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The bond activation chemistry of B(C₆F₅)₃ and related electron-deficient boranes is currently experiencing a renaissance due to the fascinating development of frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs). B(C₆F₅)₃’s ability to catalytically activate Si–H bonds through η¹ coordination opened the door to several unique reduction processes. The ground-breaking finding that the same family of fully or partially fluorinated boron Lewis acids allows for the related H–H bond activation, either alone or as a component of an FLP, brought considerable momentum into the area of transition-metal-free hydrogenation and, likewise, hydrosilylation. This review comprehensively summarises synthetic methods involving borane-catalysed Si–H and H–H bond activation. Systems corresponding to an FLP-type situation are not covered. Aside from the broad manifold of CₓQₓ bond reductions and CₓQₓ/C–X defunctionalisations, dehydrogenative (oxidative) Si–H couplings are also included.

1. Introduction

The potent boron Lewis acid tris[pentafluorophenyl]borane [B(C₆F₅)₃, Fig. 1] is one of those molecules that revealed its relevance to synthetic chemistry long after its discovery. Its preparation was described in the early 1960s¹ but, without any obvious use, these reports laid dormant for decades with hardly any citations. The situation changed in the early 1990s when Marks and co-workers found that B(C₆F₅)₃ and cognate electron-deficient boranes are excellent co-catalysts in metallocene-mediated alkene polymerization.² B(C₆F₅)₃’s ability to act as catalyst in its own right was then discovered by Piers and co-workers in the late 1990s. These authors showed that B(C₆F₅)₃ catalyses CₓQₓ bond hydrosilylations³ (reduction) as well as dehydrogenative Si–O couplings⁴ (oxidation) by a counterintuitive mechanism. It was proposed largely on the basis of kinetic data that B(C₆F₅)₃ activates the hydrosilane rather than the carbonyl group by an, at that time,
unusual $\eta^1$ coordination.\(^5\) Although these contributions were noticed by the community, \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3\) catalysis still continued to develop rather slowly.\(^6\) The advent of frustrated Lewis pairs (FLPs) in the mid 2000s finally moved \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3\) and related fully or partially fluorinated boranes into the limelight. Stephan’s\(^7\) and also Erker’s\(^8\) findings that Lewis pairs, either frustrated or formed reversibly, composed of those boron Lewis acids and a rather broad range of Lewis bases activate small molecules without the need for a transition metal were a significant advancement.\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^10\)

The heterolytic splitting of dihydrogen and its application in transition-metal-free hydrogenation is particularly relevant and closely related to the Piers-type activation of the weaker Si–H bond (90 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for Si–H versus 103 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for H–H).\(^11\) H–H bond activation is in fact not even limited to FLP-like systems, and \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3\) alone will activate dihydrogen without the assistance of a deliberately added Lewis base.\(^12\) The Lewis basic reactant is enough to step in. The purpose of this review is to collect synthetic methods involving borane-catalysed Si–H and H–H bond activation in a single article. We explicitly excluded clear cases of FLP-type systems as these were comprehensively covered by several reviews in recent years.\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^10\)

2. Mechanistic aspects

The fascination with \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3\) catalysis began with the seminal discovery by Piers and co-workers that the \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3\)-catalysed carbonyl hydrosilylation obeys a counterintuitive three-step mechanism (X=O, Scheme 1).\(^3\)\(^,\)\(^5\) A series of significant experiments established that Lewis adduct II composed of \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3\) and carbonyl compound I is not a competent intermediate in the catalytic cycle. \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3\) was in fact shown to be largely present in its free form. Instead, it was proposed that \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3\) is involved in the activation of the Si–H bond of hydrosilane III through reversible $\eta^1$ rather than $\eta^2$ coordination, and the intermediacy of the unusual complex IV was suggested. The enhanced Lewis acidity of the silicon atom in IV would then facilitate the nucleophilic attack by the Lewis-basic oxygen atom of I. The hydride is transferred from the silicon to the boron atom in that step to afford ion pair VI, that is a borohydride along with a silylcarboxonium ion. The catalysis would come full circle by hydride transfer from the borohydride to the electrophilic carbon atom of the silylcarboxonium ion, thereby yielding silyl ether VII and releasing free \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3\). That unique mechanism was in accordance with kinetic analyses, NMR spectroscopic measurements and isotopic labelling experiments. Preliminary computational investigations lent further support to the existence of the hydrosilane–borane adduct IV.\(^11\) Later, Rendler and Oestreich verified the nature of the actual activation step for carbonyl compounds I with the aid of a silicon-stereogenic hydrosilane as a stereochemical probe.\(^13\)\(^,\)\(^14\) The Si–H bond activation was shown to proceed through the $\text{S}_\text{N}2$–Si transition state V (X=O)

Recently, Sakata and Fujimoto further supported the experimentally observed mechanism by quantum-chemical calculations with acetone and Me$_3$SiH as model compounds.\(^15\) Their results are in full agreement with the Piers–Oestreich mechanism.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^13\) That work also included a comparison of \(\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3\) with BF$_3$ as catalyst, and it was found that conventional carbonyl activation is operative in the latter case.\(^16\) The Si–H bond is cleaved in a four-membered transition state where the carbonyl oxygen atom

![Fig. 1 Tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane \([\text{B(C}_6\text{F}_5\text{)}_3]\).](image)
accommodates both the boron atom and the weakly Lewis acidic silicon atom. The hydride is directly transferred from the silicon atom to the highly electrophilic carbonyl carbon atom. The fundamentally different activation modes exerted by BF₃ (C=O group) and B(C₆F₅)₃ (Si–H bond) were mainly attributed to the pentaphenylborole. The adduct Et₃SiH/B(C₆F₅)₃ was found to be linear but significantly bent with a bond angle of 157°. The Si–H bond (1.48 Å); the B–H distance of 1.46(2) Å is substantially the hydrogen atom remaining at the silicon atom. The Si–H bond that it shares with related, less-bent [Si–H–Si]+ hydronium ions.19

The hydride formation is closely related to the stereoselectivity observed in the hydrosilylation of imines.18 The authors have investigated the reaction by temperature NMR spectroscopy of the equilibrium of adduct formation and structural characterisation of 3 by X-ray crystallography. Quantum-chemical calculations were used to further probe the stability of 3 and to compare 3 with Et₃SiH/B(C₆F₅)₃ and the hypothetical adduct of Et₃SiH (2a) and perfluoropentaphenylborole. The adduct Et₃SiH/B(C₆F₅)₃ was found to be weaker, and the higher stability of 3 was rationalized by a fine balance of steric and electronic effects rather than merely being the result of the higher Lewis acidity of 3 relative to B(C₆F₅)₃. The molecular structure of 3 shows the anticipated Si–H–B bridge with the hydrogen atom remaining at the silicon atom. The Si–H distance is 1.51(2) Å and, hence, in the typical range of Si–H bonds (1.48 Å); the B–H distance of 1.46(2) Å is substantially longer than typical B–H bonds (1.14 Å). The Si–H–B bridge is not linear but significantly bent with a bond angle of 157°, a feature that it shares with related, less-bent [Si–H–Si]+ hydronium ions.19

Regarding the imine hydrosilylation, the above basic mechanistic principles are still valid (X=NR₂, Scheme 1).20 Again, B(C₆F₅)₃ dissociates from adduct II to form adduct IV with hydrosilane III. Imine I then acts as a nucleophile in the concerted displacement of the Si–H bond (IV → V → VI). However, there were doubts about the involvement of the silyliminium ion in the borohydride reduction step (VI → VII). Hog and Oestreich had tried to apply the aforementioned stereochemical probe13 to the hydrosilylation of imines but results were inconclusive.21 Initial attempts to determine the absolute configuration and the enantiomeric purity of the silicon-stereogenic hydrosilane reisolated after the hydrosilylation/Si–N cleavage sequence had failed. Also, the stereogenicity at the silicon atom had not induced any diastereoselectivity (dr = 74:26 in the corresponding carbonyl hydroysilation); the free amine was isolated in racemic form after hydrolysis. These findings led the authors to question the transformation of VI into VII, and to propose several alternative hydride transfer scenarios. Later, Mewald and Oestreich were able to show that the stereochemical information at the silicon atom is indeed lost in the catalytic cycle.22 It was speculated that dissociation of the silyliminium ion accounts for the racemization. However, the same authors demonstrated through matched–mismatched combinations of an axially chiral borane catalyst and a chiral hydrosilane reagent that the silyliminium ion is in fact participating in the borohydride reduction.22

This confusion was finally solved by Oestreich and co-workers.23 A closer look at the imine hydrosilylation by NMR spectroscopy revealed the formation of unexpected intermediates that play a major role in the catalytic cycle (Scheme 3). The existence of free amine X and silylated enamine VIII in equimolar ratio indicated that a competing reaction pathway must be added to the established mechanistic picture. These intermediates emerge from the abstraction of a proton in the α-position of the silyliminium ion in VI (VI → VIII and IX). The resulting iminium ion in IX is reduced to the free amine X (IX → X). That free amine X is then transformed into the silylated amine VII by a two-step process: FLP-like and at that time unprecedented Si–H bond cleavage (X → XI)24 is followed by protonation of the silylated enamine VIII with the kinetically stable ion pair XI25 (XI → VII). The latter step also converts VIII back into the silyliminium ion VI. Based on these findings, the generally accepted mechanism must be expanded by another reaction pathway with another borohydride reduction step. The fact that there are

![Scheme 3 Competing pathways in B(C₆F₅)₃-catalysed hydrosilylation of imines.](image-url)
two potentially stereoselectivity-determining steps hidden in the catalytic cycle must be considered for asymmetric variants. 25

The related hydrogenation is believed to pass through similar key intermediates as the hydrosilylation (cf. Scheme 1). Cooperative H–H activation by the Lewis acid catalyst and the Lewis basic substrate results in an ion pair composed of an iminium ion and a borohydride. 26,27 The subsequent hydride transfer affords an amine–borane adduct that dissociates into the amine and the free borane catalyst. It must be noted though that there is evidence of an autocatalytic pathway where the amine rather than the imine is involved in the FLP-type heterolytic H–H splitting. Initially proposed by Klankermayer, 28 this competing reaction was confirmed by quantum-chemical calculations by Pápai and co-workers. 27c

3. Hydrosilylation and hydrogenation

3.1 Reduction of C–X double bonds

As shown above, B(C6F5)3 is a suitable catalyst for the activation of the Si–H bond. The focus of this section is on hydrosilylation of C–X bonds, initially introduced by Piers and co-workers.3,5 The ground-breaking discovery by Stephan and, independently, Klankermayer that the same catalyst also activates the H–H bond led to the development of several related hydrogenations, and these will be presented together with the hydrosilylations where appropriate. Piers and co-workers reported the B(C6F5)3-catalysed hydrosilylation of various aromatic and, later, aliphatic carbonyl compounds using Ph3SiH (2b) (4/5 → 7/8, Scheme 4).3,5 These catalyses are generally quite efficient, and it was shown in subsequent years that other electron-deficient boranes decorated with at least one C6F5H5–n group (n = 1–5) are also capable of promoting these reductions.29

Rosenberg and co-workers elaborated the diastereoselective hydrosilylation of α-diketones where the sterical bulk of the hydrosilane determines the relative configuration (sym/anti or meso/dl) of the 1,2-diol (6 → 9, Scheme 4).29e “Small” hydrosilanes and dihysilanes afforded meso whereas “large” hydrosilanes gave dl configuration.

The related B(C6F5)3-catalysed hydrogenation of carbonyl compounds remained elusive for many years although its feasibility had been proposed in a computational study by Nyhlén and Privalov a few years ago.30 Just recently, this gap was closed by the groups of Stephan31 and Ashley.32 Stephan and co-workers had already found that 1,1-diphenylethylene is reduced to the corresponding alkane when treated with dihydrogen in the presence of catalytic amounts of B(C6F5)3 and Et2O (10a → 11a, Scheme 5, upper).33 Inspired by this, the aforementioned groups were able to hydrogenate ketones as well as aldehydes to the corresponding alcohols with catalytic amounts of B(C6F5)3 in ethereal solvents (5/4 → 12/13, Scheme 5, lower). It was shown that not the carbonyl substrate but the solvent acts as the Lewis-base component in the heterolytic splitting of dihydrogen. Subsequent proton and hydride transfers generate the alcohol. Hence, the mechanism is different from the case calculated by Nyhlén and Privalov and resembles a situation with an external Lewis base rather than the catalytic cycle described in Scheme 1.

The attempt to extend this carbonyl reduction chemistry to the thiketone analogues was successfully accomplished by Rosenberg and co-workers.34 This substrate class participates in the B(C6F5)3-catalysis to form silyl thioethers with sterically accessible tertiary hydrosilanes and dihydrosilanes such as HMe2SiSiMe2H (2e) (14 → 15, Scheme 6). The mechanism proposed by Rosenberg and co-workers is the same as that of the carbonyl hydrosilylation (cf. Scheme 1).5 However, these authors showed that formation of Lewis adduct II is less
pronounced with thioketones than ketones. The hydrosilylation of benzophenone with Ph$_3$SiH (2b) is nevertheless faster than that of the corresponding thioketone; the ketone is chemoselectively reduced in the presence of the thioketone. Several years later, Rosenberg and co-workers applied the same hydrosilylation to the preparation of polymers containing Si–S linkages next to (unreacted) Si–H bonds (14 + 2f → 15c, Scheme 6). The Si–Si bonds in the polyphenylsilane reactant remained untouched. The use of other catalysts for this postpolymerisation modification, no matter if ionic or radical, would lead to Si–Si bond cleavage. Not all Si–H bonds were converted into Si–S linkages but Rosenberg and co-workers were not able to estimate the degree of the Si–H substitution.

Likewise, the B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$-catalysed Si–H bond activation was applied to imines by Piers and co-workers (16 + 17 → 18 + 19 → 20/21, Scheme 7). Benzaldehyde-derived imines with representative substituents R at the imine nitrogen atom were systematically subjected to the standard procedure with Me$_2$PhSiH (2d). As expected, the R group had a profound influence on the reaction rate, and SO$_2$Ph and tBu were optimal requiring only 30 minutes at room temperature for completion. Imines derived from acetophenone, indan-1-one and benzophenone with benzyl protection were also successfully reduced.

Mewald and Oestreich introduced the chiral electron-deficient borane (S)-22THF$_{29}^{29}$ to render the imine hydrosilylation enantioselective (17 → 19 → 21, Scheme 7). The level of enantioinduction was in fact promising but the refined mechanistic picture of this reaction illustrates that it will be extremely difficult to achieve high enantiocontrol due to competing enantioselectivity-determining hydride transfer steps (cf. Scheme 3). This was also illustrated by the work of Klankermayer and co-workers who utilised a chiral borane based on a functionalised camphor-derived backbone in the hydrosilylation of imines but could not detect any enantioinduction. Only in combination with an external phosphine Lewis base substantial enantioinduction (~87% ee) was observed albeit yields were relatively low (not shown). Zhu and Du were able to reach higher yields by applying chiral binaphthyl-based boranes as catalysts but enantiomeric excesses did not exceed 82% ee (not shown).

The related B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$-catalysed hydrogenation of imines was independently discovered by the groups of Stephan (16 + 17 → 20/21, Scheme 8) and Klankermayer. Aldehyde- as well as ketone-derived imines 16–17 were successfully reduced to the corresponding amines 20–21. Imine 16a bearing an electron-withdrawing protecting group reacted significantly slower than more basic imines 16b, 17d–17e. The group of Stephan also extended this method to diastereoselective hydrogenations of chiral imines (not shown). Ashley and co-workers were able to hydrogenate imines under milder reaction conditions (16c → 20c, Scheme 9). Similar to the hydrogenation of carbonyl compounds (cf. Scheme 5), the solvent d$_8$-THF acts as the Lewis base in this system. To bypass its Lewis pair formation with the catalyst, the sterically more hindered B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ congener B(C$_6$Cl$_3$)(C$_6$F$_5$)$_2$ was used.

Chen and Klankermayer had already observed 13% ee with (+)-α-pinene-derived B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$-congener 23 as chiral catalyst in the hydrogenation of phenyl-protected imine 17c (17c → 21c,
Scheme 10.28 The same substrate 17c was reduced with high enantiomeric excess by Liu and Du five years later.40 The chiral borane 24a, prepared in situ by hydroboration with Piers’ borane HB(C6F5)2,39 induced high enantioselectivity in the reduction of a variety of allyl aryl ketimines, including substrates bearing additional Lewis basic sites (17 → 21, Scheme 10). However, meta-substituted substrates as well as diaryl and dialkyl ketimines showed poor enantioselectivities (not shown).

In addition to the direct hydrogenation of imines employing dihydrogen gas, methods for the transfer hydrogenation employing sacrificial dihydrogen sources were also developed. Stephan and co-workers treated various imines 16–17 with catalytic amounts of B(C6F5)3 in iPr2NH (25) (Scheme 11).41 A Meerwein–Pondorf–Verley-type reduction resulted in the formation of the corresponding amines 20–21. The excess of the dihydrogen source 25 is believed to shift the equilibrium toward the desired amine.

Chatterjee and Oestreich adopted a different approach toward imine reduction by employing cyclohexa-1,4-diene 26 as a dihydrogen surrogate (16/17 + 26 → 20/21, Scheme 12).42 The net reaction is a transfer hydrogenation that was believed to be initiated by B(C6F5)3-mediated dihydrogen release from 26 followed by the pathways (including autocatalysis)26–28 of the B(C6F5)3-catalysed imine hydrogenation. However, monitoring the reaction by 1H NMR spectroscopic analysis suggested a different scenario that again involves hydride abstraction from 26 by B(C6F5)3 (ref. 43) but no immediate dihydrogen formation. The high-energy Wheland intermediate is a strong Brønsted acid that protonates the imine substrate to arrive directly at the iminium ion–borohydride ion pair. Various tosyl-protected aldimines, e.g. 16f and 16g, were successfully hydrogenated. Ketimines were less reactive but different phenyl-protected imines, e.g., 17h, or imine 17g bearing the removable para-methoxyphenyl (PMP) group were reduced in excellent yield.

Recently, Mohr and Oestreich applied the B(C6F5)3-catalysed hydrogenation approach to the reduction of oxime ethers (Scheme 13).44 Decoration of the basic oxygen atom with sterically demanding substituents (Bu or Si(SiPr3)2) enabled the chemoselective reduction to the corresponding oxygen-protected hydroxylamines with leaving the weak N–O bond intact (27 → 28). Although the method was largely unaffected by the electronic and steric properties of the substituents at the oxime carbon atom, it remained limited to ketone-derived oxime ethers.

Another well-investigated transformation is the hydrosilylation of alkenes, tracing back to the pioneering work of Gevorgyan and co-workers (10 → 29, Scheme 14).45 The reaction follows the usual pattern of Si–H bond activation by B(C6F5)3 with the π-basic alkene acting as nucleophile. A β-silylcarbenium ion is formed as an
intermediate, and the reaction is terminated by hydride transfer from the borohydride. Using various hydrosilanes (excluding sterically hindered ones such as iPr₃SiH), excellent yields were obtained with substituted styrenes (including polymerisable indene), aliphatic alkenes, cyclohexene as well as properly protected oxygen-containing alkenes. The addition across the C–C double bond is trans-selective since the hydride attack occurs from the less hindered face; e.g., 1-methylcyclohex-1-ene gives good cis relative configuration (10e). Recently, Simonneau and Oestreich introduced the new strategy of ionic transfer hydrosilylation where the hydrosilane is formed in situ by B(C₆F₅)₃-promoted decomposition of easy-to-handle 3-silylated cyclohexa-1,4-dienes (10 + 30 → 29, Scheme 15). This approach is particularly beneficial in the case of gaseous hydrosilanes, e.g., highly flammable and explosive Me₃SiH (b.p. 6.7 °C). As described for the B(C₆F₅)₃-catalysed transfer hydrogenation of imines (cf. Scheme 12), the catalysis is initiated by abstraction of one of the bis(allylic) hydrides from the hydrosilane surrogate, forming a silicon-stabilised Wheland complex with the borohydride as counteranion. We note here that the Wheland intermediate might also be described as a benzene-stabilised silicon cation. That ion pair readily transforms into Me₃SiH and benzene. Applied to alkenes, the in situ-generated Me₃SiH engages in the above B(C₆F₅)₃-catalysed hydrosilylation (cf. Scheme 14). Simonneau and Oestreich demonstrated the broad scope of this transfer hydrosilylation for terminal and internal alkenes (Scheme 15). For instance, oct-1-ene (10d → 29e) reacted with complete anti-Markovnikov selectivity, norbornene (10f → 29f) underwent exo-selective hydrosilylation and 1-methylcyclohex-1-ene (10e → 29g) featured cis-selective addition across its trisubstituted C–C double bond. Conversely, 2-methylindene shows opposite regioselectivity due to benzylic stabilisation of the carbenium ion, thereby overriding tertiary carbenium ion formation as well as steric factors.

Piers and co-workers extended the hydrosilylation of carbonyl functions to α,β-unsaturated acceptors (Scheme 16, upper). The chemoselectivity is usually excellent with enones, favoring 1,4-over 1,2-reduction (31a,b → 32a,b). Not surprisingly, 1,2-reduction becomes competitive with increased steric bulk at the β-carbon atom but in cases where the 1,2-pathway also suffers from steric
congestion the conjugate reduction still wins (31a → 32a).
However, predominant 1,2-hydrosilylation is seen with enals (31c → 32c). These 1,4-hydrosilylations afford silyl
enol ethers that participate in another hydrosilylation to yield β-silylated silyl ethers (32d → 33, Scheme 16, lower).
The diastereoselectivity of the addition of the Si–H bond across the C–C double bond is trans as a result of the
sterically controlled borohydride reduction of the intermediate silylcarboxonium ion (cf. mechanistic discussion of
the alkene hydrosilylation); the silyloxy and silyl groups are in a cis relationship.

Chandrasekhar and co-workers later employed polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS, 2h) in this reduction (10 → 11 Scheme 17). The PMHS–B(C6F5)3 system allowed for highly chemo- and regioselective reduction of various conjugated
alkenes in excellent yields and was superior to other PMHS–Lewis acid combinations. The advantages of PMHS (2h), such as being inexpensive, environmentally friendly as well as air-
and moisture-stable, make the procedure a practical alternative to the existing protocol.

Similar to the hydrosilylation of silyl enol ethers (Scheme 16, lower), Tan and Zhang accomplished the reduction of enamines to afford the corresponding tertiary amines in excellent yields (34 → 35, Scheme 18). Only two examples have been reported to date but the related FLP-catalysed enamine hydrogenation is a popular application of this transformation.

3.2 Reduction of carbonyl groups to the aldehyde oxidation level

The pioneering work of Piers and co-workers on carbonyl hydrosilylation also included carbonyl compounds as substrates (36 → 37 → 4, Scheme 19). Initially limited to aryl esters, the authors later extended the scope to (functionalised) aliphatic esters. Hydrolysis of the acetal 37 then affords the aldehyde 4.

It is important to note that overstoichiometric amounts of the hydrosilane result in further degradation of the acetal 37, yielding complex mixtures of various oxidation states.

The related hydrosilylation of carboxylic acids was recently elaborated by Brookhart and co-workers (38 → 39 → 4, Scheme 20). Again, the acetal is easily hydrosilyled to the aldehyde (39 → 4). Acetal formation was particularly effective with bulky tertiary hydrosilanes whereas smaller tertiary as well as secondary hydrosilanes led to overreduction. For example, hydrosilylation of phenylpropanoic acid with TMDS (1,1,3,3-tetramethyldisiloxane) cleanly produced fully reduced n-propyl benzene (not shown). The substrate scope ranges from linear and branched aliphatic to aromatic carboxylic acids with excellent tolerance of unsaturation (double and triple bonds). The difference between this and Piers' carbonyl hydrosilylation is that the present transformation begins with a B(C6F5)3-catalysed dehydrogenative Si–O coupling (see Section 7) that converts the free carboxylic acid into the corresponding silyl ester.

3.3 Deoxygenation

Another attractive application of the B(C6F5)3-catalysed hydrosilylation was introduced by Gevorgyan, Yamamoto, and co-workers. These authors showed that alcohols, carbonyl as well as carboxyl compounds are fully reduced to the hydrocarbon at room temperature when treated with excess Et3SiH (2a) in the presence of catalytic amounts of B(C6F5)3 (Schemes 21 and 23). By this, primary alcohols are converted into the corresponding alkane (13 → 40, Scheme 21). However, deoxygenation of secondary and tertiary alcohols failed. These alcohols undergo the initial dehydrogenative
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Scheme 17 B(C6F5)3-catalysed hydrosilylation of α,β-unsaturated acceptors employing PMHS (2h) by Chandrasekhar.

Scheme 18 B(C6F5)3-catalysed hydrosilylation of enamines by Zhang.

Scheme 19 B(C6F5)3-catalysed hydrosilylation of carboxylic esters to acetals by Piers.
Si–O coupling (cf. Scheme 40) but the generated silyl ethers are too sterically hindered to react further with Et₃SiH–B(C₆F₅)₃ adduct. Chemoselective formation of silyl ethers from primary alcohols is possible with equimolar amounts of Et₃SiH (2a). Nimmagadda and McRae further advanced this methodology by utilizing less hindered nBuSiH₃ and Et₂SiH₂ (2j), respectively.56 By this, secondary and tertiary alcohols were now susceptible to deoxygenation (not shown).57,58

An intriguing application of this deoxygenation was reported by Gagné and co-workers by converting monosaccharides and polysaccharides into mixtures of saturated and unsaturated hydrocarbons, i.e., alkanes and alkenes, respectively, with Et₂SiH₂ (2j) in the presence of catalytic amounts of B(C₆F₅)₃ (41–40, Scheme 22).59 Their study also included an example of an enol ether that was reduced to the corresponding hydrocarbon (44–40f).50 One year later, Chandrasekhar and co-workers found that PMHS (2h) can also be used as reductant in B(C₆F₅)₃-catalysed carbonyl deoxygenations.60,61 Various aromatic and aliphatic carbonyl groups were rapidly reduced to methylene groups (5–40, Scheme 25). For example, benzophenone was cleanly defunctionalised to furnish diphenylmethane (5d–40i).

Defunctionalization of carbonyl and carboxyl compounds works equally well with excess Et₃SiH (2a) in the presence of B(C₆F₅)₃ (Scheme 23).59 For example, n-dodecanal is converted into n-dodecane in high yield (4h–40e). Similarly, the exhaustive reduction of aliphatic carboxylic acids (38g–40f) as well as esters (36d–40g) and acyl chlorides (42–40h) derived thereof proceeded smoothly at ambient temperature. Conversely, partial reduction was seen with aromatic carbonyl and carboxyl compounds, affording the corresponding TES-protected benzylc alcohols (not shown). Moreover, the authors indicate in the final paragraph of their publication that ketones, acetals and nitriles were also amenable to this procedure but no examples were reported. Tan and Zhang later showed that α,β-unsaturated carboxyl compounds, e.g., cinnamic acid (43), undergo exhaustive reduction with Ph₂SiH₂ (2i) (43–40f, Scheme 24).50 Their study also included an example of an enol ether that was reduced to the corresponding hydrocarbon (44–40f).50
new hydrosilane–borane combination displays excellent scope. Alkyl and aryl halides remained untouched, and unsaturation in form of alkenes was tolerated as well \( (5e \rightarrow 40j) \). Remarkably, even carboxylic esters did not react \( (5f \rightarrow 40k) \). Rosenberg and co-workers demonstrated that defunctionalisation by \( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \)-catalysed hydrosilylation is also applicable to thioketones (Scheme 26).\(^{35} \) Thiobenzophenone underwent rapid desulfurisation with \( \text{PhSiH}_3 \) \( (2k) \) or \( \text{Ph}_2\text{SiH}_2 \) \( (2i) \) at room temperature to yield diphenylmethane quantitatively \( (14 \rightarrow 40i) \).

Chandrasekhar and co-workers reported that the reduction of benzamide to benzylamine with the PMHS/\( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \) reducing agent failed \( (45 \rightarrow 46, \text{not shown}) \).\(^{59b} \) In contrast, Tan and Zhang\(^{50} \) as well as Blondiaux and Cantat\(^{62} \) identified a system that allows for the deoxygenation of primary, secondary and tertiary amides. Also, Tan and Zhang mentioned that the reduction of benzamide did not occur at temperatures as high as 120 °C, probably due to conjugation of the amide group with the phenyl ring.\(^{50} \) Blondiaux and Cantat recently accomplished the challenging reduction of primary amides, with one example being benzamide. The “trick” is to protect one of the N–H bonds with \( \text{Me}_3\text{SiCl} \), followed by \( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \)-catalysed hydrosilylation \( (2l) \) as reducing agents. It is important to note that high temperatures are required to thermally cleave the relatively stable amide–\( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \) adduct. Interestingly, in \( \alpha,\beta \)-unsaturated amides, 1,4-reduction proceeds faster than the deoxygenation (not shown).

Tan and Zhang presented one example of an aryl isocyanate reduction to afford the corresponding aniline in quantitative yield \( (47 \rightarrow 46i, \text{Scheme 28}) \).\(^{50} \)

3.4 Reduction of heterocycles

Tan and Zhang had also included an electron-rich heterocycle, namely indole, into their broad screening of substrates for the \( \text{B}(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3 \)-catalysed hydrosilylation.\(^{50} \)\(^{64} \) Indole is reminiscent of the enamine motif that is indeed reduced to amines under the typical reaction setup \( (\text{cf. Scheme 18}) \). Consequently, free indole and \( \text{N} \)-methyl indole participate nicely in the reduction with \( \text{PhSiH}_3 \) \( (2i) \) to yield the corresponding indolines \( (48a,b \rightarrow 49a,b, \text{Scheme 29}) \). Likewise, the hydrogenation of \( \text{N} \)-methyl protected indoles works equally well \( (48b,c \rightarrow 49b,c, \text{Scheme 29}) \).\(^{37c} \) Mechanistic investigations concerning Si–H and H–H bond activation in the presence of heteroarenes were undertaken by Ingleson and co-workers and these revealed a complex situation with multiple competing pathways that eventually lead to the reduced compounds (not shown).\(^{55} \) In their \( \text{N} \)-silylation studies,
Paradies and co-workers also observed reduction of indoles to indolines (cf. Scheme 43). Moreover, imine-like heteroarenes are also reduced with dihydrogen in the presence of electron-deficient boranes (Scheme 30). Examples include the hydrogenation of acridine (50–53), various quinolines (51–54) and 1,10-phenanthroline (52–55). Interestingly, 2-phenylquinoline was reduced to 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-phenylquinoline with dihydrogen (51a–54a) but gave 1,4-reduction exclusively (51a–56) when treated with Et$_3$SiH (2a) in the presence of B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$. The substrate scope of the quinoline hydrogenation was later extended by Soo’s and co-workers. The use of a sterically more demanding and slightly less Lewis acidic catalyst, MesB(C$_6$F$_4$H)$_2$, prevented Lewis pair formation with the substrates, thereby even enabling the reduction of the free quinoline (51b,c→54b,c).

When quinolines are treated with an excess of dihydrosilane (2j) in the presence of B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$, 3-silylated 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolines are formed as illustrated by the group of Park and Chang (51→57, Scheme 31, upper). Mechanistic as well as computational studies revealed that an initial 1,4-reduction is followed by regioselective hydrosilylation of the thus formed N-silylated enamine. For substrates bearing substituents in the 2-position, excellent diastereoselectivities were achieved (51f→57c). In the case of isoquinolines, reduction products with silylation in β-position with respect to the nitrogen atom were obtained (58→59, Scheme 31, lower).

A stereoselective hydrogenation method for 2,3-disubstituted quinoxalines was developed by Zhang and Du (60→61, Scheme 32). Employing B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$, as catalyst resulted in the diastereoselective formation of cis-2,3-disubstituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalines whereas the use of chiral borane (2b) afforded the same target molecules in enantioselective fashion.

A B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$-mediated exhaustive reduction of anilines and a few heteroarenes under hydrogen atmosphere to afford the hydridoborate salts was discovered by Stephan and co-workers (62a/63a/50→64a–c, Scheme 33). Although stoichiometric amounts of B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ are required, multiple turnovers are achieved.
before the final H–H bond activation by the formed amine and B(C₆F₅)₃ produces the hydridoborate salts.

Shortly thereafter, Liu and Du developed a truly catalytic method to reduce pyridines to piperidines with dihydrogen (63 → 66, Scheme 34). These authors found that Piers’ borane HB(C₆F₅)₂ (ref. 39b) was able to catalyse this reaction but its hydroboration products with electron-poor alkenes showed an even higher catalytic activity. In situ-formed borane 65 was used to promote the exhaustive reduction of pyridines with various alkyl or aryl substitution patterns with high levels of diastereoselection. 2-Bromopyridines were dehalogenated (not shown), and selective hydrogenation of one pyridine core was observed for bipyridine 63f.

4. Transformations of ethers

Gevorgyan, Yamamoto and co-workers had mentioned that Et₃SiH (2a) with B(C₆F₅)₃ reduces ethers to alkanes under mild conditions (cf. Section 3.3). Aryl methyl ethers yielded the corresponding silyl ethers and methane quantitatively (e.g., 67a → 8c, Scheme 35). Likewise, 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran was ring-opened with Si–O and C–H bond formations (67b → 8d).

Primary ethers with linear alkyl chains, cyclic ethers such as tetrahydropyran (67c → 7b) as well as secondary ethers such as iPr₂O were fully reduced to the respective hydrocarbons. Tertiary ethers did not react.

Njardarson and co-workers were inspired by this work and extended this mild ether cleavage to unsaturated cyclic ethers. Their contribution is focused on 2,5-dihydrofurans and 3,6-dihydropyrans (Scheme 36). The C–O cleavage in 2,5-dihydrofurans proceed cleanly in high yield (68 → 13) but thermodynamically
controlled alkene migration was seen in few cases. Homoallylic alcohols were obtained from 3,6-2\textsuperscript{H}-dihydropyrans, and scrambling of the double bond geometry occurred with selected substrates (\textsuperscript{68c} - \textsuperscript{13g}). The selective incorporation of deuterium in the allylic position was demonstrated with Et\textsubscript{3}SiD (\textsuperscript{68d} - \textsuperscript{13h}, Scheme 36).

4.2 Cleavage of alkyl silyl ethers (Piers–Rubinsztajn reaction)

Alkyl silyl ethers, i.e., alkoxysilanes, convert into disiloxanes when reacted with hydrosilanes in the presence of catalytic amounts of B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}. This dehydrocarbonative condensation is commonly referred to as the Piers–Rubinsztajn reaction but might also be called a transetherification. This transformation is ideal for the rapid formation of 3D silicon structures for silicon-based polymers.

Chojnowski and co-workers described the preparation of disiloxanes along with hydrocarbons from alkoxysilanes for the first time (\textsuperscript{7} - \textsuperscript{69}, Scheme 37, upper).\textsuperscript{75} Their goal was to examine the mechanism of this reaction by means of kinetic and UV spectroscopic measurements. The reaction of MePh\textsubscript{2}SiH (\textsuperscript{2g}) with Me\textsubscript{3}SiOnOct (\textsuperscript{7c}) was used as model reaction. Their kinetic analysis showed that the reaction rate is proportional to the catalyst concentration, and the reaction is 1st order in both reactants. The catalyst, B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}, is mainly available in free form because Lewis pair formation with the alkoxysilane \textsuperscript{7} or disiloxanes \textsuperscript{69} is relatively weak. The proposed mechanism passes through a complex of the hydrosilane, B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3} and the alkoxysilane (\textit{cf.} \textbf{V}, Scheme 1). Hydride transfer from the silicon to the boron atom generates an oxonium ion intermediate that can react with the borohydride in three different ways, that is hydride attack at either of the three groups attached to the oxonium ion oxygen atom. Out of these three options, the cleavage of the C–O bond will irreversibly form the disiloxane and the alkane. Breaking either of the Si–O bonds results in the backward reaction or a hydrosilane/disiloxane metathesis.

Brook and co-workers became interested in the Piers–Rubinsztajn reaction for the preparation of silicon-based polymers and described the synthesis of oligosilicone building blocks from functionalized trialkoxysilanes (\textsuperscript{70} - \textsuperscript{71}, Scheme 37, lower).\textsuperscript{76,77} For example, \textit{o}-haloalkyl- and vinyl-substituted trialkoxysilanes were compatible with the reaction conditions of the dehydrocarbonative condensation. Neither hydrodehalogenation nor hydrosilylation of the alkenyl group occurred, thereby allowing for the generation of alkenyl-modified silicones.

5. Transetherification and deoxygenation of phosphonic and phosphinic esters

Denis and co-workers investigated the B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{3}-catalysed C–O/Si–O metathesis (\textit{cf.} Section 4) as well as the deoxygenation (\textit{cf.} Section 3.3) of phosphonic esters (Scheme 38, upper).\textsuperscript{78} The choice of hydrosilane determines the chemoselectivity. With tertiary hydrosilanes, phosphonic alkyl esters are converted into the corresponding silyl esters in high yields (\textsuperscript{72} - \textsuperscript{73}). Conversely, exhaustive reduction to free phosphines was achieved with excess of dihydro- or trihydrosilanes (\textsuperscript{72} - \textsuperscript{74}). In contrast, phosphinic alkyl esters are deoxygenated to the free phosphines already with one equivalent of dihydro- or trihydrosilane (\textsuperscript{75} - \textsuperscript{76}, Scheme 38, lower). The conversion to their corresponding silyl esters was not reported.
6. Hydrodefluorination with hydrosilanes

Caputo and Stephan introduced a simple protocol for the activation of C(sp^3)--F bonds (Scheme 39).^{79} The combination of Et_3SiH (2a) and catalytic amounts of B(C_6F_5)_3 was shown to convert (α-oxygenated) alkyl fluorides into the corresponding alkanes along with the formation of Et_3SiF (78). Quantitative yields were obtained for primary and tertiary fluorides within a few minutes (77-40). However, ether 77d required 18 h at 60 °C to afford hydrodefluorinated 40r in 72% yield; the CH(CF_3)_2 group remained intact.

7. Dehydrogenative Si–X couplings

The dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols,^{80-82} thiols,^{35,80}a or amines^{24} and hydrosilanes is, besides the hydrosilylation of C–X bonds, another major application of catalysis with B(C_6F_5)_3. These oxidations are generally high-yielding, releasing dihydrogen as the sole byproduct.

The methodology was introduced by Piers and co-workers, and their initial report was focused on the dehydrogenative silylation of primary, secondary, tertiary and phenolic hydroxy groups to afford the corresponding silyl ethers (13/12 → 7/8, Scheme 40).^{4} Interestingly, secondary and tertiary react faster than primary alcohols (1 h for 12c → 8e versus 24 h for 13i → 7d) but shorter reaction times are possible with higher catalyst loadings or at elevated temperatures. The functional-group tolerance of the method is excellent. Shortly thereafter, Gevorgyan, Yamamoto and co-workers reported similar observations in connection with their protocol for the deoxygenation of alcohols with Et_3SiH/B(C_6F_5)_3 (cf. Scheme 21).^{54} Primary alcohols were defunctionalised after the Si–O coupling whereas the reaction stopped at this stage with the bulkier secondary and tertiary alcohols as well as phenols, even in the presence of excess of hydrosilane. Kawakami and co-workers applied Sommer's essentially enantiopure silicon-stereogenic hydrosilane 2n (>99% ee) to this dehydrogenative Si–O coupling and observed inversion of the stereochemistry at the silicon atom in (R)-7f with hardly any erosion of the enantiomeric excess (95% ee).^{14} The stereoechemical course at the silicon atom is in agreement with the general mechanistic picture (cf. V, Scheme 1). The hydroxy group attacks the silicon atom in the hydrosilane–borane adduct from the backside.

Dussault and co-workers merged the B(C_6F_5)_3-catalysed dehydrogenative Si–O coupling with the alkene hydrosilylation (cf. Scheme 14) promoted by the same catalyst into a one-pot procedure (Scheme 41).^{81} This domino process allows for the synthesis of cyclic siloxanes in regio- and stereoselective fashion. For example, chemoselective alcohol silylation of 12f with Ph_3SiH (2i) generated alkoxy silane 8h that engaged in a rapid intramolecular hydrosilylation of the tethered alkene to yield siloxane 29i.

The potential of this methodology was further tested in the dehydrogenative coupling of aliphatic and aromatic thiols (79/80 → 15/81, Scheme 42).^{35,80}a It was Rosenberg and co-workers to elaborate the sulfur chemistry based on Piers’ seminal work. These authors demonstrated that tertiary hydrosilane 2d as well as symmetrically substituted dihydrosilane 2e and polyphenylsilane 2f are applicable to the Si–S coupling; the Si–Si bonds of the latter reactants were stable in the presence of B(C_6F_5)_3 (cf. Rosenberg’s reduction of thioketones, Scheme 6). Reactions were generally fast, giving near-quantitative yields within minutes at room temperature. The clean coupling of HMe_2SiSiMe_2H (2e) and...
1,2-benzenedithiol is particularly noteworthy as no acyclic oligomeric byproducts were formed (80–81).

The related dehydrogenative Si–N coupling had long been neglected. It was introduced by Oestreich and co-workers as part of their mechanistic investigation on the B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$-catalysed imine hydrosilylation (X → VII, Scheme 3)\(^{23}\) but the test reaction of Me$_2$PhSiH (2d) and 1-phenylethylamine was rather slow (21c → 19c, Scheme 43). Shortly thereafter, Paradies and co-workers turned this into a useful methodology (21/49/62/82/83 → 19/87/84/85/86, Scheme 43).\(^{24a,b}\) Anilines 62 (including carbazole 82) in any form were amenable to this B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ catalysis at elevated temperature. However, pyrroles were not participating and indoles were cleanly converted into the N-silylated indolines (cf. Scheme 29 for indole-to-indoline reduction). It is worth mentioning that these authors propose that anilines and carbazoles react by the same mechanism established for the Si–O coupling whereas indoles undergo silylation of the nitrogen atom followed by a rearrangement/reduction sequence to yield indolines.

8. Intramolecular sila-Friedel–Crafts-type reactions

Curless and Ingleson developed a method to perform intramolecular sila-Friedel–Crafts-type reactions catalytic in B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ (Scheme 44).\(^{83}\) Conventional activation of the Si–H bond in biphenyl-substituted hydrosilane 2o with B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$ followed by intramolecular attack of the ortho arenne at the silicon atom results in a silicon-stabilised Wheland intermediate that rearomatises by deprotonation with 2,6-dichloropyridine to furnish silole 88. The borohydride and the protonated pyridine base liberate dihydrogen as byproduct (Scheme 44, upper). A one-pot hydrosilylation/dehydrosilylation sequence starting from alkyne 89 via vinylsilane 2p to access silaindene 90 was also reported (Scheme 44, lower). The initiation of this sequence constitutes the first example of a B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$-catalysed hydrosilylation of an alkyne.\(^{46c}\) Moderate trans-selectivity in the hydrosilylation event (resulting in the Z-configured vinylsilane) was observed.\(^{84}\)

9. Short summary

It is evident from the enormous progress in recent years that catalysis with electron-deficient boranes is “alive and well”. Different from previous decades, the potential of these Lewis acids to contribute substantially to transition-metal-free
catalysis matches the current zeitgeist of sustainable chemistry. B(C_6F_5)_3-catalysed hydroisilylation and even more so hydrogenation are fundamental accomplishments. Of course, there are still problems, e.g., the limited functional group tolerance due to the strong Lewis acidity of the catalysts. Newly designed boranes where their Lewis acidity is finely balanced with their ability to mediate the bond activation will be required to address this issue. The same applies to the development of chiral catalysts for enantioselective variants. Again, the synthesis of such boron Lewis acids will be challenging but the work of Liu and Du nicely demonstrates that relatively simple solutions are indeed available.\textsuperscript{30} We strongly believe that B(C_6F_5)_3 as well as FLP chemistry will continue to grow and that there is much more to be discovered.
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