript{+}PEA–Ar with \(\rho^* = -0.003\ \text{and} -0.004\ \text{a.u.}\), it is not caused by the F atom, but results from the asymmetry in the interaction induced by the side chain.

In previous work,\textsuperscript{14} we compared the properties of the \(\text{oF-H}^+\text{PEA}\) conformers with those of unsubstituted H\textsuperscript{+}PEA based on IRMPD spectra in the fingerprint range and B3LYP-D3 calculations. However, from the experimental point of view very little information on the effects of ortho-fluorination could
be obtained because the low-resolution IRMPD spectra of the oF-H PEA and H PEA ions recorded at room temperature look quite similar, with the major exception of the additional CF stretch mode (σCF) of oF-H PEA located at 1210 cm\(^{-1}\) and two bands assigned to aromatic CH bending modes. Hence, all information about the effects of site-specific aromatic fluorination on the noncovalent intramolecular bonds and the side chain conformation relied exclusively on the computations.\(^{14}\)

In contrast, the comparison of the IRPD spectra of cold Rg-tagged oF-H PEA and H PEA recorded in the NH stretch range reveals very different spectra (Fig. 2) providing invaluable experimental data on the effects of ortho-fluorination on the preferred side-chain conformation. The high-energy anti conformers of oF-H PEA and H PEA have similar N-H bond properties, leading to essentially the same predicted IR spectra (to within 1 cm\(^{-1}\)). However, the most stable G conformer of H PEA differs drastically from the corresponding Gh conformer of oF-H PEA. The NH\(^+-\pi\) interaction in H PEA(G) is stronger \((R_{NH\cdot\pi} = 2.93 \, \text{Å} \text{ and } \rho^+ = -0.015 \, \text{a.u.})\) than in oF-H PEA(Gh) \((R_{NH\cdot\pi} = 3.02 \, \text{Å} \text{ and } \rho^+ = -0.014 \, \text{a.u.})\), leading to a lower bound NH stretch frequency \((v^b = 3164 \text{ versus } 3201 \, \text{cm}^{-1})\). Thus, in contrast to H PEA, for which the G conformer is the global minimum and the only conformer detected experimentally, ortho-fluorination destabilizes the Gh conformer such that it is only a higher-energy conformer and experimentally not detected. Instead, the two lowest-energy Gf1 and Gf2 conformers of oF-H PEA, which are indeed experimentally observed, are stabilized by NH\(^+-\cdot\)F interactions, which of course are absent in H PEA. The minor Gf2 conformer has a very strong NH\(^+-\cdot\)F interaction but no NH\(^+-\cdot\)π contact, while the most abundant and energetically most stable Ghf1 conformer is stabilized by NH\(^+-\cdot\)π and NH\(^+-\cdot\)F contacts of medium strength. This spectral comparison demonstrates that ortho-fluorination drastically changes the noncovalent interactions in this prototypical protonated neurotransmitter, leading to the detection of completely different conformers in the NH stretch range, which is a very sensitive probe of these noncovalent intramolecular bonds. For example, the band D in the oF-H PEA–Ar spectrum at 3122 cm\(^{-1}\) is characteristic of the strong NH\(^+-\cdot\)F interaction of the Gf2 conformer, and occurs close to band C in the H PEA–Ar spectrum at 3123 cm\(^{-1}\), which is characteristic for the strong NH\(^+-\cdot\)π interaction of the G conformer. This coincidence suggests that the two different types of noncovalent interactions in these two different conformers have similar strengths.

### 4. Concluding remarks

The drastic impact of H \(\rightarrow\) F substitution on the inter- and intramolecular noncovalent forces of the ortho-fluorinated protonated prototypical neurotransmitter oF-H PEA is characterized by IRPD spectroscopy of cold ions in the NH stretch range using Rg tagging with Ne and Ar and quantum chemical calculations at the dispersion-corrected B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. These IRPD spectra provide the first experimental information on the strength of the competing noncovalent NH\(^+-\cdot\)π and NH\(^+-\cdot\)F interaction motifs, which change upon site-specific aromatic fluorination. In contrast to previous low-resolution IRMPD spectra of room-temperature ions in the fingerprint range, the higher-resolution IRPD spectra of cold oF-H PEA–Rg dimers in the NH stretch range are able to distinguish between the three low-energy gauche conformers of oF-H PEA. The analysis of the conformation-sensitive NH stretch spectra clearly shows the predominant population of the most stable Gf1 conformer, which is stabilized by both NH\(^+-\cdot\)π and NH\(^+-\cdot\)F contacts of medium strength. The slightly less stable Gf2 conformer, which lacks any NH\(^+-\cdot\)π interaction, is strongly stabilized by the substantial NH\(^+-\cdot\)F interaction and detected as a minor population in the molecular beam (\(\sim 5\%\)). The Gh conformer of oF-H PEA, which is only stabilized by a NH\(^+-\cdot\)π interaction, is the least stable gauche conformer and not detected experimentally. This is in contrast to the related G conformer of H PEA, which is the global minimum and the only conformer detected for the unsubstituted ion. The NCI calculations provide a quantitative analysis of the competing noncovalent intermolecular and intramolecular interactions, which can be of comparable strength for the Ar-tagged oF-H PEA ions. The Rg ligand prefers a binding site at which it maximizes the dispersive interaction with the aromatic π electron system and charge-induced dipole interaction with the ammonium group. Although the intermolecular interactions with the Rg atoms can compete with the noncovalent intramolecular bonds, they induce only minor spectral shifts in the NH stretch range. In the future, it may be interesting to...
characterize \( x \text{F-H}^+ \text{PEA-(H}_2\text{O})_n \) clusters using the same experimental and computational approach in order to probe the effects of site-specific aromatic fluorination on the structure of the microhydration network around this prototypical protonated neurotransmitter under more realistic physiological conditions.\(^{16,41-48}\)
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