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#### Abstract

Let $H_{0}$ be self-adjoint with $E_{0}$ a possibly degenerate eigenvalue embedded in the continuous spectrum $\sigma_{c}\left(H_{0}\right)$ and $\psi_{0}$ a normalized eigenfunction. For a certain class of perturbations $W$ and $H=H_{0}+W$ we investigate the asymptotics of the (naive) resonance state $e^{-i t H} \psi_{0}$ in the limit $W \rightarrow 0$. This amplifies previous results of Merkli and Sigal.


## 1 Introduction and Results

Let $H_{0}$ be a self-adjoint Hamiltonian in a complex Hilbert space $\langle\mathcal{H},\|\cdot\|\rangle$. Let $E_{0}$ be a possibly degenerate eigenvalue of $H_{0}$, embedded in its continuous spectrum $\sigma_{c}\left(H_{0}\right)$, with (normalized) eigenfunction $\psi_{0}: H_{0} \psi_{0}=E_{0} \psi_{0}$. Let $\Pi_{0}$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\operatorname{Ker}\left(H_{0}-E_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Pi}_{0}:=\mathbb{1}-\Pi_{0} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $H_{0}$ be perturbed by an operator $W$, where
(C0) $W$ is symmetric in $\mathcal{H}, \quad H:=H_{0}+W$ is self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H} \quad$ and $\mathcal{D}\left(H_{0}\right)=\mathcal{D}(H)$.
For a small perturbation $W$ - where "small" is specified by (C2) through (C5) below - $E_{0}$ should turn into a resonance; see [AHSk] for results in this direction. More naively, one may directly investigate $e^{-i t H} \psi_{0}$. One expects that $e^{-i t H} \psi_{0}$ shows the typical behavior of a resonance: Up to the order of the expected lifetime (given by the Fermi golden rule) $\left\|\Pi_{0} e^{-i t H} \psi_{0}\right\|$ decays (roughly) exponentially; see (1.23). For large times $e^{-i t H} \psi_{0}$ may tunnel completely to the spectral complement $\operatorname{Ran} \bar{\Pi}_{0}$, but there it is (in some weak sense) outgoing. This can be defined to mean that $e^{-i t H} \psi_{0}$ belongs to a subspace of large spectral values for an operator $A$ conjugate to $H$ (as specified below). Thus the last statement may be rephrased by saying that the weighted norm $\left\|\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_{0} e^{-i t H} \psi_{0}\right\|$ is small uniformly in time.

Such an approach was introduced in [MerSi], following [SoWei]. It is the main purpose of this paper to show that the statements above - although they are not explicitly proved in [MerSi] - actually follow from the estimates of [MerSi] by standard techniques.

To formulate our results more precisely, we shall introduce some notations and briefly recall the central result of [MerSi]. For any bounded interval $I$ let $g_{I} \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ be a smoothed out version of the characteristic function $1_{\Delta}$, i.e.

$$
g_{I}(\mu)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & , & \mu \in I  \tag{1.2}\\
0 & , \quad \mu \text { outside some neighborhood of } I
\end{array}\right.
$$

We fix some neighborhood $\Delta$ of $E_{0}$ (assumed to contain no eigenvalue of $H_{0}$ different from $\left.E_{0}\right)$ and an interval $\Delta \subset \Delta^{\prime}$ a little bigger than $\Delta . g_{\Delta}(H)$ is a smoothed out version of the

[^0]spectral projection $E_{\Delta}(H)=1_{\Delta}(H)$. We assume that $\operatorname{supp} g_{\Delta} \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(1-g_{\Delta^{\prime}}\right)=\emptyset$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ also contains no eigenvalues of $H_{0}$ different from $E_{0}$. We set
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{g_{I}}:=\mathbb{1}-g_{I} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for any interval $I$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}:=\bar{\Pi}_{0} H \bar{\Pi}_{0} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exists a self-adjoint operator $A$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\alpha>2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} \Pi_{0}\right\|<\infty, \quad\langle A\rangle:=\left(|A|^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we state, in addition to (C0), further conditions on $W$.
(C2) $\quad \kappa:=\left\|\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} W \Pi_{0}\right\|<\infty$.
Remark: $\quad \kappa$ is a measure for the size of the perturbation $W$. In this work we are interested in $\kappa$ small.
(C3) The $k$-fold commutators $a d_{A}^{k}(H)$, recursively defined by $a d_{A}(\cdot):=[A, \cdot]$, are $H$ bounded for all $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and some $n>\alpha+1>3$, uniformly in $\kappa<\kappa_{0}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.
(C4) For all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}\right)$ and $t \geq 0$ the following local decay estimate holds:

$$
\left\|\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} e^{-i t \bar{H}} g_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} \phi\right\| \leq C\langle t\rangle^{-\alpha}\left\|\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_{0} \phi\right\|
$$

for some $C<\infty$, independent of $t$ and $\kappa<\kappa_{0}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ small enough. $\langle t\rangle:=\left(1+|t|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}(t \in \mathbb{R}) ; \quad \bar{\Pi}_{0}, \bar{H}, \Delta^{\prime}, g_{\Delta^{\prime}}$ are defined in (1.1) - (1.4).

Remark: (C4) is a consequence of the Mourre estimate.
(C5) Non vanishing of the Fermi golden rule holds, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma:=\pi \cdot \Pi_{0} W \delta\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}\right) \bar{\Pi}_{0} W \Pi_{0}, \quad \Gamma \upharpoonright \operatorname{Ran} \Pi_{0} \geq c_{0} \kappa^{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{0}>0$, uniformly in $\kappa<\kappa_{0}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.
Remark: In analogy to the well known formula

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}(x-i \varepsilon)^{-1}=\text { P.V. }\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)+i \cdot \pi \delta(x)
$$

which holds in the space of tempered distributions, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \delta\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}\right) \bar{\Pi}_{0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}:=\frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{~s}-\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} \bar{\Pi}_{0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}\right),  \tag{1.6}\\
& \langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \text { P.V. }\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}\right)^{-1} \bar{\Pi}_{0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}:=\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{s}-\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} \bar{\Pi}_{0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}\right), \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

whenever the limits on the r.h.s. exist. In fact the existence of the limits follows from (C4) (see A Appendix). Obviously $\Gamma \geq 0$. The actual assumption in (C5) is the positivity of $\Gamma$ on $\operatorname{Ran} \Pi_{0}$. Note that $\Gamma=O\left(\kappa^{2}\right)$ by (C2), if the limit in (1.6) exists.

So the class of perturbations in question is

$$
\mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}:=\left\{W \mid W \text { satisfies }(\mathrm{C} 0)-(\mathrm{C} 5) \text { for } \kappa<\kappa_{0}\right\} .
$$

Assuming (C0) - (C5), results about time evolution of resonance states have been proved in [MerSi, Theorem 2.1]. These results are formulated in terms of the bounded operator [MerSi, p.559/560 and (A.17)]

$$
\Lambda:=E_{0} \Pi_{0}+\Pi_{0} W B \Pi_{0}-\Pi_{0} W\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}-i 0\right)^{-1} g_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} W \Pi_{0}
$$

For $\kappa$ sufficiently small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \quad:=\left(\mathbb{\Perp}-\overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} g_{\Delta}(H)\right)^{-1}=\rrbracket+O(\kappa) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists by a Neumann series expansion, because $\overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} g_{\Delta}(H)=O(\kappa)(\kappa \rightarrow 0)$; see [MerSi, Proposition 3.1]. The main result of [ MerSi ] is

Theorem 1.1 [MerSi, part of Theorem 2.1]
Assume ( C 0$)-(\mathrm{C} 5)$. Let $\psi(t)=e^{-i H t} \psi(0)$ with initial condition $\psi(0)$ $\in \operatorname{Ran}\left(E_{\Delta}(H)\right) \cap \mathcal{D}\left(\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}\right)$. Let $0 \leq \beta<\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \alpha-2\right\}$. Then there exists a constant $\kappa_{0}$ (depending on $\left.\alpha, \beta,|\Delta|\right)$ such that for $t \geq 0$ one has the following expansion:

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(t) & =B \Pi_{0} \psi(t)+\psi_{\text {disp }}(t) \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \quad \text { with } \\
\psi_{\text {disp }}(t) & :=B g_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(t)  \tag{1.9}\\
\Pi_{0} \psi(t) & =e^{-i \Lambda t} \Pi_{0} \psi(0)+O\left(\kappa^{1-4 \beta}\langle t\rangle^{-\beta}\right), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0)  \tag{1.10}\\
\left\|\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \psi_{\text {disp }}(t)\right\| & \leq C\left(\left\|\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(0)\right\|\langle t\rangle^{-\alpha}+\kappa^{1-2 \beta}\langle t\rangle^{-\beta}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$.
Remark: Under the conditions outlined in this section, $H$ has no eigenvalues in $\Delta$ (cf. [MerSi, Corollary 2.2]).

To understand the action of $e^{-i \Lambda t}$ in more detail, one needs a suitable expansion of $e^{-i \Lambda t}$. As a preparation, we collect results of [ MerSi , Proposition 3.3] and [ MerSi , A. Appendix, p. 573 ff.]:

Proposition 1.2 [MerSi, cp. Proposition 3.3]
$\Lambda$ has the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=E_{0} \Pi_{0}+\Pi_{0} W \Pi_{0}-\Pi_{0} W\left(P . V .\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}\right)^{-1}\right) \bar{\Pi}_{0} W \Pi_{0}-i \Gamma+K \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
K=O\left(\kappa^{3}\right) & (\kappa \rightarrow 0), \\
\Gamma=O\left(\kappa^{2}\right) & (\kappa \rightarrow 0),  \tag{1.14}\\
\Pi_{0} W\left(P . V \cdot\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}\right)^{-1}\right) \bar{\Pi}_{0} W \Pi_{0}=O\left(\kappa^{2}\right) & (\kappa \rightarrow 0),
\end{array}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.
Remark: Explicitly

$$
\begin{align*}
K:= & \Pi_{0} W \overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} \int_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{H}-z)^{-1} W \Pi_{0} \Pi_{0} W(H-z)^{-1} \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\bar{\partial} \tilde{g}_{\Delta}\right)(z) d x d y \Pi_{0}+ \\
& +\Pi_{0} W \sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Pi}_{0} \overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\bar{H}) g_{\Delta}(H)\right)^{j} \Pi_{0} \tag{1.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{g}_{\Delta}$ is an almost analytic extension of $g_{\Delta}$ in the sense of Lemma 3.1. Our proof does not need this explicit representation of $K$.

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
G:=E_{0} \Pi_{0}+\Pi_{0} W \Pi_{0}-\Pi_{0} W\left(P . V .\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}\right)^{-1}\right) \bar{\Pi}_{0} W \Pi_{0}, \quad Q:=G-i \Gamma, \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have by (1.12) $\Lambda=Q+K$.

Now we are ready to describe in more detail the asymptotic behavior of $\Pi_{0} \psi(t)$ (i.e. the decay of the resonance state), valid up to the expected lifetime, which is $O\left(\kappa^{-2}\right)$. The following theorems are the main result of our paper.

Theorem 1.3 Assume (C0) - (C5). Let $\psi(t)=e^{-i H t} \psi(0)$ with $\psi(0) \in \operatorname{Ran}\left(E_{\Delta}(H)\right) \cap$ $\mathcal{D}\left(\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}\right)$. Then there exists $\epsilon \in(0,1]$ and $C>0$ such that for $0 \leq t \leq C \kappa^{-2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{0} \psi(t)=e^{-i G t} e^{-\Gamma t} e^{-i K t} \Pi_{0} \psi(0)+O\left(\kappa^{2} t\right)+O\left(\kappa^{\epsilon}\right), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\Gamma t} e^{-i K t} \Pi_{0} \psi(0)=e^{-\Gamma t} \Pi_{0} \psi(0)+e^{-\Gamma t} O(\kappa) \Pi_{0} \psi(0) . \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Pi_{0} \psi(t)\right\|=\left\|e^{-\Gamma t} \Pi_{0} \psi(0)\right\|+O\left(\kappa^{2} t\right)+O(\kappa)+O\left(\kappa^{\epsilon}\right), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-c \kappa^{2} t}\left\|\Pi_{0} \psi(0)\right\| \leq\left\|e^{-\Gamma t} \Pi_{0} \psi(0)\right\| \leq e^{-c_{0} \kappa^{2} t}\left\|\Pi_{0} \psi(0)\right\| \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{0}>0, c>0$, uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. (See (1.16), (1.15), (1.5) for the definitions of $G, K, \Gamma$.)

We shall now show that $\psi(0)$ in Theorem 1.3 can be replaced by $\psi_{0}$ and that $\psi(t)$ is outgoing (in the sense described above).

Theorem 1.4 Let $E_{0}$ be an embedded eigenvalue of $H_{0}, H_{0} \psi_{0}=E_{0} \psi_{0}$. Assume (C0) (C5). Then for $0 \leq t \leq C \kappa^{-2}$ and some $\epsilon \in(0,1]$ the results of Theorem 1.3 yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{0} e^{-i t H} \psi_{0} & =e^{-i G t} e^{-\Gamma t} e^{-i K t} \psi_{0}+O\left(\kappa^{2} t\right)+O(\kappa)+O\left(\kappa^{\epsilon}\right),(\kappa \rightarrow 0)(  \tag{1.21}\\
e^{-\Gamma t} e^{-i K t} \psi_{0} & =e^{-\Gamma t} \psi_{0}+O(\kappa)  \tag{1.22}\\
\left\|\Pi_{0} e^{-i t H} \psi_{0}\right\| & =\left\|e^{-\Gamma t} \psi_{0}\right\|+O\left(\kappa^{2} t\right)+O(\kappa)+O\left(\kappa^{\epsilon}\right), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0)  \tag{1.23}\\
e^{-c \kappa^{2} t}\left\|\psi_{0}\right\| & \leq\left\|e^{-\Gamma t} \psi_{0}\right\| \leq e^{-c_{0} \kappa^{2} t}\left\|\psi_{0}\right\| \tag{1.24}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $c_{0}>0, c>0$, uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. For $t \geq 0$ and some $\epsilon \in(0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_{0} e^{-i t H} \psi_{0}\right\|=O\left(\kappa^{\epsilon}\right)+O(\kappa), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.
We shall prove these Theorems in Section 2 and 3.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In the following $\langle B(\mathcal{H}),\|\cdot\|\rangle$ will denote the Banach space of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\cdot)$ the spectrum of an operator and $C$ a generic positive constant, independent of $\kappa$ and $t$.

We have the following decomposition of $e^{-i \Lambda t}$ :
Lemma 2.1 For $0 \leq t \leq C \kappa^{-2}$ with some $C>0$ the following is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \Lambda t}=e^{-i G t} e^{-\Gamma t} e^{-i K t}+F(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad B(\mathcal{H}) \ni F(t)=F_{1}(t)+F_{2}(t)+F_{3}(t)=O\left(\kappa^{2} t\right) \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \quad$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{1}(t)=O\left(\kappa^{2} t\right) & (\kappa \rightarrow 0), \\
F_{2}(t)=O\left(\kappa^{3} t\right) & (\kappa \rightarrow 0), \\
F_{3}(t)=O\left(\kappa^{5} t^{2}\right) & (\kappa \rightarrow 0), \tag{2.4}
\end{array}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.
$G, K, \Gamma$ are defined in (1.16), (1.15), (1.5).

## Remarks on $\Gamma$ :

Since $\Gamma=O\left(\kappa^{2}\right)$ is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{H}$, positive on $\operatorname{Ran} \Pi_{0}$ and (C5) holds, we have $\sup \sigma(\Gamma)=\|\Gamma\| \leq c \kappa^{2}$ for some $c>0$, and for $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t \geq 0$ we get via functional calculus

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-c \kappa^{2} t}\left\|\Pi_{0} \phi\right\| \leq\left\|e^{-\Gamma t} \Pi_{0} \phi\right\| \leq e^{-c_{0} \kappa^{2} t}\left\|\Pi_{0} \phi\right\|, \quad e^{-c \kappa^{2} t} \leq\left\|e^{-\Gamma t}\right\| \leq 1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{0}>0, c>0$. In particular for any $0<C<\infty$ and $0 \leq t \leq C \kappa^{-2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\Gamma t}=O(1), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.
To prove Lemma 2.1, we will need
Lemma 2.2 Let $K=O\left(\kappa^{3}\right)(\kappa \rightarrow 0)$ as in (1.13). Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then for any $0<C<\infty$ and $0 \leq t \leq C \kappa^{-3+\varepsilon}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{ \pm i K t}=\rrbracket+O\left(\kappa^{\varepsilon}\right), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.

Proof: $\quad$ Since $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$ we have $\left\|e^{ \pm i K t}-\mathbb{1}\right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}\|K t\|^{j}$. Then for $0 \leq t \leq C \kappa^{-3+\varepsilon}$ we have $K t=O\left(\kappa^{\varepsilon}\right)(\kappa \rightarrow 0)$ by (1.13). Thus

$$
e^{ \pm i K t}=\mathbb{1}+O\left(\kappa^{\varepsilon}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!}=\mathbb{1}+O\left(\kappa^{\varepsilon}\right), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0)
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.

Proof of Lemma 2.1: In general $Q, G, K$ and $\Gamma$ do not commute. But

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \Lambda t}=e^{-i Q t} e^{-i K t} R(t) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator-valued remainder $R(t):=e^{i K t} e^{i Q t} e^{-i \Lambda t}$ solves the initial value problem $\frac{d}{d t} R(t)=i e^{i K t}\left[K, e^{i Q t}\right] e^{-i \Lambda t}, \quad R(0)=\mathbb{1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)=\mathbb{1}+\int_{0}^{t} i e^{i K s}\left[K, e^{i Q s}\right] e^{-i \Lambda s} d s \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i Q t}=: \quad e^{-i G t} e^{-\Gamma t} \tilde{R}(t) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{R}(t)=1+\int_{0}^{t} e^{\Gamma s}\left[\Gamma, e^{i G s}\right] e^{-i Q s} d s \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.8) and (2.10) we obtain

$$
e^{-i \Lambda t}=\left(e^{-i G t} e^{-\Gamma t} \tilde{R}(t)\right) e^{-i K t} R(t)=e^{-i G t} e^{-\Gamma t} e^{-i K t}+F(t)
$$

where, using (2.11) and (2.9), $\quad F(t)=F_{1}(t)+F_{2}(t)+F_{3}(t) \quad$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(t):=e^{-i G t} e^{-\Gamma t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\Gamma s}\left[\Gamma, e^{i G s}\right] e^{-i Q s} d s e^{-i K t} \\
& F_{2}(t):=e^{-i G t} e^{-\Gamma t} e^{-i K t} \int_{0}^{t} i e^{i K s}\left[K, e^{i Q s}\right] e^{-i \Lambda s} d s  \tag{2.12}\\
& F_{3}(t):=F_{1}(t) \cdot e^{i K t} e^{\Gamma t} e^{i G t} F_{2}(t) \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall now estimate $F_{1}(t), F_{2}(t), F_{3}(t)$.
Upper Bounds on $F_{1}(t)$ : To estimate $F_{1}(t)$, we observe that $G$ is self-adjoint. Since $Q \in B(\mathcal{H})$, we have the representation $e^{-i Q t}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(e^{-i \operatorname{Re} Q t / n} e^{\operatorname{Im} Q t / n}\right)^{n}$, due to the Lie product formula [RS, Theorem VIII.29], which shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-i Q s}\right\| \leq\left\|e^{\operatorname{Im} Q s}\right\| \quad \text { with } \quad \operatorname{Im} Q \stackrel{(1.16)}{=}-\Gamma . \quad(s \geq 0) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|F_{1}(t)\right\| \leq\left\|e^{-\Gamma t}\right\|\left\|e^{-i K t}\right\| \int_{0}^{t}\left\|e^{\Gamma s}\right\|\left\|\left[\Gamma, e^{i G s}\right]\right\|\left\|e^{-\Gamma s}\right\| d s
$$

Using (1.14), we have $\left[\Gamma, e^{i G t}\right]=O\left(\kappa^{2}\right)(\kappa \rightarrow 0)$. Using in addition Lemma 2.2 and (2.6), which holds for $0 \leq t \leq C \kappa^{-2}$, we obtain (2.2).

Upper Bounds on $F_{2}(t)$ : Equation (2.12) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{2}(t)\right\| \leq\left\|e^{-i K t}\right\| \int_{0}^{t}\left\|e^{i K s}\right\|\left(2\|K\|\left\|e^{-\operatorname{Im} Q s}\right\|\right)\left\|e^{\operatorname{Im} \Lambda s}\right\| d s \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\operatorname{Im} \Lambda \stackrel{(1.12)}{=}-\Gamma+\operatorname{Im} K \stackrel{(1.13)}{(1.14)}=O\left(\kappa^{2}\right)+O\left(\kappa^{3}\right)=O\left(\kappa^{2}\right) \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0)$, uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. Hence for any $0<C<\infty$ and $0 \leq s \leq C \kappa^{-2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-i \Lambda s}\right\| \leq\left\|e^{\operatorname{Im} \Lambda s}\right\|=O(1), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. Using (1.13), (2.7), (2.14) and (2.16) in (2.15), we obtain (2.3).

Upper Bounds on $F_{3}(t)$ : By use of (2.13) it suffices to show that $e^{i K t} e^{\Gamma t} e^{i G t}=O(1)$ $(\kappa \rightarrow 0)$ for $0 \leq t \leq C \kappa^{-2}$, uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. This follows from (2.7), (2.6) and the fact that $G$ is self-adjoint. Thus by (2.2) and (2.3) we obtain (2.4).

Finally by (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) we arrive at $F(t)=O\left(\kappa^{2} t\right)(\kappa \rightarrow 0)$ for $0 \leq t \leq$ $C \kappa^{-2}$ with some $C>0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: By Theorem 1.1 (1.10) we have for $t \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{0} \psi(t)=e^{-i \Lambda t} \Pi_{0} \psi(0)+f(t) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{H} \ni f(t)=O\left(\kappa^{1-4 \beta}\langle t\rangle^{-\beta}\right) \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0)
$$

for $\beta \in\left[0, \min \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \alpha-2\right\}\right)$, uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. Then, possibly decreasing $\beta$ to $\beta \in\left[0, \min \left\{\frac{1}{4}, \alpha-2\right\}\right)$, there exists an $\epsilon \in(0,1]$ such that $f(t)=$ $O\left(\kappa^{\epsilon}\right)(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \quad$ uniformly in $t \geq 0$ and $\kappa<\kappa_{0}$.
Substitution of (2.1) into (2.17) yields

$$
\Pi_{0} \psi(t)=e^{-i G t} e^{-\Gamma t} e^{-i K t} \Pi_{0} \psi(0)+F(t) \Pi_{0} \psi(0)+f(t)
$$

which shows (1.17) by use of Lemma 2.1. (1.18) is given by Lemma 2.2 with $\varepsilon=1$. Substitution of (1.18) into (1.17) yields

$$
\left\|\Pi_{0} \psi(t)\right\|=\left\|e^{-i G t} e^{-\Gamma t} \Pi_{0} \psi(0)\right\|+O\left(\kappa^{2} t\right)+O(\kappa)+O\left(\kappa^{\epsilon}\right), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0)
$$

which proves (1.19), since $G$ is self-adjoint. (1.20) follows from (2.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

A convenient functional calculus for $C_{0}^{\infty}$-functions of self-adjoint operators in Hilbert spaces is due to B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand $[\mathrm{HeSj}]$, using the concept of almost analytic extensions. This calculus can be generalized to smooth functions with non-compact support, but satisfying certain growth conditions. Here we follow [DeGé, Chapter C. 2 and C.3]. We use the notations $\bar{\partial}:=\partial_{x}+i \partial_{y}, \mathbb{C} \ni z=x+i y$.

Lemma 3.1 [DeGé, Proposition C.2.2]
Let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. Define the following class of smooth functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}^{\rho}:=\left\{f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})| | \partial_{\lambda}^{k} f(\lambda) \mid \leq C_{k}\langle\lambda\rangle^{\rho-k}, k \geq 0\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $f \in \mathbf{S}^{\rho}$, there exists an almost analytic extension $\tilde{f} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ of $f$ in the sense, that $\left.\tilde{f}\right|_{\mathbb{R}}=f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(\bar{\partial} \tilde{f})(z)| \leq C_{k}\langle x\rangle^{\rho-1-k}|y|^{k}, \quad(k \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\operatorname{supp} \tilde{f} \subset\{x+i y| | y \mid \leq C\langle x\rangle\} \quad \text { and }
$$

$$
f(\lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{C}}(\lambda-z)^{-1} \frac{1}{2 \pi}(\bar{\partial} \tilde{f})(z) d x d y . \quad(\lambda \in \mathbb{R})
$$

In particular for any self-adjoint operator $T$ and $f \in \mathbf{S}^{\rho}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(T)=\int_{\mathbb{C}}(T-z)^{-1} \frac{1}{2 \pi}(\bar{\partial} \tilde{f})(z) d x d y \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\underset{\sim}{R e m a r k}:$ If $f \in \mathbf{S}^{\rho}$ with compact support, we can choose $\tilde{f}$ with compact support, i.e. $\tilde{f} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$.
We shall use the following result from [DeGé, Lemma C.3.2]:
Lemma 3.2 Let $T, S$ be self-adjoint operators with $\|[T, S]\|<\infty$. If $f \in \mathbf{S}^{\rho}$ with $\rho<1$, then

$$
\|[f(T), S]\| \leq C\|[T, S]\|
$$

for some $C<\infty$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.4 (respectively of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4) uses the following expansions and estimates:
For linear operators $T$ and $S$ we formally have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{m} S=S T^{m}+\sum_{\substack{j+=m \\ j, l \geq 1}} c_{j l} a d_{T}^{j}(S) T^{l}+a d_{T}^{m}(S) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $c_{j l} \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore for $T$ self-adjoint and any Borel-function $f$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a d_{T}^{m}([f(T), S])=f(T) a d_{T}^{m}(S)-a d_{T}^{m}(S) f(T) . \quad(m \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proofs of (3.4) and (3.5) are by induction.
Assume (C0) - (C3). Let $g \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, let $\tilde{g} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ be an almost analytic extension of $g$ in the sense of Lemma 3.1. By functional calculus and (C3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|[H, A](H-z)^{-1}\right\| \leq c(1+|z|)|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c<\infty$. By induction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a d_{A}^{k}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right)\right\| \leq C \sum_{j=2}^{k+1}|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-j} \quad(k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C<\infty$, locally uniformly in $z$. Consequently for $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and some $C<\infty$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|a d_{A}^{k}(g(H))\right\| & \stackrel{(3.3)}{\leq} \int_{\mathbb{C}}\left\|a d_{A}^{k}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right)\right\| \frac{1}{2 \pi}|(\bar{\partial} \tilde{g})(z)| d x d y \\
& \stackrel{(3.7)}{\leq} C \int_{\mathbb{C}} \sum_{j=2}^{k+1}|y|^{-j} \frac{1}{2 \pi}|(\bar{\partial} \tilde{g})(z)| d x d y \stackrel{(3.2)}{<} \infty . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove Theorem 1.4, we will need

Proposition 3.3 Let $E_{0}$ be an embedded eigenvalue of $H_{0}, H_{0} \psi_{0}=E_{0} \psi_{0}$. Assume $(\mathrm{C} 0)-(\mathrm{C} 3)$. Let $\Omega$ be an interval around $E_{0}$ such that $\operatorname{supp} g_{\Omega} \subset \Delta$. Let $\psi(0):=$ $g_{\Omega}(H) \psi_{0}$. Then $\psi(0)$ fulfils the requirements of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3, i.e. $\psi(0) \in \operatorname{Ran}\left(E_{\Delta}(H)\right) \cap \mathcal{D}\left(\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}\right)$. Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(0)=\psi_{0}+O(\kappa), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.
Proof: $\quad \psi(0) \in \operatorname{Ran}\left(E_{\Delta}(H)\right)$ is obvious, since $\psi(0):=g_{\Omega}(H) \psi_{0}$ and $\operatorname{supp} g_{\Omega} \subset \Delta$. To prove $\psi(0) \in \mathcal{D}\left(\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}\right)$, it suffices to show $\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} g_{\Omega}(H) \Pi_{0} \in B(\mathcal{H})$.
Let $N:=\lfloor\alpha\rfloor \in \mathbb{N}$ be the floor of $\alpha$, i.e. $\alpha=N+\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon \in[0,1)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(A):=(A+i)^{-N}\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}=(A+i)^{N} f(A)$ and $f \in \mathbf{S}^{\varepsilon}$; for the definition of $\mathbf{S}^{\varepsilon}$ see (3.1). Using (3.10) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} g_{\Omega}(H) \Pi_{0}=(A+i)^{N} g_{\Omega}(H) f(A) \Pi_{0}+(A+i)^{N}\left[f(A), g_{\Omega}(H)\right] \Pi_{0} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate (3.11), we shall use the following spectral argument: Since for any $k \geq 0$ there exists $c \geq 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)^{k / 2} \leq c\left(|\lambda|^{k}+1\right) \quad(k \geq 0)
$$

functional calculus yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(A+i)^{N} \phi\right\|=\left\|\langle A\rangle^{N} \phi\right\| \leq c\left(\left\|A^{N} \phi\right\|+\|\phi\|\right) . \quad\left(\phi \in \mathcal{D}\left(|A|^{N}\right)\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By use of (3.12) in (3.11), we obtain

$$
\left\|\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} g_{\Omega}(H) \Pi_{0}\right\| \leq c\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|+\left\|A_{2}\right\|+\left\|A_{3}\right\|+\left\|A_{4}\right\|\right)
$$

for some $c<\infty$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} & :=A^{N} g_{\Omega}(H) f(A) \Pi_{0}, \quad A_{2}:=A^{N}\left[f(A), g_{\Omega}(H)\right] \Pi_{0}, \quad A_{3}:=g_{\Omega}(H) f(A) \Pi_{0} \\
A_{4} & :=\left[f(A), g_{\Omega}(H)\right] \Pi_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

To finish the proof of $\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} g_{\Omega}(H) \Pi_{0} \in B(\mathcal{H})$, we shall now prove the boundedness of $A_{j}$ $(j \in\{1,2,3,4\})$ : By (C1) and functional calculus

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{k} f(A) \Pi_{0} \text { is bounded for } k \in\{0,1, \ldots, N\} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves $A_{3} \in B(\mathcal{H})$. By Lemma 3.2 we have for some $C<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[f(A), g_{\Omega}(H)\right]\right\| \leq C\left\|\left[A, g_{\Omega}(H)\right]\right\| \stackrel{(3.8)}{<} \infty \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (3.14) yields $A_{4} \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Applying (3.4) to $A^{N} g_{\Omega}(H)$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}= & g_{\Omega}(H) A^{N} f(A) \Pi_{0}+\sum_{\substack{j+l=N \\
j, l \geq 1}} c_{l j} a d_{A}^{j}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) A^{l} f(A) \Pi_{0}+ \\
& +a d_{A}^{N}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) f(A) \Pi_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (3.13) with (3.8) and using $N<n$ (see (C3) ), we get $A_{1} \in B(\mathcal{H})$. First applying (3.4) to $A^{N}\left[f(A), g_{\Omega}(H)\right]$ and then using (3.5) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{2}= & {\left[f(A), g_{\Omega}(H)\right] A^{N} \Pi_{0}+} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{j+l=N \\
j, l \geq 1}} c_{l j}\left(f(A) a d_{A}^{j}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right)-a d_{A}^{j}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) f(A)\right) A^{l} \Pi_{0}+ \\
& +f(A) a d_{A}^{N}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) \Pi_{0}-a d_{A}^{N}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) f(A) \Pi_{0} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

By (C1) and functional calculus

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{k} \Pi_{0} \text { is bounded for } 0 \leq k \leq N \leq \alpha \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.10) and (3.12) for $N=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(A) \phi\|=\left\|\langle A\rangle^{\varepsilon} \phi\right\| \leq\|\langle A\rangle \phi\| \leq c(\|A \phi\|+\|\phi\|) . \quad(\phi \in \mathcal{D}(|A|)) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (3.17) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f(A) a d_{A}^{j}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) A^{l} \Pi_{0}\right\| \leq c\left(\left\|A a d_{A}^{j}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) A^{l} \Pi_{0}\right\|+\left\|a d_{A}^{j}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) A^{l} \Pi_{0}\right\|\right) \\
& \quad \leq \quad c\left(\left\|a d_{A}^{j}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) A^{l+1} \Pi_{0}\right\|+\left\|a d_{A}^{j+1}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) A^{l} \Pi_{0}\right\|+\left\|a d_{A}^{j}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) A^{l} \Pi_{0}\right\|\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and a very similar estimate for $f(A) a d_{A}^{N}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)\right) \Pi_{0}$. Finally $A_{2} \in B(\mathcal{H})$ follows from using (3.18) in (3.15) and then taking into account (3.8), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.16).

To prove (3.9), we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(0):=g_{\Omega}(H) \psi_{0}=\psi_{0}+\left(g_{\Omega}(H)-g_{\Omega}\left(H_{0}\right)\right) \Pi_{0} \psi_{0} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from $\Pi_{0} \psi_{0}=\psi_{0}$ and $g_{\Omega}\left(H_{0}\right) \psi_{0}=\psi_{0}$. By use of (3.3) and the second resolvent equation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{\Omega}(H)-g_{\Omega}\left(H_{0}\right)\right) \Pi_{0}=-\int_{\mathbb{C}}(H-z)^{-1} W \Pi_{0}\left(H_{0}-z\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\bar{\partial} \tilde{g}_{\Omega}\right)(z) d x d y \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{g}_{\Omega} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ is an almost analytic extension of $g_{\Omega}$ in the sense of Lemma 3.1. Then using $\left\|W \Pi_{0}\right\| \leq \kappa$ and (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(g_{\Omega}(H)-g_{\Omega}\left(H_{0}\right)\right) \Pi_{0}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \kappa \cdot \int_{\mathbb{C}}|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-2} \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\left(\bar{\partial} \tilde{g}_{\Omega}\right)(z)\right| d x d y=O(\kappa) . \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (3.9) follows from (3.19) and (3.21). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.3.

We shall now show that the contribution of the dispersive part (see (1.9) ) is small, both for $\psi(0)$ and $\psi_{0}$. More precisely:

Proposition 3.4 Let $E_{0}$ be an embedded eigenvalue of $H_{0}, H_{0} \psi_{0}=E_{0} \psi_{0}$. Assume (C0) - (C5). Let $\Omega$ be an interval around $E_{0}$ such that $\operatorname{supp} g_{\Omega} \subset \Delta$. Let $\psi(0):=g_{\Omega}(H) \psi_{0}, \psi(t)=e^{-i H t} \psi(0)$. Then:
(1) For $t \geq 0$ and some $\epsilon \in(0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \psi_{\text {disp }}(t)\right\| \leq C\left\|\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(0)\right\|+O\left(\kappa^{\epsilon}\right) \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C \geq 0$, uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. $\psi_{\text {disp }}(t)$ is defined as in (1.9). Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(0)=O(\kappa), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.
(2) For $t \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \psi_{\text {disp }, 0}(t) & :=\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} B g_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} e^{-i H t} \psi_{0} \\
& =\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \psi_{\text {disp }}(t)+O(\kappa), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small.

Proof of Proposition 3.4, (3.22): (3.22) directly follows from (1.11).
Proof of Proposition 3.4, (3.23): From (3.19) and $\bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi_{0}=0$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(0)=\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_{0}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)-g_{\Omega}\left(H_{0}\right)\right) \Pi_{0} \psi_{0} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_{0}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)-g_{\Omega}\left(H_{0}\right)\right) \Pi_{0}=\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)-g_{\Omega}\left(H_{0}\right)\right) \Pi_{0}+O(\kappa) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\kappa \rightarrow 0)$, since by use of (C1) and (3.21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle^{\alpha} \Pi_{0}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)-g_{\Omega}\left(H_{0}\right)\right) \Pi_{0}=O(\kappa), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. In analogy to (3.20) we get the following estimate for the first term on the r.h.s. of (3.26):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}\left(g_{\Omega}(H)-g_{\Omega}\left(H_{0}\right)\right) \Pi_{0}\right\| \leq \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}}\left\|\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}(H-z)^{-1} W \Pi_{0}\right\| \cdot\left\|\left(H_{0}-z\right)^{-1}\right\| \frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\left(\bar{\partial} \tilde{g}_{\Omega}\right)(z)\right| d x d y \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have to estimate $\left\|\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}(H-z)^{-1} W \Pi_{0}\right\|$. Let $f$ be defined as in (3.10). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle A\rangle^{\alpha}(H-z)^{-1} W \Pi_{0} \\
& \quad=(A+i)^{N}(H-z)^{-1} f(A) W \Pi_{0}+(A+i)^{N}\left[f(A),(H-z)^{-1}\right] W \Pi_{0} . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.12) for an estimate of (3.29), we obtain

$$
\left\|\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}(H-z)^{-1} W \Pi_{0}\right\| \leq c\left(\left\|B_{1}\right\|+\left\|B_{2}\right\|+\left\|B_{3}\right\|+\left\|B_{4}\right\|\right)
$$

for some $c<\infty$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{1}:=A^{N}(H-z)^{-1} f(A) W \Pi_{0}, & B_{2}:=A^{N}\left[f(A),(H-z)^{-1}\right] W \Pi_{0} \\
B_{3}:=(H-z)^{-1} f(A) W \Pi_{0}, & B_{4}:=\left[f(A),(H-z)^{-1}\right] W \Pi_{0}
\end{array}
$$

We shall now prove $B_{j}=O(\kappa)(j \in\{1,2,3,4\})$, locally uniformly in $z$. Inserting $\langle A\rangle^{\alpha}(H-z)^{-1} W \Pi_{0}=O(\kappa)$, locally uniformly in $z$, into (3.28) and using (3.2) and (3.25) (3.27) will then finish the proof of (3.23). By (C2) and functional calculus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{k} f(A) W \Pi_{0}\right\| \leq \kappa \quad(0 \leq k \leq N), \quad\left\|A^{k} W \Pi_{0}\right\| \leq \kappa \quad(0 \leq k \leq \alpha) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have $\left\|B_{3}\right\| \leq \kappa|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-1}$. Splitting $(H-z)^{-1}$ into its real and imaginary parts, Lemma 3.2 together with (3.6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[f(A),(H-z)^{-1}\right]\right\| \leq C(1+|z|)|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-2} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $\left\|B_{4}\right\| \leq C \kappa|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-2}$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$, locally uniformly in z. Applying (3.4) to $A^{N}(H-z)^{-1}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1}= & (H-z)^{-1} A^{N} f(A) W \Pi_{0}+\sum_{\substack{j+l=N \\
j, l \geq 1}} c_{j l} a d_{A}^{j}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right) A^{l} f(A) W \Pi_{0}+ \\
& +a d_{A}^{N}\left((H-z)^{-1} f(A) W \Pi_{0} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.30) leads to

$$
\left\|B_{1}\right\| \leq C \kappa \sum_{k=1}^{N+1}|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-k}
$$

for some $C<\infty$, locally uniformly in $z$. By first applying (3.4) to $A^{N}\left[f(A),(H-z)^{-1}\right]$ and then using (3.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{2}= & {\left[f(A),(H-z)^{-1}\right] A^{N} W \Pi_{0}+} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{j+==N \\
j, l \geq 1}} c_{j l}\left(f(A) a d_{A}^{j}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right)-a d_{A}^{j}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right) f(A)\right) A^{l} W \Pi_{0}+ \\
& +f(A) a d_{A}^{N}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right) W \Pi_{0}-a d_{A}^{N}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right) f(A) W \Pi_{0} . \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.17) yields

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|f(A) a d_{A}^{j}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right) A^{l} W \Pi_{0}\right\| \leq c\left(\left\|a d_{A}^{j}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right) A^{l+1} W \Pi_{0}\right\|+\right. \\
\left.\quad+\left\|a d_{A}^{j+1}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right) A^{l} W \Pi_{0}\right\|+\left\|a d_{A}^{j}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right) A^{l} W \Pi_{0}\right\|\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{array}
$$

and a similar estimate for $f(A) a d_{A}^{N}\left((H-z)^{-1}\right) W \Pi_{0}$. Thus, combining (3.7), (3.30) and (3.31) with (3.32) and (3.33) and using $N+1<n$ (see (C3)), we obtain

$$
\left\|B_{2}\right\| \leq C_{1} \kappa \sum_{k=1}^{N+1}\left\|a d_{A}^{k}((H-z))^{-1}\right\| \leq C_{2} \kappa \sum_{k=2}^{N+2}|\operatorname{Im} z|^{-k}
$$

for some $C_{1}<\infty, C_{2}<\infty$, locally uniformly in $z$.

Proof of Proposition 3.4, (3.24): $\quad$ Since $B g_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} e^{-i t H} \in B(\mathcal{H})$ (cp. (1.1), (1.2), (1.8)), substitution of (3.9) into (1.9) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{d i s p}(t)=B g_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} e^{-i t H} \psi_{0}+O(\kappa), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. Thus (3.24) follows from (3.34). This completes the proof of the proposition.

Now we are prepared to give the
Proof of Theorem 1.4: (1.21) - (1.23) follow from using (3.9) in (1.17) - (1.19). The estimate (1.24) directly follows from (2.5). For the proof of (1.25) we need Proposition 3.4: By use of (1.8) and (1.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\psi_{\text {disp }}(t) & =g_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(t)+O(\kappa) \\
& \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} \bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(t)-\overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(t)+O(\kappa), & (\kappa \rightarrow 0)
\end{array}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. Since $\psi(0) \in \operatorname{Ran} E_{\Delta}(H)$, we have $g_{\Delta}(H) \psi(t)=\psi(t)(t \geq 0)$ and therefore

$$
\psi_{d i s p}(t)=\bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(t)-\overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} g_{\Delta}(H) \psi(t)+O(\kappa), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0)
$$

for $t \geq 0$, uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. [MerSi, Proposition 3.1] gives $\overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0} g_{\Delta}(H)=O(\kappa)(\kappa \rightarrow 0)$. Thus for $t \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\text {disp }}(t)=\bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(t)+O(\kappa), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. Combining (3.23) and (3.22), we get for $t \geq 0$ and some $\epsilon \in(0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \psi_{\text {disp }}(t)\right\|=O\left(\kappa^{\epsilon}\right)+O(\kappa), \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. Substitution of (3.35) into (3.36) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \bar{\Pi}_{0} \psi(t)\right\|=O\left(\kappa^{\epsilon}\right)+O(\kappa) \quad(\kappa \rightarrow 0) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 0$, uniformly in $W \in \mathcal{W}_{\kappa_{0}}$ for some $\kappa_{0}$ sufficiently small. Finally inserting (3.9) into (3.37) yields (1.25). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

## A Appendix

Lemma A. 1 Assume (C0) - (C4). Then $\delta\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}\right)$ and P.V. $\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}\right)^{-1}$ exist in the sense of equation (1.6) and (1.7).

Proof: $\quad$ Since $E_{0} \notin \operatorname{supp} \overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}-i 0\right)^{-1} \overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\bar{H})=\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}\right)^{-1} \overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\bar{H}) \in B(\mathcal{H}) . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [MerSi, Proposition 3.2 (i)] with $t=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { s- } \lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}-i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} g_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \text { exists. } \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (A.1) and (A.2) imply the existence of the limits in (1.6) and (1.7), since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}-i 0\right)^{-1} \bar{\Pi}_{0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} & \langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}-i 0\right)^{-1} g_{\Delta^{\prime}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}+ \\
& \langle A\rangle^{-\alpha}\left(\bar{H}-E_{0}-i 0\right)^{-1} \overline{g_{\Delta^{\prime}}}(\bar{H}) \bar{\Pi}_{0}\langle A\rangle^{-\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of [MerSi, Proposition 3.2 (i)] uses (C4); see [MerSi, p.573]. And (C4) is in fact a consequence of Mourre estimates. We refer the reader to [CyFKS, Chapter 4] for the definition and important results of Mourre estimates.
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