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Abstract
The initial microhydration structures of the protonated pharmaceutical building block oxazole (Ox), H⁺Ox-Wₙ, are determined by infrared photodissociation (IRPD) spectroscopy combined with quantum chemical dispersion-corrected density functional theory calculations (B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ). Protonation of Ox, achieved by chemical ionization in an H₂-containing plasma, occurs at the most basic N atom. The analysis of systematic shifts of the NH and OH stretch vibrations as a function of the cluster size provides a clear picture for the preferred cluster growth in H⁺Ox-Wₙ. For n=1-3, the IRPD spectra are dominated by a single isomer, and microhydration of H⁺Ox with hydrophilic protic W ligands occurs by attachment of a hydrogen-bonded (H-bonded) Wₙ solvent cluster to the acidic NH group via NH…O H-bond. Such H-bonded networks are stabilized by strong cooperativity effects. This is in contrast to previously studied hydrophobic ligands, which prefer internal ion solvation. The strength of the NH…O ionic H-bond increases with the degree of hydration because of the increasing proton affinity (PA) of the Wₙ cluster. At n=4, proton-transferred structures of the type Ox-H⁺Wₙ become energetically competitive with H⁺Ox-Wₙ structures, because differences in solvation energies can compensate for the differences in the PAs, and barrierless proton transfer from H⁺Ox to the Wₙ solvent subcluster becomes feasible. Indeed, the IRPD spectrum of the n=4 cluster is more complex suggesting the presence of more than one isomer, although it lacks unequivocal evidence for the predicted intracluster proton transfer.

1. Introduction

Hydration of biomolecules is a fundamental chemical process that regulates their stability, flexibility, and function. As a result, solvating water layers are nowadays considered as an integral part of such bioactive macromolecules.\(^1\)–\(^10\) The absence of water often leads to inactivity of proteins and nucleic acids. In general, biological macromolecules bind to the solvating surface water ligands (often called “biological” water) through extended hydrogen bonded (H-bonded) networks, which are pivotal to the recognition of various drugs and proteins.\(^11\)–\(^16\) Experimentally, a number of prudent techniques, including X-ray diffraction, nuclear magnetic resonance, and neutron scattering, have been employed in the condensed phase to understand the impact of hydration on the inherent physical and chemical properties of the bioactive species.\(^10\) However, most of these strategies suffer from macroscopic solvent effects, interactions with other molecules and substrates, and thermal and heterogeneous broadening, which prevent the determination of intrinsic fundamental biochemical characteristics of such molecules and the structure and dynamics of individual water molecules in the first hydration layer.\(^10\),\(^17\) These problems can be circumvented by interrogating individual molecules and clusters in the gas phase.\(^10\),\(^17\)–\(^20\) The spectroscopic study of mass-selected clusters in combination with high-level quantum chemical calculations provides detailed information about the intrinsic molecular properties of the solute molecules and their changes upon sequential addition of individual solvent molecules.

Herein, we address the microhydration of protonated oxazole (H\(^+\)Ox), which is an important biomolecular building block of numerous pharmaceutical and natural products, exhibiting antibiotic, antitumor, antituberculosis, ant-inflammatory, and HIV-inhibitory properties.\(^27\)–\(^36\) Amino acids with an Ox nucleus are extensively used to modify the bioactivity of various macromolecules.\(^27\),\(^28\),\(^37\)–\(^43\) It is well established that the structure and reactivity of such molecules are often regulated by solvation, substitution, and protonation of the fundamental building blocks like Ox. For example, protonation of the Ox ring of the acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase (ACCase) inhibitor, Metamifop, influences its binding efficiency with that particular enzyme.\(^44\)

The structure and vibrations of neutral Ox in its planar ground electronic state have been explored by microwave, infrared (IR), and Raman spectroscopy, as well as quantum chemical calculations.\(^45\)–\(^53\) No experimental information is available for any cluster of Ox. Low-level calculations predict for the neutral Ox-water (Ox-W) dimer hydration at the N center of Ox via a OH...N H-bond, because the two lone pairs of the O atom of Ox participate in π conjugation of the aromatic ring and thus are less efficient H-bond acceptors.\(^49\) The Ox\(^+\) radical cation has been characterized by photoelectron spectroscopy,\(^54\),\(^55\) and an accurate adiabatic ionization energy and several vibrational frequencies of the planar cation ground state are available from a high-resolution mass-analyzed threshold ionization spectrum. Photoelectron imaging of the oxazolide anion demonstrates selective deprotonation from the C2 position of the heterocyclic ring.\(^56\)

Information about H\(^+\)Ox and its clusters is scarce. Computations suggest exclusive N-protonation of the aromatic ring,\(^57\),\(^58\) and the the recommended value for the proton affinity is tabulated as PA=876.4 kJ/mol.\(^59\) Recently, we reported IR spectra of H\(^+\)Ox-L\(_n\) clusters with L=Ar (n\(\leq\)2) and N\(_2\) (n\(\leq\)4) obtained by IR photodissociation (IRPD) of mass-selected clusters generated in a molecular plasma expansion.\(^60\) Analysis of these spectra by dispersion-corrected density functional theory calculations at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-
pVTZ level provided the first spectroscopic proof that protonation of Ox indeed occurs exclusively at the N atom. In addition, the results yielded a first impression of the solvation process of $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$ with aprotic hydrophobic ligands, which occurs by the formation of linear and bifurcated NH...L and NH...L$_2$ bonds followed by further interior ion solvation.$^{60}$ Herein, we employ the same combined spectroscopic and computational approach to extend these studies on $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$-$L_n$ clusters to dipolar ligands, namely L=W=H$_2$O and $n$$\leq$4, to characterize the differences of solvation of $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$ in a protic hydrophilic environment, which is relevant for understanding hydration effects of the protonated Ox building block in pharmaceutical applications.

2. Experimental Section

IRPD spectra of mass-selected $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$-$W_{n\leq4}$ and tagged $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$-$W_{n\leq2}$-$\text{N}_2$ clusters are recorded in the XH stretch range (X=C, N, O) in a tandem quadrupole mass spectrometer coupled to an electron ionization (EI) source and an octupole ion guide.$^{61,62}$ Briefly, $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$-$W_n$($-\text{N}_2$) clusters are produced in an ion source, which combines a pulsed supersonic expansion with electron and chemical ionization close to nozzle orifice. The expanding gas mixture is generated by seeding Ox (C$_3$H$_7$NO, Sigma-Aldrich, 98%, heated to 328 K) and H$_2$O (at room temperature) in carrier gas composed of N$_2$ and 5% H$_2$ in He in a 2:1 ratio at a backing pressure of 10 bar. Ox is protonated by exothermic proton transfer from H$_3^+$ and/or H$^+$(H$_2$O)$_n$ clusters produced by chemical ionization, and clusters of $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$ are then produced in the high-pressure regime of the supersonic expansion by three-body collisions. The desired parent clusters are mass-selected in the first quadrupole and irradiated in an adjacent octupole ion guide with a tunable IR laser pulse ($\nu_{\text{IR}}$, 10 Hz, 2-5 mJ, bandwidth $\sim$1 cm$^{-1}$) emitted from an optical parametric oscillator pumped by a Q-switched nanosecond Nd:YAG laser. Calibration of $\nu_{\text{IR}}$ to better than 1 cm$^{-1}$ is achieved by a wavemeter. Resonant vibrational excitation followed by intracluster vibrational energy redistribution leads to the evaporation of the most weakly bound neutral ligand, according to:

$$\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$-$W_n$ + $\nu_{\text{IR}}$ $\rightarrow$ $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$-$W_{n+1}$ + W \quad (1)
$$

$$\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$-$W_n$-$\text{N}_2$ + $\nu_{\text{IR}}$ $\rightarrow$ $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$-$W_n$ + $\text{N}_2$ \quad (2)

Subsequently, the fragment ions are mass-selected by the second quadrupole and monitored with a Daly ion detector as a function of $\nu_{\text{IR}}$ to derive the IRPD spectrum of $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$-$W_n$($-\text{N}_2$). All IRPD spectra are linearly normalized for variations in the IR laser intensity measured with a pyroelectric detector. The contribution of metastable decay is subtracted from laser-induced dissociation by triggering the ion source at twice the laser repetition rate. The observed widths of the vibrational bands mainly arise from unresolved rotational structure, sequence hot bands involving inter- and intramolecular modes, and contributions from various structural isomers. Tagging with N$_2$ produces colder clusters because their maximum internal energy is given by the binding energy of the weakest bonded ligand. As a consequence of the lower effective temperature, the spectra of the N$_2$-tagged clusters display higher spectral resolution.

3. Computational Details

Possible $\text{H}^+\text{Ox}$-$W_n$($-\text{N}_2$) isomers are optimized at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory to analyze the IRPD spectra.$^{63}$ This dispersion-corrected density functional reliably describes the electrostatic, induction, and dispersion forces of the investigated clusters.$^{64-69}$ Neutral Ox and Ox-W are also computed to derive the
impact of protonation on hydration on structure and intermolecular bonding. For energy optimization, the tight convergence criterion with ultrafine integration grid is used. In particular, the binding energy computed for the $W_2$ dimer ($D_0=1103$ cm$^{-1}$) is in excellent agreement with the measured value ($D_0=1105\pm 10$ cm$^{-1}$), indicating that the H-bond interactions in the hydration networks are modeled accurately by this computational approach. Fully relaxed potential energy surface calculations are performed during the search for stationary points, and their nature as minima or transition states is verified by harmonic frequency analysis. Harmonic intramolecular vibrational frequencies are subjected to a linear scaling factor of 0.9636, derived from a comparison of computed CH and OH stretch frequencies of neutral Ox and W, respectively, to their measured values.\cite{46,71} For convenient comparison to the experiment, harmonic linear IR absorption stick spectra are convoluted with a Gaussian line shape (FWHM=10 cm$^{-1}$). All relative energies ($E_\lambda$) and equilibrium dissociation energies ($D_\lambda$) are corrected for harmonic zero-point vibrational energy to derive respective $E_0$ and $D_0$ values. Gibbs free energies are evaluated at 298 K ($G_\circ$). The total intermolecular dissociation energies are determined with respect to the molecular H$^+$Ox and W monomer fragments (also for the proton-transferred isomers of Ox-H$^+$W$_n$). The $D_0$ values reported for the tagged clusters correspond to binding energies of the N$_2$ ligand. Previous experience with the employed computational level demonstrates that basis set superposition errors are smaller than 1\%,\cite{67,68} and thus they are not considered further here. The atomic charge distribution and second-order perturbation energies ($E^{(2)}(\rho)$) of the donor-acceptor orbital interaction involved in the H-bonds are evaluated using the natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis.\cite{72,73} Further characterization of the H-bonds is achieved in noncovalent interaction (NCI) calculations by computing the reduced gradient of the electron density $\rho$, $s(\rho)=|\text{grad}(\rho)|/\rho^{4/3}$, as a function of $\rho$ oriented by the sign of second eigenvalue $\lambda_2$ of the Hessian, $\rho^s=\rho|\text{sign}(\lambda_2)|$.\cite{74,75} The relative strengths of various H-bonds can be estimated by comparing their respective $\rho^s$ values.

4. Results & Discussion

All IRPD spectra of H$^+$Ox-W$_n$(N$_2$) recorded between 2650 and 3820 cm$^{-1}$ are compared in Figure 1. The positions and suggested vibrational and isomer assignments are listed in Table 1. The investigated spectral range covers the OH, NH, and CH stretch fundamentals ($\nu_{\text{OH/NN/CH}}$), which are sensitive to both the protonation site and the H-bonded hydration network. Significantly, the appearance of the H$^+$Ox-W$_n$ spectra changes drastically as a function of cluster size, thus providing detailed information about the evolution of the cluster growth. In addition, the IRPD spectra of the colder N$_2$-tagged clusters display much narrower bands, which further facilitates the identification of the isomers observed for microhydrated H$^+$Ox. The bands A-C occur in the range of the free OH stretch modes of the W ligands (3600-3750 cm$^{-1}$). Transitions D occur only for the $n\geq 2$ cluster and vary strongly with the cluster size (3000-3400 cm$^{-1}$). Both their frequencies and band shapes suggest an assignment to bound OH stretch modes of the W ligands. Transition E at 3177±3 cm$^{-1}$ is insensitive to $n$ and has been assigned to CH stretch modes in the IRPD spectra of H$^+$Ox-L$_n$ with L=Ar and N$_2$, where this band occurs at a very similar position (3168-3179 cm$^{-1}$).\cite{60} The intense transitions F occur below 3000 cm$^{-1}$, as expected for bound NH stretch modes of cationic NH...O H-bonds. In the following, we discuss the evolution of the observed IRPD spectra as a function of cluster size with the aid of the DFT calculations and derive more precise vibrational and isomer assignments.

4.1 Ox, H$^+$Ox, and W
The geometric and vibrational properties of neutral Ox and H\textsuperscript{+}Ox calculated at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level are discussed in detail in our previous report\textsuperscript{60}. The structure and vibrations computed for Ox agree satisfactorily with available experimental data\textsuperscript{46,52}. The same is true for the corresponding predicted and measured properties of bare W (\(r_{\text{Ox}}=0.9617 \text{ Å}, \nu_{13}=3656/3755 \text{ vs. } 3657/3756 \text{ cm}^{-1}\))\textsuperscript{71,76}. Protonation of Ox at the N atom is energetically strongly favored over protonation at the O and C atoms of the heterocyclic ring (by more than 120 kJ/mol), and indeed our previous analysis of the IRPD spectra of H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-L\textsubscript{r} with L=Ar and N\textsubscript{2} confirms exclusive N-protonation under the present experimental conditions. In addition, the experimental proton affinity recommended for Ox (PA=876.4 kJ/mol)\textsuperscript{59} is in excellent agreement with our value computed for the N-protomer (876.7 kJ/mol).\textsuperscript{60} Hence, we only consider this protomer further in the present study on H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W\textsubscript{\textit{r}}. From the IRPD spectra of the \(\pi\)-bound H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-Ar/N\textsubscript{2} dimers, the free NH stretch frequency of bare H\textsuperscript{-}Ox is estimated as \(\nu_{\text{NH}}=3444\pm3 \text{ cm}^{-1}\),\textsuperscript{60} in excellent agreement with the predicted value (3446 cm\textsuperscript{-1}). In conclusion, the employed theoretical level describes the relevant properties of the considered monomers to high accuracy.

\subsection*{4.2 H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W and H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W-N\textsubscript{2}}

In analogy to the H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-Ar/N\textsubscript{2} dimers,\textsuperscript{60} we consider particularly the H-bound and \(\pi\)-bound H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W structures (Figure 2). Because the intermolecular attraction in H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W is dominated by electrostatic charge-dipole forces, all minima on the potential energy surface have the typical cation-dipole configuration with the O atom of W pointing toward the positive charge of H\textsuperscript{-}Ox. The global H-bonded H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W(H) minimum, with a nearly linear NH\ldotsO ionic H-bond (\(R_{\text{NH}...O}=1.659 \text{ Å}\)) and the plane of W perpendicular to the plane of H\textsuperscript{-}Ox, is nearly twice more stable than H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W(\(\pi\)), \(D_0=6094 \text{ vs. } 3334 \text{ cm}^{-1}\). This ionic H-bond is substantially stronger than the OH\ldotsN H-bond of the neutral Ox-W dimer (\(D_0=1697 \text{ cm}^{-1}, R_{\text{OH}...N}=1.951 \text{ Å}\)), in which W is H-bonded as proton donor to the in-plane lone pair of N in Ox (Figure S1 in the supporting information).\textsuperscript{49,60} The strong H-bond in H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W(H) significantly perturbs the NH group, resulting in a massive red shift and pronounced enhancement in the IR oscillator strength of the bound NH stretch mode (\(\nu_{\text{NH}}^b\)) when compared to bare H\textsuperscript{-}Ox (\(\Delta\nu_{\text{NH}}=32.7 \text{ mÅ}, \Delta\nu_{\text{NH}}^b=-546 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \Delta\nu_{\text{NH}}=-1638 \text{ km/mol}\)). The substantial PA of W (691 kJ/mol) facilitates H-bonding through a significant charge transfer from H\textsuperscript{-}Ox to W (\(\Delta q=0.06 \text{ e, Figure S2 in the supporting information}\))\textsuperscript{59} which leads to slight red shifts and concomitant enhancement of IR intensities of the \(\nu_{1/3}\) modes compared to bare W (\(\Delta\nu_{1/3}=-16/-29 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \Delta\nu_{1/3}=51/88 \text{ km/mol}\)). The barrier for internal rotation of W of \(\nu_{c}=281 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) in H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W(H) at the planar transition state is substantial (Figure S3 in the supporting information). As expected, the \(\pi\)-bound W ligand in H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W(\(\pi\)) has no significant influence on the NH group, and the associated parameters are comparable to those of bare H\textsuperscript{-}Ox (\(\Delta\nu_{\text{NH}}=-1.8 \text{ mÅ}, \Delta\nu_{\text{NH}}=19 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \Delta\nu_{\text{NH}}=-19 \text{ km/mol}\)). Similar to H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W(\(\pi\)), the H\textsuperscript{-}Ox-W(C5) structure with a CH\ldotsO ionic H-bond is a high-energy local minimum (\(D_0=3239 \text{ cm}^{-1}\)), because the CH bonds are much less acidic than the NH group (Figure S4 in the supporting information). Attempts to optimize other structures with a CH\ldotsO H-bond failed (C2 and C4), probably because of very low barriers for W migration toward the nearby acidic NH group. Again, for the CH-bonded isomer, the perturbation of the NH group is minor.
To elucidate the effects of N₂ tagging on the H⁺Ox-W dimer, we merely consider the by far most stable H⁺Ox-W(H) isomer (Figure 2), because it is the only one observed experimentally (vide infra). The N₂ ligand is either H-bonded to W (D_t=937 cm⁻¹) or forms a π-bond with a smaller binding affinity (D_t=766 cm⁻¹). Although binding of N₂ to the CH groups is comparable in strength to π-bonding, this binding motif is not observed experimentally in the IRPD spectra of H⁺Ox-(N₂)₆.⁶⁰ The cooperativity arising from the additional H-bonded N₂ ligand in H⁺Ox-W(H)-N₂(H) increases the N-H bond length, leading to further lowering of ν_NH² compared to H⁺Ox-W(H), with Δν_NH=6.1 mA and ν_NH²=2785 vs. 2883 cm⁻¹. In addition, the OH...N₂ H-bond elongates the O-H donor bond with a concomitant red shift of the coupled ν₁/3 modes (Δν₁/3=-66/-22 cm⁻¹). On the contrary, the π-bound N₂ ligand in H⁺Ox-W(H)-N₂(H) hardly affects the NH and OH groups. The slight increase in ν_NH² (+26 cm⁻¹) is rationalized by noncooperative effects of interior ion solvation resulting from N₂ attachment at the π-site, which however barely influences the free ν₁/3 modes (+1/+1 cm⁻¹).

The IRPD spectra of H⁺Ox-W and H⁺Ox-W-N₂ are compared in Figure 3 to the relevant computed spectra. The IRPD spectrum of H⁺Ox-W exhibits an intense broad feature between 2650 and 3220 cm⁻¹ (F1-F3, E) along with two relatively weak and narrower transitions at 3720 (A) and 3625 (B) cm⁻¹. Significantly, this spectrum lacks any signal of a ν_NH¹ mode of H⁺Ox near 3450 cm⁻¹. This observation implies that the NH group in H⁺Ox-W is engaged in H-bonding to W, which immediately excludes any π-bonded or CH-bonded isomers (Figure 3, Figure S4 in the supporting information), consistent with the thermochemical predictions. The two bands B and A are then readily assigned to the free ν₁/3 modes of the H⁺Ox-W(H) global minimum computed as 3642/3728 cm⁻¹. The experimental red shifts of Δν₁/3=-31/-36 cm⁻¹ are typical for cation-W bonding and consistent with the predictions (-16/-29 cm⁻¹). Clearly, the dissociation energy computed for this isomer (D_e=6094 cm⁻¹) is substantially larger than the absorbed photon energy (ν_R), indicating that only internally hot clusters with E_m>3500 cm⁻¹ are detected under the employed single-photon absorption conditions. This view is consistent with the large widths of the observed bands. The broad feature comprising bands F1-F3 and other unresolved peaks in the 2700-3200 cm⁻¹ range are attributed to the ν_NH² mode (predicted at 2883 cm⁻¹), which interacts with other combination bands and overtones (e.g., the overtone of the NH bend (2ν_NH) predicted at 2932 cm⁻¹) via strong anharmonic coupling. Such a spectral feature is very typical for strong cationic NH...O H-bonds with W as proton acceptor, and has been observed for a variety of monohydrated aromatic clusters.⁶⁹,⁷⁷,⁷⁸ The transition with highest intensity (F3) probably carries the largest NH stretch character. Transition E at 3179 cm⁻¹ is mostly assigned to two close-lying aromatic ν(CH) modes, which are detected at ~3175 cm⁻¹ for H⁺Ox-Ar/N₂.⁶⁰ The relatively weak band X around 3226 cm⁻¹ is tentatively assigned to the OH bending overtone of W predicted near 3226 cm⁻¹ from the harmonic analysis (using 0.98 as scaling factor).

The assignment of the H⁺Ox-W spectrum to the hot population of the single H⁺Ox-W(H) isomer is supported by the IRPD spectrum of cold H⁺Ox-W-N₂ clusters in Figure 3. Tagging with N₂ drastically reduces the maximum internal energy to below 1000 cm⁻¹ and facilitates single-photon IRPD from the ground vibrational state of the clusters. As a result, the widths of the transitions are narrower and the appearance of the spectrum in the ν_NH² range is cleaner. The OH stretch bands B and A of the tagged cluster at 3610 and 3711 cm⁻¹ are red shifted from those of the bare dimer (Δν₁/3=-15/-9 cm⁻¹), suggesting that the N₂ ligand forms a H-bond to the W ligand, H⁺Ox-W(H)-N₂(H), so that we can exclude isomers with CH-bonded or π-
bonded N₂ ligands. The harmonic calculations overestimate these shifts (Δν₁/₃=66/-22 cm⁻¹), partly because of the high temperature of the untagged monohydrate. The width of the intense H-bonded transition F is remarkably reduced upon cooling with the N₂ tag, and the extracted ν₉_H fundamental of 2970 cm⁻¹ is to be compared to the computed value of 2785 cm⁻¹. This discrepancy may be attributed to the highly anharmonic nature of this H-bonded oscillator. Furthermore, the measured intensity ratio of the ν₀ band (E at 3178 cm⁻¹) relative to the ν₉_H band in the tagged spectrum agrees well with the predictions, confirming that anharmonic couplings and effects of hot bands are drastically reduced for the cold N₂-tagged ions. This spectral behavior upon tagging is again typical for strong cationic NH...O H-bonds of aromatic cations with W.⁶⁸,⁷⁷,⁷⁸

4.3 H⁺Oₓ-W₂ and H⁺Oₓ-W₂-N₂

In the most stable H⁺Oₓ-W₂(H) structure, a H-bonded W₂ dimer forms an NH...O H-bond to H⁺Oₓ with a total interaction energy of D₀=10278 cm⁻¹ (Figure 4). The formation of such a H-bonded network is strongly cooperative in nature. For example, D₀ of H⁺Oₓ-W₂ is 43% larger than the sum of the binding energies of W₂ and H⁺Oₓ-W (D₀=1103+6094=7197 cm⁻¹). Similarly, the intermolecular NH...O and OH...O H-bonds of the two units contract drastically (R₀-H=1.555 vs. 1.659 Å, R₀-O-H=1.712 vs. 1.947 Å). This large cooperatively can mostly be traced back to the substantially increased PA of W₂ as compared to W (PA=808 vs. 691 kJ/mol)⁶⁸,⁷⁷ and the strong polarization effects induced by the positive charge of the excess proton. As a result, the N-H bond gets further elongated upon attachment of the second W (Δν₁=21 mÅ) and the total red shift and IR oscillator strength of the ν₉_H mode become more pronounced (Δν₉_H=892 vs. -563 cm⁻¹, h₂ₙ=2853 vs. 1840 km/mol). The increased distance of the terminal W from the positive charge results in a slight blue shift in ν₁/₃ compared to the dimer (Δν₁/₃=+9/+11 cm⁻¹). The new free OH stretching mode (ν₁) of the first W ligand is predicted between the two ν₁/₃ modes at 3712 cm⁻¹, whereas its H-bonded OH stretching mode (ν₀_H) is strongly redshifted down to 3267 cm⁻¹, consistent with its longer bond length (Δν₀_H=23.5 mÅ). This red shift is much larger than for bare W₂ (ν₀_H=3601 cm⁻¹)⁸⁰-⁸² because of the strong cooperativity effect on the W-W H-bond introduced by the presence of the H⁺Oₓ cation. Other isomers of H⁺Oₓ-W₂ with two individual W ligands attached to H⁺Oₓ are less favorable, because binding sites other than the NH group (e.g., π or CH) are less favorable and interior ion solvation is noncooperative in nature. This is illustrated for the example of the H⁺Oₓ-W₂(l) isomer shown in Figure 4, in which two W ligands are separately H-bonded to the NH and CSH groups (D₀=8955 cm⁻¹). The noncooperativity in binding energy amounts to 8955/(3239+6094)=0.96 or 4% for this isomer. Apart from this thermochemical argument, the IRPD spectrum of H⁺Oₓ-W₂ in Figure 1 shows a drastic change in the ν₉_H range compared to that of H⁺Oₓ-W, indicating that the second W ligand is strongly perturbing the NH group, which can only be for the H⁺Oₓ-W₂(H) structure with a H-bonded W₂ unit.

We consider the three main binding motifs for attachment of N₂ to H⁺Oₓ-W₂(H) shown in Figure 4, namely H-bonding to a free OH group of the W₂ unit and π-bonding to H⁺Oₓ. N₂-bonding to CH groups is energetically less favorable and indeed not observed for H⁺Oₓ-(N₂)ₚ.⁶⁰ In the most stable H⁺Oₓ-W₂(H)-N₂(H1) isomer (D₀=789 cm⁻¹), N₂ forms a H-bond to the remaining free OH group of the first W ligand, resulting in a slight elongation of the O-H bond with a concomitant decrease in ν₁ (Δν₀_H=3 mÅ, Δν₁/₃=-69 cm⁻¹). In the less stable H⁺Oₓ-W₂(H)-N₂(H2) isomer, the N₂ ligand binds to the terminal W ligand. It is thus further away from
the positive charge leading to a lower binding energy \((D_0=694 \text{ cm}^{-1})\) and longer OH…N\(_2\) bond. As a result, the red shifts of \(\nu_{1/3}\) from free W are smaller (\(\Delta\nu_{1/3}=-39/-19 \text{ cm}^{-1}\)) than for the analogous H\(^+\)Ox-W(H)-N\(_2\)(H) structure. In both isomers H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H)-N\(_2\)(H1/2), the additional H-bonded N\(_2\) ligand slightly strengthens (and contracts) the NH…O H-bond between H\(^+\)Ox and W\(_2\), because of the cooperativity of the H-bonded network and the higher PA of W\(_2\)-N\(_2\) compared to W\(_2\). This in turn is visible in a modest elongation of the N-H bond and a small red shift in \(\nu_{NH}\). In contrast, the additional \(\pi\)-bound N\(_2\) ligand in H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H)-N\(_2\)(\(\pi\)) is mostly stabilized by charge-quadrupole and charge-induced dipole interactions, resulting in a \(D_0\) value (695 cm\(^{-1}\)) similar to that of H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H)-N\(_2\)(H2). As expected, \(\pi\)-tagging has no significant effect on the IR spectrum of H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H) in the XH stretch range.

The IRPD spectra recorded for H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\) and H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)-N\(_2\) are compared in Figure 5 to the relevant computed spectra. The spectrum of bare H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\) is drastically different from that of the \(n=1\) cluster (Figure 1). For example, it no longer exhibits the broad transitions F1-F3. Instead, we observe a rising signal toward the red end of the scan (2650 cm\(^{-1}\)), which we tentatively assign to the blue tail of \(\nu_{NH}\), yielding an upper limit of the \(\nu_{NH}\) fundamental predicted at 2554 cm\(^{-1}\). This drastic spectral change in the \(\nu_{NH}\) range confirms that the second W ligand binds to the first one. The new feature C at 3705 cm\(^{-1}\) lies between the transitions B (3649 cm\(^{-1}\)) and A (3745 cm\(^{-1}\)) and agrees well with the predicted \(\nu_1\) mode (3712 cm\(^{-1}\)) of the first W ligand next to the positively charged H\(^+\)Ox. The other two transitions B and A in the free OH stretch range are readily assigned to \(\nu_{1/3}\) of the terminal W ligand predicted at 3651 and 3739 cm\(^{-1}\), which are indeed blueshifted from the corresponding transitions of H\(^+\)Ox-W, because of the larger separation of the terminal W from the center of the positive charge. The other new and rather intense feature D at 3338 cm\(^{-1}\) is safely attributed to the H-bonded OH stretch mode of the W\(_2\) unit in H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H) predicted at \(\nu_{OH}=3267 \text{ cm}^{-1}\). Again, the predicted harmonic frequency shift somewhat overestimates the measured shift of the fundamental frequency upon H-bonding, due to the strongly anharmonic nature of the proton-donor stretch mode. As predicted, the H-bonded OH stretch frequency in H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\) is much lower than in isolated W\(_2\) (3338 vs. 3601 cm\(^{-1}\)) due to the large cooperativity.\(^{80,81}\) Band E at 3179 cm\(^{-1}\) in the H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\) spectrum is unshifted from the \(n=1\) spectrum, which confirms its assignment to the aromatic \(\nu_{OH}\) modes. Closer inspection reveals some signal between bands E and D above the background (band X, 3247 cm\(^{-1}\)), which we tentatively assign to the bending overtones of the W ligands, \(2\nu_{OH}\), predicted at 3261 and 3209 cm\(^{-1}\). In conclusion, all major features of the H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\) spectrum can reliably be attributed to the most stable isomer, which features a H-bonded solvent network. This result is qualitatively different from the H\(^+\)Ox-L\(_n\) structures with L=Ar and N\(_2\), in which the aprotic ligands bind individually to the central H\(^+\)Ox cation at various binding sites (interior ion solvation).

Similar to the \(n=1\) case, the IRPD spectrum of tagged H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)-N\(_2\) in Figure 5 features bands with narrower widths due to the reduced internal energy. In general, it confirms the spectral features and assignments of the bare cluster. The most striking difference is the relative intensity of band C1 at 3707 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to \(\nu_1\) of the first W ligand, which is strongly reduced in intensity by N\(_2\) tagging compared to bands B and A but keeps its position compared to the \(n=1\) spectrum (3705 cm\(^{-1}\)). Such an effect is expected for the predominant presence of the most stable H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H)-N\(_2\)(H1) isomer, in which this free OH group is solvated by N\(_2\), which shifts this mode to the red. Following this scenario, bands D, C2, and A at 3312, 3667, and
3742 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to \(v_{\text{OH}}\), \(v_1\), and \(v_2\) modes of H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H)-N\(_2\)(H1). Its N\(_2\)-bonded \(v_1\) transition overlaps with band C2. The remaining weak band C1 must be ascribed to the \(v_1\) mode of the much less abundant local minima, H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H)-N\(_2\)(H2) and/or H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H)-N\(_2\)(\(\pi\)). Like for the spectrum of the untagged cluster, the transitions E and X in the H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)-N\(_2\) spectrum are attributed to the \(v_{\text{CH}}\) and 2\(\beta_{\text{OH}}\) vibrations. Careful analysis of the high-frequency range reveals that band C2 at 3667 cm\(^{-1}\) exhibits a shoulder at 3657 cm\(^{-1}\) (B), which is attributed to \(v_1\) of H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H)-N\(_2\)(H1/\(\pi\)).

### 4.4 H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\)

We consider the two most stable H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\) geometries that are obtained by adding a third W ligand to either the first or the second W ligand of H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\)(H). The branched H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\)(b) isomer with C\(_s\) symmetry is calculated to be slightly more stable than the chain-type H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\)(l) isomer with a linear H-bonded W\(_3\) chain \((D_0=13908\text{ vs. } 13582\text{ cm}^{-1}\)). The cooperativity is slightly stronger for the branched isomer (67.4 vs. 63.6\%) because of hydration closer to the positive charge of H\(^+\)Ox. The additional W in H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\)(b) further strengthens the NH\(_n\)...O H-bond as compared to the \(n=2\) case \((\Delta R_{\text{NH}...O}=101\text{ mÅ})\), consistent with the larger PA of W\(_3\) compared to W\(_2\) (862 vs. 808 kJ/mol).\(^{79,83}\) As a result, the N-H bond elongates from 1.066 to 1.099 Å, and the total red shift of \(v_{\text{NH}}\) increases from -892 to -1339 cm\(^{-1}\). The free \(v_{1/3}\) modes of the terminal W ligands are calculated at 3654 and 3744 cm\(^{-1}\), while the H-bonded antisymmetric and symmetric \(v_{\text{OH}}\) frequencies of the first W are strongly reduced to 3384 and 3349 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively.

The linear W\(_3\) chain in the H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\)(l) isomer has a less pronounced effect on the NH group as compared to the branched isomer, with a weaker NH...O H-bond \((R_{\text{NH}...O}=1.507\text{ vs. } 1.454\text{ Å})\) and thus a smaller N-H bond elongation and less pronounced total \(v_{\text{NH}}\) red shift \((\delta_{\text{NH}}=1.0799\text{ Å, } \Delta v_{\text{NH}}=1.092\text{ cm}^{-1}\)). Its \(v_{1/3}\) modes of the terminal W are comparable to those of the branched isomer. However, the linear W\(_3\) network features characteristics free \(v_1\) modes of the two dangling OH groups calculated at 3717 and 3715 cm\(^{-1}\). The \(v_{\text{OH}}\) mode of the first OH...O H-bond closer to the H\(^+\)Ox positive charge is much further red shifted compared to the remaining \(v_5\) oscillator of the second OH...H H-bond (3046 vs. 3374 cm\(^{-1}\)).

The IRPD spectrum of H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\) is compared in Figure 7 to the IR spectra computed for the two considered isomers. The IRPD spectrum exhibits four major bands at 3743 (A), 3654 (B), 3348 (D1), and 3178 (E) cm\(^{-1}\). Transition D1 has a blue-shaded contour, which is characteristic of a proton-donor stretch band. These four bands are readily assigned to the transitions of the most stable H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\)(b) isomer predicted at 3744 \((v_5)\), 3654 \((v_1)\), 3384 (antisymmetric \(v_{\text{OH}}\)), 3349 (symmetric \(v_{\text{OH}}\)), and 3160 (most intense \(v_{\text{CH}}\)), respectively. Additionally, the weak feature X at 3242 cm\(^{-1}\) is tentatively assigned to 2\(\beta_{\text{OH}}\) of the water ligands. The good agreement between experimental and computed IR spectra demonstrates that the branched isomer dominates the H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\) population, consistent with its higher \(D_0\) value. In addition to the major peaks, the IRPD spectrum exhibits two weaker and less resolved bands at 3707 (C) and 3050 (D2) cm\(^{-1}\), which indicate a very weak population of the less stable H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\)(l) isomer. The intensity of band C, which is assigned to the two overlapping and nearly degenerate \(v_1\) modes of H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_3\)(l) predicted at 3717 and 3715 cm\(^{-1}\), is dramatically reduced as compared to H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_2\), confirming the predominant population of the branched
The remaining weak feature D2 is attributed to the $v_{\text{OH}}^b$ mode predicted at 3046 cm$^{-1}$ with high intensity (1548 km/mol).

4.5 H$^+$Ox-W$_4$

The potential energy surface becomes substantially more complex for increasing degree of hydration. Thus, the search for low-energy minima of H$^+$Ox-W$_4$ is less complete. To this end, we consider the four low-energy H$^+$Ox-W$_4$ structures shown in Figure 6. The branched and linear H$^+$Ox-W$_4$(b/l) isomers feature a NH...O type H-bond of H$^+$Ox to the H-bonded W$_4$ network and are obtained by simply adding a fourth W ligand in the outermost shell of the two corresponding $n=3$ isomers. As for the $n=3$ case, the branched structure is more stable than the linear structure for $n=4$, because the W ligands are closer to the excess proton ($D_0=17067$ vs. 16425 cm$^{-1}$). For these structures, the cooperativity in binding energy amounts to 7764 and 7022 cm$^{-1}$ (81.5 and 74.7%). In the other two cyclic H$^+$Ox-W$_4$(c1/c2) isomers, the first three W molecules form a H-bonded solvent ring involving the NH and adjacent CH groups of H$^+$Ox (C2H or C4H) as proton donors in NH...O and CH...O type H-bonds. The remaining fourth W ligand is then H-bonded with one of the peripheral W ligands in the second hydration shell. Thus, the cyclic isomers have one more H-bond than the branched and linear isomers. As a result of the additional CH...O H-bond, the H$^+$Ox-W$_4$(c1) isomer has the highest stability ($D_0=17169$ cm$^{-1}$) of all isomers considered. H$^+$Ox-W$_4$(c2) has a somewhat lower binding energy ($D_0=16495$ cm$^{-1}$), partly because the C4H group is less acidic than the C2H group, leading to a slightly weaker and longer CH...O H-bond ($R_{\text{CH...O}}=2.077$ vs 2.062 Å). On the other hand, the strong NH...O ionic H-bond in the cyclic isomers is somewhat weaker than in the branched and linear isomers ($R_{\text{NH...O}}=1.539$ and 1.542 Å vs. 1.389 and 1.454 Å), because of the additional constraints on the H-bonded solvent network imposed by the additional CH...O H-bond. In fact, no cyclic stable structure is found for the cluster size $n=3$. Similarly, no cyclic $n=4$ structure with all four W ligands participating in the water ring is obtained. Except for H$^+$Ox-W$_4$(l), all structures feature a three-coordinated W ligand. However, its nature in terms of number of donor and acceptor H-bonds is different for different isomers (double-donor single-acceptor or single-donor double-acceptor). The $v_{\text{OH}}^b$ frequencies of the W$_4$ network within these structures span a wide range and depend sensitively on the respective solvation environment. In comparison to the free $v_{\text{CH}}$ modes of the linear and branched isomers, the IR intensity of the H-bonded $v_{\text{CH}}^b$ mode of the cyclic structures is significantly enhanced with a concomitant red shift of its frequency ($v_{\text{CH}}^b=3027$ and 3104 cm$^{-1}$ for the cyclic isomers, 3155＜$v_{\text{CH}}$＜3190 cm$^{-1}$ for the noncyclic structures).

The proton affinity of W$_n$ clusters increases with cluster size $n$ (PA=691, 808, 862, and 900 kJ/mol for $n=1$-$4$) and exceeds the value for N-protonated H$^+$Ox (PA=876 kJ/mol) for clusters larger than $n$>4 by more than 20 kJ/mol (or 1700 cm$^{-1}$). Hence, from these values alone, one may expect intracluster proton transfer from H$^+$Ox to W$_n$ in H$^+$Ox-W$_n$ clusters to occur for $n$>3, leading to the formation of Ox-H$^+$W$_n$ clusters. However, in addition to the PA values one must also consider the difference in the intermolecular solvation energies, which may partly compensate for the PA difference. In addition, the W$_n$ structures in H$^+$Ox-W$_n$ and the H$^+$W$_n$ structures in Ox-H$^+$W$_n$ differ from those of bare W$_n$ and H$^+$W$_n$, so that the effective PA values are modified accordingly. For this reason, the four H$^+$Ox-W$_4$ isomers shown in Figure 6 are actually quite stable non-proton-transferred isomers, although the PA values of bare W$_4$ and Ox alone clearly suggest exothermic intracluster proton transfer. The most stable proton-transferred Ox-H$^+$W$_4$ (1/2) structures shown in
Figure 6 have indeed binding energies (D_0=17054 and 16109 cm⁻¹) comparable to those of the H⁺Ox-W_4 isomers but do not reach the stabilization of the H⁺Ox-W_4(c1) isomer, which remains the global minimum. These two Ox-H⁺W_4(1/2) structures differ in the position of the neutral Ox ligand in the hydration shell of H⁺W_4. While in Ox-H⁺W_4(1) the Ox ligand is a member of the Eigen-type ion core with direct contact to the central H_3O⁺ ion, it binds in Ox-H⁺W_4(2) in the first solvation shell to a distorted H_3O⁺ core, thus leading to a smaller total binding energy. From the bare consideration of the computed dipole moments of Ox and W (1.54 and 1.85 D) and the resulting charge-dipole interaction, one would actually expect Ox-H⁺W_4(2) to be more stable than Ox-H⁺W_4(1). Apparently, the larger cooperativity of the H-bonded network in Ox-H⁺W_4(1) overrides this difference in electrostatic attraction and brings the Ox ring into the first solvation shell of H_3O⁺. As a result, the OH···N ionic H-bond in Ox-H⁺W_4(1) is much stronger than in Ox-H⁺W_4(2), R_OH···N=1.438 vs. 1.621 Å. Interestingly, the Ox-H⁺W_4(1) and H⁺Ox-W_4(b) isomers are structurally very similar except for the position of the proton. Both nearly isoenergetic minima (∆E_0=115 cm⁻¹) are separated by only small barriers of less than 200 cm⁻¹ for proton transfer along the N···H···O coordinate, suggesting that the zero-point energy level lies above the transition state for this slightly asymmetric double-minimum potential (Figure S5 in the supporting information), leading to a single species with a largely delocalized shared proton.

Unlike the smaller H⁺Ox-W_{n=3} clusters, the IRPD spectrum is more congested for n=4 (Figure 1 and 8). The broad feature below 3500 cm⁻¹ shows few unresolved and strongly overlapping bands, which are compatible with the simulated spectra of all considered isomers. The transitions E and X at 3180 and 3247 cm⁻¹, respectively, are consistent with the band positions of the n=3 clusters. Consequently, these two features are assigned to the ν_{OH} and 2β_{OH} vibrations, respectively. However, this spectral range also exhibits contributions from the ν_{OH}^b modes of both H⁺Ox-W_4 and Ox-H⁺W_4 type structures. The new and relatively weak feature at 3567 cm⁻¹ (Y) can be explained only by the ν_{OH}^b mode of the W ligand involved in the CH···W H-bond of the cyclic H⁺Ox-W_4(c2) isomer. Similarly, the weakly resolved transition H (3084 cm⁻¹), which is absent in the smaller n=2-3 clusters, is attributed to the H-bonded red-shifted ν_{CH}^b modes of the two considered cyclic structures. These observations may be taken as experimental evidence for the competitive stability of cyclic H⁺Ox-W_4 isomers predicted by the DFT calculations. Similar to the n=2-3 clusters, the transitions at 3651 (B), 3715 (C), and 3741 (A) cm⁻¹ are attributed to ν_1, ν_7, and ν_5 modes, which are characteristic of all calculated structures. Interestingly, the experimental intensity of band C increases compared to n=3. In general, it is difficult to exclude any of the calculated structures, and other isomers not considered in the calculations may also contribute. Despite the higher PA of W_4 in comparison to Ox, no unequivocal signature of the intracluster proton transfer is detected. However, proton-transferred structures are energetically comparable to the non-proton-transferred structures. Thus, we assume the presence of both types of geometries in our the ion source.

### 4.6 Cluster Growth

The analysis of the IRPD spectra H⁺Ox-W_n with the aid of DFT calculations provides a clear picture of the cluster growth and the initial microhydration steps of H⁺Ox. Clearly, the formation of a H-bonded W_n solvent network attached to the acidic NH group of N-protonated H⁺Ox is energetically strongly favoured over interior ion solvation because of the large cooperative effects of ionic H-bonding. The most stable computed H⁺Ox-
$W_n$ clusters $H^+Ox-W(H)$, $H^+Ox-W_2(H)$, $H^+Ox-W_3(b)$, and $H^+Ox-W_4(c1)$ for $n=1-4$ are consistent with the experimental IRPD spectra, and the population of the $n=1-3$ clusters are strongly dominated by a single isomer corresponding to the global minimum. For $n=4$, several low-energy isomers competitively occur. In particular, cyclic structures with a weak second H-bond contact (CH...O) are observed as new low-energy isomers. Because the proton affinity of $W_n$ clusters increases with cluster size (PA=691, 808, 862, 900 kJ/mol for $n=1-4$), its NH...O ionic H-bond to the acidic NH group of N-protonated $H^+Ox$ becomes stronger and shorter ($R_{NH\cdots O}=1.659>1.555>1.454>1.389$ Å for $n=1-4$; we consider here the $H^+Ox-W_4(b)$ isomer, because its H-bond network is not perturbed by the CH...O H-bond), leading to a pronounced increasing red shift in $v_{NH}^b$ ($\Delta v_{NH}^b=-563, -892, -1339, -1671$ cm$^{-1}$ for $n=1-4$, Figure 9). The red shift is so large that the $v_{NH}^b$ transition is here only observed for $n=1$, because the intensity of the employed IR-OPO laser is too low below 2600 cm$^{-1}$ to drive IRPD of the strongly bonded W ligands. The total binding energy increases as $D_n=6094 < 10278 < 13908 < 17067$ cm$^{-1}$ for $n=1-4$, yielding incremental hydration energies of 6094, 4184, 3630, and 3159 cm$^{-1}$, which are all substantially larger than the dissociation energy of the $W_2$ dimer (1108 cm$^{-1}$), illustrating the large polarization effect of the excess $H^+$ on the $W_n$ network. The increasing strength of the ionic NH...O bond as a function of hydration is accompanied by increasing charge transfer to the solvent cluster ($\Delta q=0.060, 0.091, 0.129$, and 0.161 e for $n=1-4$, Figure S2 in the supporting information). This trend is in line with the $E^{(2)}$ energies and $\rho^*$ values of the NH...O H-bond derived from NBO and NCI calculations ($E^{(2)}=106.1, 161.5, 241.7, 318.5$ kJ/mol; $\rho^*=0.0498, 0.0656, 0.0862, 0.1033$ a.u. for $n=1-4$, Figures S6 and S7 in the supporting information). For clusters with $n=4$, proton transfer for $H^+Ox$ to the $W_n$ cluster becomes energetically competitive according to the DFT calculations and the comparison of proton affinity values (Figure S8 in the supporting information). Experimentally, the IRPD spectrum of $n=4$ is not of sufficient resolution to decide this aspect. Clearly, although solvation energy is in favour of protonation of Ox, the most stable clusters with larger $n$ will ultimately be of the type Ox-H$^+W_n$ with a neutral Ox ligand being located on the surface, because the excess proton in H$^+W$ can be stabilized more efficiently.

Tagging of the H$^+Ox-W_{1-2}$ clusters with $N_2$ significantly reduces their internal energy, resulting in narrower transitions in IRPD spectra with higher resolution. The tagged water complexes prefer $N_2$ attachment through H-bond formation adjacent to the positive charge.

4.7 Comparison to Neutral Ox-W

To evaluate the effects of protonation of Ox on the microhydration structure, it is instructive to compare the properties of H$^+Ox-W_n$ with those of the neutral Ox-W$_n$ clusters. Unfortunately, no experimental information is available for any Ox-L$_n$ cluster and computational studies are limited to the Ox-W dimer. In the global minimum of Ox-W, W binds to the in-plane lone pair of the basic N atom of Ox via a linear OH...N H-bond ($D_0=1697$ cm$^{-1}$, $R_{OH...N}=1.951$ Å, Figure S1 in the supporting information), which is indeed much weaker than the ionic NH...O H-bond in the N-protonated H$^+Ox-W(H)$ dimer ($D_0=6094$ cm$^{-1}$, $R_{NH...O}=1.659$ Å), indicating the drastic change of protonation on the interaction potential with respect to both the global minimum structure (orientation of the W ligand) and the interaction strength. Stable structures with other possible binding sites of W to neutral Ox (e.g., π and O) do not converge at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level employed herein. In particular, the local minimum obtained in Ref. 48 at the MP2 and B3LYP levels using the
smaller 6-31+G* basis set (with a C5H...O and OH...O H-bond) can not be reproduced herein at the higher computational level with the much larger basis set and proper inclusion of dispersion. Interestingly, the lower-level calculations predict for the Ox-W global minimum a much longer H-bond ($R_{O\text{H},\text{N}}=2.010 \text{ Å}$), along with a much higher binding energy ($D_\text{b} \approx 2550 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) than our more reliable B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ approach.

4.8 Comparison to $H^+\text{Ox}-L_n$ Clusters with Aprotic Ligands

The microhydration process of $H^+\text{Ox}$ with protic, hydrophilic, and dipolar W molecules differs qualitatively from solvation with aprotic, hydrophobic, and nonpolar (L=Ar) or quadrupolar (L=N$_2$) ligands characterized previously by the same experimental and computational approach. For the latter solvents, the L...L interaction is much weaker than the $H^+\text{Ox}...L$ interaction ($<100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and no strong H-bonded network can be formed. As a result, $H^+\text{Ox}-(\text{Ar}/\text{N}_2)_n$ clusters prefer interior ion solvation, in which individual ligands are attached to the $H^+\text{Ox}$ cation core, first by H-bonding to the NH group, and subsequently by attachment to the less stable π and CH binding sites. In contrast, $H^+\text{Ox}-W_n$ clusters prefer the formation of the H-bonded solvent network, because of the strong cooperativity of H-bonding discussed above. Common to all $H^+\text{Ox}-L$ dimers is that the global minimum on the potential is the H-bonded $H^+\text{Ox}-L(H)$ structure with a linear NH...L ionic H-bond of the neutral ligand to the highly acidic proton of the NH group of $H^+\text{Ox}$. Again, the interaction strength is strongly correlated with the PA of L (PA=369<494<691 kJ/mol for Ar, N$_2$, and W),

$D_\text{b}=891<1597<6094 \text{ cm}^{-1}$,

as illustrated in Figure 9. As a result, the NH...L ionic H-bond to the acidic NH group of N-protonated $H^+\text{Ox}$ becomes stronger and shorter ($R_{\text{NH}\cdots\text{L}}=2.420>2.031>1.659 \text{ Å}$), leading to an increasing predicted red shift in $\nu_{\text{NH}}$ ($\Delta \nu_{\text{NH}}=-70$, -157, -546 cm$^{-1}$), consistent with the observed values (-52, -126, -611 (considering F3) cm$^{-1}$). This trend in H-bond strength is also visible in the charge transfer from $H^+\text{Ox}$ to L ($\Delta q=0.017, 0.028, 0.060$ e), the $E^{(2)}$ energies (13.7, 42.2, 106.1 kJ/mol) and the $\rho^\ast$ values (-0.013, -0.022, -0.0498 a.u.).

5. Conclusions

In this work, we characterized microhydrated clusters of $H^+\text{Ox}$ by IR photodissociation spectroscopy of size-selected $H^+\text{Ox}-W_n$ clusters and dispersion-corrected DFT calculations to determine for the first time the protonation site and the most stable structure of the solvation network of this prototypical protonated azole ring. Tagging of the hydrated cluster up to $n=2$ with N$_2$ results in internally colder clusters and thus spectra with higher resolution. Systematic shifts in the OH, NH, and CH stretch frequencies as a function of the cluster size provide a consistent picture of the sequential cluster growth. The salient results may be summarized as follows. Protonation of Ox in the H$_2$-containing plasma occurs at the most basic N atom of the heterocyclic ring. The spectra of the $n=1$-3 clusters are dominated by the most stable structure predicted by the DFT calculations. Microhydration of $H^+\text{Ox}$ occurs by solvating the acidic NH proton of $H^+\text{Ox}$ with a H-bonded $W_n$ cluster via a strong ionic NH...O H-bond. The formation of such H-bonded networks is strongly cooperative and the strength of the NH...O bond increases with $n$, because the proton affinity of $W_n$ increases with size. At the cluster size $n=4$, structures with nearly barrierless proton transfer to the solvent, Ox-$H^+W_4$, are predicted to become energetically competitive with structures of the type $H^+\text{Ox}-W_4$, because the larger solvation energy can compensate for the difference in proton affinity of Ox and $W_4$ (~25 kJ/mol).
Indeed, in contrast to the $n=1$-$3$ spectra, the $n=4$ spectrum is significantly more congested indicating the presence of more than one isomer, including the likely formation of proton-transferred structures. We expect that in the most stable clusters with $n \geq 5$ a neutral Ox ring binds at the surface of a H$^+$W$_n$ cluster, and this intracluster proton transfer is an important process toward understanding the physiological activity of Ox-bearing biomolecules in microhydrated environments. Comparison of H$^+$Ox-W$_n$ with the previously studied H$^+$Ox-L$_n$ clusters with L=Ar and N$_2$ reveals important differences in the solvation of H$^+$Ox with hydrophilic and hydrophobic ligands. While the dipolar protic W ligands form a H-bonded solvent network attached to the NH proton of H$^+$Ox, the aprotic nonpolar and quadrupolar Ar and N$_2$ ligands prefer interior ion solvation by attachment of individual ligands around the heterocyclic aromatic cation. In all cases, the global minimum of the H$^+$Ox-L dimer features a linear NH…L ionic H-bond, and the strength of this H-bond increases in the order Ar<N$_2$<W, in line with the increasing proton affinity of the ligand. This trend is also visible in the red shift of the proton donor stretch vibration ($\nu_{\text{NH}}^b$), as well as the computed binding energies and the NBO and NCI analyses. Finally, protonation of Ox has a strong impact on the interaction potential with W, with respect to both its structure and binding energy. While Ox-W has a weakly H-bonded structure with W acting as proton donor in an OH…N H-bond, H$^+$Ox-W features a strong ionic NH…O H-bond with W being a proton acceptor. Such charge-induced structural changes are typical for (mono-)hydrated aromatic molecules.\textsuperscript{10,62,68,85-92}
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Comparison of IRPD spectra of H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{n} (n=1-4) and H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{n}\textsubscript{-}N\textsubscript{2} (n=1-2) clusters measured between 2650 and 3820 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The positions of the transitions observed are listed in Table 1, along with the suggested vibrational and isomer assignments.

Figure 2. Optimized geometries of W, H\textsuperscript{+}Ox (N-protonated, with atomic numbering), and most stable isomers H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W and H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W-N\textsubscript{2} calculated at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. Binding energies (\(D_0\)) and bond lengths are given in cm\textsuperscript{-1} and Å, respectively. Numbers in parentheses correspond to relative energies and free energies in cm\textsuperscript{-1} (\(E_0\), \(G_0\)).

Figure 3. Comparison of measured IRPD spectra of H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W and H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W-N\textsubscript{2} to linear IR absorption spectra computed for the most stable isomers at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. For comparison, the IR spectra calculated for bare H\textsuperscript{+}Ox and W are also shown. The IR stick spectra are convoluted with Gaussian line profiles with FWHM=10 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

Figure 4. Optimized geometries of W\textsubscript{2}, H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{2}, and most stable isomers of H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{-}N\textsubscript{2} obtained at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. Binding energies (\(D_0\)) and bond lengths are given in cm\textsuperscript{-1} and Å, respectively. Numbers in parentheses correspond to relative energies and free energies in cm\textsuperscript{-1} (\(E_0\), \(G_0\)).

Figure 5. Comparison of measured IRPD spectra of H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{2} and H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{-}N\textsubscript{2} to the linear IR absorption spectra computed for the most stable isomers at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. For comparison, the IR spectra calculated for bare W\textsubscript{2} is also shown. The IR stick spectra are convoluted with Gaussian line profiles with FWHM=10 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

Figure 6. Optimized geometries of H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{n} and Ox-H\textsuperscript{+}W\textsubscript{n} clusters with n=3 and 4 obtained at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. Binding energies (\(D_0\)) and bond lengths are given in cm\textsuperscript{-1} and Å, respectively. Numbers in parentheses correspond to relative energies and free energies in cm\textsuperscript{-1} (\(E_0\), \(G_0\)).

Figure 7. Comparison of measured IRPD spectrum of H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{3} to the linear IR absorption spectra computed for the two most stable isomers at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. The IR stick spectra are convoluted with Gaussian line profiles with FWHM=10 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

Figure 8. Comparison of measured IRPD spectrum of H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{4} to the linear IR absorption spectra computed for the most stable isomers of H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{4} and Ox-H\textsuperscript{+}W\textsubscript{4} at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. The IR stick spectra are convoluted with Gaussian line profiles with FWHM=10 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

Figure 9. Observed (filled circles) and calculated (open circles, B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ) \(\text{v}_{\text{NH}}\)\textsuperscript{b} frequencies of the H-bonded H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-L (L=Ar, N\textsubscript{2}, W) dimers and H\textsuperscript{+}Ox-W\textsubscript{n} clusters as a function of the PA of the ligands. For n=4, we consider both the c1 and b isomers. The c1 isomer is most stable but its NH…O H-bond is affected by the formation of the cyclic ring. The NH…O H-bond of the less stable b isomer is not affected.
Table 1. Positions and suggested vibrational and isomer assignments of the transitions observed in the IRPD spectra of H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_n\) (\(n=1-4\)) and H\(^+\)Ox-W\(_n\)-N\(_2\) (\(n=1-2\)) clusters compared to frequencies of the most stable isomers calculated at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. All values are given in cm\(^{-1}\). For comparison, spectral data of W\(_n\) with \(n\leq 2\) are provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>exp (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>calc (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>assignment</th>
<th>isomer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3756(^a)</td>
<td>3757 (63)</td>
<td>(\nu_5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3657(^b)</td>
<td>3658 (5)</td>
<td>(\nu_1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(W_2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3746(^c)</td>
<td>3749 (84)</td>
<td>(\nu_5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3735(^c)</td>
<td>3730 (86)</td>
<td>(\nu_6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3654(^c)</td>
<td>3653 (10)</td>
<td>(\nu_1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3601(^c)</td>
<td>3542 (341)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{OH}})</td>
<td>Ox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ox)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3168(^d)</td>
<td>3170 (0.4)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td>Ox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3148(^d)</td>
<td>3137 (2)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td>Ox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3144 (0.9)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H)^(^+)(\text{Ox})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3444±3(^*)</td>
<td>3446 (202)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{NH}})</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox(N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3205±5(^*)</td>
<td>3181 (27)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox(N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3180±10(^*)</td>
<td>3161 (40)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox(N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3170±10(^*)</td>
<td>3149 (69)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox(N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H)^(^+)(\text{Ox-W}) A 3720</td>
<td>3728 (151)</td>
<td>(\nu_5)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 3625</td>
<td>3642 (56)</td>
<td>(\nu_1)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 3230</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2\beta_{\text{NH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3179</td>
<td>3184 (16)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3179</td>
<td>3163 (31)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3179</td>
<td>3155 (57)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1 3095</td>
<td>2883 (1840)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{NH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 2969</td>
<td></td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{NH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3 2833</td>
<td></td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{NH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H)^(^+)(\text{Ox-W-N}_2) A 3711</td>
<td>3706 (195)</td>
<td>(\nu_5)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(H)-N(_2)(H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 3610</td>
<td>3576 (383)</td>
<td>(\nu_5)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(H)-N(_2)(H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 3230</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2\beta_{\text{NH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3178</td>
<td>3184 (15)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3178</td>
<td>3164 (30)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3178</td>
<td>3156 (54)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 2970</td>
<td>2785 (2209)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{NH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H)^(^+)(\text{Ox-W}_2) A 3745</td>
<td>3739 (124)</td>
<td>(\nu_5)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(_2)(H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 3705</td>
<td>3712 (115)</td>
<td>(\nu_1)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(_2)(H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 3649</td>
<td>3651 (31)</td>
<td>(\nu_1)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(_2)(H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 3333</td>
<td>3267 (1023)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{OH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 3247</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2\beta_{\text{OH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3179</td>
<td>3185 (14)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3179</td>
<td>3164 (27)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3179</td>
<td>3158 (48)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{CH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 2970</td>
<td>2554 (2853)</td>
<td>(\nu_{\text{NH}})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H)^(^+)(\text{Ox-W}_2)-N(_2) A 3742</td>
<td>3741 (121), 3739 (122)</td>
<td>(\nu_5)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(_2)(H)-N(_2)(H1), H(^+)Ox-W(_2)(H)-N(_2)(\pi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 3707</td>
<td>3712 (116)</td>
<td>(\nu_5)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(_2)(H)-N(_2)(\pi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 3667</td>
<td>3643 (422)</td>
<td>(\nu_5)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(_2)(H)-N(_2)(H1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 3657</td>
<td>3653 (42), 3650 (30)</td>
<td>(\nu_5)</td>
<td>H(^+)Ox-W(_2)(H)-N(_2)(\pi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 3707</td>
<td>3717 (84)</td>
<td>ν₅</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 3707</td>
<td>3715 (115)</td>
<td>ν₆</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 3654</td>
<td>3654 (47), 3654 (23)</td>
<td>ν₇</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 3348</td>
<td>3384 (1362), 3374 (702)</td>
<td>ν₀H</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b), H' Ox-W₄(l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 3348</td>
<td>3349 (521)</td>
<td>ν₀H</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X 3242</td>
<td>3242</td>
<td>2ν₂OH</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b), H' Ox-W₄(l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3178</td>
<td>3164 (12), 3185 (12)</td>
<td>ν₁OH</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b), H' Ox-W₄(l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3178</td>
<td>3164 (22), 3164 (24)</td>
<td>ν₁OH</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b), H' Ox-W₄(l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3178</td>
<td>3160 (40), 3159 (45)</td>
<td>ν₁OH</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 3050</td>
<td>3046 (1548)</td>
<td>ν₀H</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(l)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C 3715</td>
<td>3709 (110)</td>
<td>ν₅</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(c1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 3715</td>
<td>3692 (95)</td>
<td>ν₆</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(c1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 3651</td>
<td>3657 (22), 3653 (29)</td>
<td>ν₇</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 3651</td>
<td>3655 (22)</td>
<td>ν₇</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y 3567</td>
<td>3562 (357)</td>
<td>ν₀H</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(c2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 3427</td>
<td>3401 (502), 3428 (414)</td>
<td>ν₀H</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b), H' Ox-W₄(c1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1 3427</td>
<td>3379 (1113)</td>
<td>ν₀H</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2/X 3247</td>
<td>3161 (1450), 3325 (945)</td>
<td>ν₀H</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b), H' Ox-W₄(c1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 3247</td>
<td>3194 (1179)</td>
<td>ν₂OH</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b), H' Ox-W₄(c1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3180</td>
<td>3185 (10), 3186 (16)</td>
<td>ν₁OH</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b), H' Ox-W₄(c1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3180</td>
<td>3164 (15), 3164 (21)</td>
<td>ν₁OH</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b), H' Ox-W₄(c1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3180</td>
<td>3160 (46)</td>
<td>ν₁OH</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 3084</td>
<td>3027 (364)</td>
<td>ν₀H</td>
<td>H' Ox-W₄(c1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

* IR intensities (in km/mol) are listed in parentheses.

* Ref. 71.

* Ref. 80, 81, 82.

* Ref. 46.

* Ref. 60.

* The intensities of the two nearly degenerate modes are added.
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Figure 3
Figure 4
Figure 5
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Energy (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$r_{O2-C5}$ [Å]</th>
<th>$r_{O1-C6}$ [Å]</th>
<th>$r_{O1-C7}$ [Å]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H+Ox-W3(b)</td>
<td>1.0989</td>
<td>1.454</td>
<td>0.9792</td>
<td>1.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+Ox-W3(l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+Ox-W4(b)</td>
<td>1.0979</td>
<td>1.481</td>
<td>0.9903</td>
<td>1.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+Ox-W4(l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox-H+W4(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ox-H+W4(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6
Figure 8
Metamifop in water
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Figure Captions

Figure S1. Optimized structure of the neutral Ox-W dimer and its linear IR absorption spectrum calculated at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. Binding energy ($D_0$) and bond lengths are given in cm$^{-1}$ and Å, respectively.

Figure S2. NBO atomic charge distribution (in e) of selected $H^+Ox-W_n$ structures obtained at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level.

Figure S3. Potential energy barrier ($E_e$) for internal rotation of the W ligand in $H^+Ox-W(H)$ calculated at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level in cm$^{-1}$. Bond lengths are given in Å.

Figure S4. Optimized structure and linear IR absorption spectrum of the $H^+Ox-W(C5)$ isomer calculated at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. Binding energy ($D_0$) and bond lengths are given in cm$^{-1}$ and Å, respectively. Numbers in parentheses correspond to relative energies and free energies ($E_0$, $G_0$) with respect to $H^+Ox-W(H)$ in cm$^{-1}$.

Figure S5. Potential energy barrier ($E_e$) between $H^+Ox-W_4(b)$ and Ox-$H^+W_4(1)$ evaluated at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level in cm$^{-1}$.

Figure S6. Orbital interaction between the $\sigma^*$ orbital of the X-H bond (X=N/O/C) and the lone pair of O involved in the XH…O H-bonds of selected $H^+Ox-W_{n=4}$ isomers obtained from the NBO analysis at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. $E^{(2)}$ values given in kJ/mol.

Figure S7. Visualization of the NCI analysis of the XH…O (X=N/O/C) H-bonds in selected $H^+Ox-W_{n=4}$ isomers calculated at the B3LYP-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level. $\rho^*$ values for the H-bonds are given in a.u.

Figure S8. Experimental proton affinities of $W_n$ clusters ($n=1$-4) and Ox.
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Graph showing the relationship between PA (kJ/mol) and n.

Points labeled as W_2, W_3, and W_4.