



Quantitative Photo-crosslinking Mass Spectrometry Revealing Protein Structure Response to Environmental Changes

Fränze Müller,[†] Andrea Graziadei,[†] and Juri Rappsilber*^{,†,‡}

[†]Bioanalytics, Institute of Biotechnology, Technische Universität Berlin, 13355 Berlin, Germany

[‡]Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, Scotland, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT: Protein structures respond to changes in their chemical and physical environment. However, studying such conformational changes is notoriously difficult, as many structural biology techniques are also affected by these parameters. Here, the use of photo-crosslinking, coupled with quantitative crosslinking mass spectrometry (QCLMS), offers an opportunity, since the reactivity of photo-crosslinkers is unaffected by changes in environmental parameters. In this study, we introduce a workflow combining photo-crosslinking using sulfosuccinimidyl 4,4'-azipentanoate (sulfo-SDA) with our recently developed data-independent acquisition (DIA)-QCLMS. This novel photo-DIA-QCLMS approach is then used to quantify pH-dependent conformational changes in human serum albumin (HSA) and cytochrome C by



monitoring crosslink abundances as a function of pH. Both proteins show pH-dependent conformational changes resulting in acidic and alkaline transitions. 93% and 95% of unique residue pairs (URP) were quantifiable across triplicates for HSA and cytochrome C, respectively. Abundance changes of URPs and hence conformational changes of both proteins were visualized using hierarchical clustering. For HSA we distinguished the N-F and the N-B form from the native conformation. In addition, we observed for cytochrome C acidic and basic conformations. In conclusion, our photo-DIA-QCLMS approach distinguished pH-dependent conformers of both proteins.

he structure of proteins depends on their chemical and physical environment, such as the presence of denaturants, ionic strength, temperature, or pH.¹⁻⁶ Studying conformational changes as these environmental parameters change is notoriously difficult as many methods of structural biology are themselves affected by the same set of parameters. We set out to investigate whether crosslinking mass spectrometry could be employed in such settings.

The structure of proteins and protein complexes can be revealed through crosslinking mass spectrometry (CLMS).7-13 By forming covalent bonds between the crosslinker and amino acids, proximal amino acid residues in proteins can be detected. Following the proteolytic digestion of a protein, crosslinked peptides can be enriched by strong cation exchange chromatography (SCX)¹⁴ or size exclusion chromatography (SEC),¹⁵ for example, and identified using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Quantitative crosslinking mass spectrometry reveals structural flexibility and changes in proteins such as protein state changes including activation, protein network, and enzyme activity regulation, complex assembly, or protein-protein interactions.¹⁶ However, crosslinking with standard crosslinkers such as bis-[sulfosuccinimidyl] suberate (BS³), which contains two NHS groups, is influenced by parameters such as pH and temperature. As such, it is not possible to study conformational

changes of proteins across a wide range of pH or temperature values.

As an alternative to NHS-based crosslinkers such as BS,³ photoactivatable crosslinkers can be used in CLMS.¹⁷⁻²⁰ The crosslinking reaction is initiated by UV radiation^{21,22} and yields a highly reactive carbene intermediate that can react with a variety of groups present in amino acid side chains.^{23,24} Photocrosslinking results in more crosslinks than homo-bifunctional NHS-based crosslinkers that are restricted to nucleophilic groups.²⁰ Importantly, since photo-crosslinking chemistry is not influenced by environmental parameters, it may be used to quantify at the residue level conformational changes of proteins resulting from varying conditions, once the crosslinker has been covalently linked to the protein of interest with an inactive diazirine group.

To explore photo-crosslinking as a tool for analyzing pHdependent conformational changes, we used two model proteins: human serum albumin (HSA) and bovine cytochrome C. HSA and cytochrome C are known to undergo structural changes under different pH conditions.^{25–28} Human

Received: March 15, 2019 Accepted: June 17, 2019 Published: June 17, 2019

serum albumin is the globular protein in human blood plasma whose main ability is to bind organic and inorganic ligands. Investigation of its denaturation is important for understanding its function as a transporter of physiological metabolites in blood. At least five different pH-dependent conformations have been described for HSA.^{29¹}Cytochrome C is a small hemecontaining protein found loosely associated with the inner membrane of mitochondria. It is an essential component of the electron transport chain in which it carries electrons between complexes III (coenzyme Q-cytochrome C reductase) and IV (cytochrome C oxidase). Similarly to HSA, cytochrome C undergoes conformational changes depending on pH conditions. Alkaline pH and certain biochemical and biophysical cellular factors induce the so-called "alkaline transition".^{30,31} Conformational changes at acidic and neutral pH lead to the interaction of cytochrome C with phospholipids.^{32,3}

Here, we present a workflow combining photo-crosslinking and data-independent acquisition-quantitative crosslinking mass spectrometry (DIA-QCLMS) to study pH-dependent conformational changes and apply it to two model proteins, HSA and cytochrome C. We determine the differential abundance of crosslinked residue pairs in response to different pH conditions. Our study shows that, with use of sulfosuccinimidyl 4,4'-azipentanoate (sulfo-SDA) as the crosslinker, we could pinpoint regions within a protein structure displaying pH-dependent conformational or dynamic changes. Sulfo-SDA is a commonly used hetero-bifunctional crosslinker containing two functional groups: an NHS ester and a diazirine group. First, the NHS ester reacts with the amino acid residues of a protein, followed by the loss of the diazirine group in a second step, induced by UV light exposure.^{18,34} Relying on established sulfo-SDA analyses of proteins¹⁸ and our DIA workflow using Spectronaut,³⁵ we expand the application spectrum of crosslinking mass spectrometry to the wide range of conditions found in life.

METHODS

Reagents. Human serum albumin (HSA) and cytochrome C (bovine heart) were purchased individually from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The crosslinker sulfosuccinimidyl 4,4'-azipentanoate was purchased from Thermo Scientific Pierce (Rockford, IL, USA).

Photo-crosslinking Reaction and Sample Preparation. HSA and cytochrome C were crosslinked separately with sulfo-SDA using a protein-to-crosslinker ratio of 1:0.5 (w/w) (HSA, 15.1 µM:1.5 mM; cytochrome C, 85 µM:1.5 mM). crosslinking was carried out in two stages: first, sulfo-SDA, dissolved in crosslinking buffer (20 mM HEPES-OH, 20 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.8) was added to the target proteins $(1 \ \mu g/\mu L$ total protein concentration) and left to react in the dark for 50 min at room temperature. The sample was then split into seven vials, each adjusted to a different pH, using HCl (18.5%) to lower the pH (pH 4, 5, 6, 7) and NaOH (1 mol/L) to reach basic pH (pH 8, 9, 10). The diazirine group was then photoactivated using ultraviolet light irradiation. A UVP CL-1000L UV crosslinker (UVP, U.K.) at 365 nm was utilized for photoactivation. Samples were spread onto the inside of Eppendorf tube lids to form a thin film, placed on ice at a distance of 5 cm from the lamp, and irradiated for 30 min at 200,000 μ J/cm². The resulting crosslinked HSA and cytochrome C samples were separated by SDS-PAGE. crosslinked monomer protein gel bands were excised, reduced, alkylated, and digested using trypsin, as previously described.³⁶

Resulting peptides were extracted from gel bands using 80% (v/v) acetonitrile (ACN) and concentrated to a final ACN content of nominally 5% (v/v) using a Vacufuge concentrator (Eppendorf, Germany). Tryptic peptides were desalted using C_{18} -StageTips³⁷ and eluted with 80% (v/v) ACN and 0.1% (v/v) TFA prior to mass spectrometric analysis. Peptides were dried in the Vacufuge concentrator and resuspended in 2% (v/v) ACN and 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (FA) to a final protein concentration of 0.75 $\mu g/\mu L$.

Data Acquisition. LC-MS/MS analysis was performed using a quadrupole/linear ion trap/Orbitrap tribrid mass spectrometer (Orbitrap Fusion Lumos, Thermo Fisher Scientific, California, USA) with a "high/high" acquisition strategy (high resolution on MS1 and MS2). A 1.5 μ g amount of peptides was injected for data-dependent acquisition (DDA) and data-independent acquisition (DIA) experiments. The peptide separation was carried out on an EASY-Spray column (50 cm \times 75 μ m i.d., PepMap C₁₈, 2 μ m particles, 100 Å pore size, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany). Peptides were separated using a 85 min gradient and analyzed in DDA mode as previously described.³⁸ In short, mobile phase A consisted of water and 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (FA) and mobile phase B consisted of 80% (v/v) ACN and 0.1% (v/v) FA. Peptides were loaded onto the column with 2% buffer B at 0.3 μ L/min flow rate and eluted at 0.25 μ L/min flow rate with the following gradient: 75 min linear increase from 2 to 37.5% mobile phase B followed by 7 min increase from 37.5 to 47.5%, and 3 min from 47.5 to 95% mobile phase B. Precursor ions were detected in the Orbitrap at 120 K resolution in the m/zrange 400-1600. Ions with charge states from 3+ to 7+ were selected for fragmentation by high energy collision dissociation (HCD) and detected in the Orbitrap at 30,000 resolution.³⁹ In DIA mode, precursor ions were acquired using an MS1 master scan (m/z range, 400–1200; maximum injection time, 60 ms; automatic gain control (AGC) target, 4×10^5 ; detector, Orbitrap; resolution, 60,000), following 66 DIA scans for MS2 within a fragmentation range of m/z 120–1200 using an isolation window width of m/z 12 and a maximum injection time of 50 ms. Ions in the selected m/z window were isolated in the quadrupole, fragmented using HCD (normalized collision energy, 30%), and detected in the Orbitrap at 30K resolution.

Identification of crosslinked Peptides. The raw mass spectrometric data files were processed into peak lists and converted to mgf files using MSconvert (v. 3.0.9576).40 Xi (v. $(1.6.731)^{41}$ was used for database searches. The database comprised the sequences of HSA (UniProt ID, P02768), cytochrome C (P62894) separately, and the reverse sequence of each of these proteins as decoys. Search parameters were as follows: MS tolerance, 6 ppm; MS/MS tolerance, 10 ppm; enzyme, trypsin; missed cleavages, 3; crosslinker, SDA; fixed modification, carbamidomethylation of cysteine; variable modification, oxidation of methionine and modification by SDA (SDA, SDA-loop, SDA-alkene, SDA-oxid, SDA-hydro) with SDA reaction specificity at lysine, serine, threonine, tyrosine, and N-termini of proteins for the NHS-ester group. Diazirines react with all amino acid residues in proteins.^{18,20} In a crosslink analysis, the false discovery rate (FDR) can be calculated on different information levels: peptide-spectrum matches (PSMs), peptide pairs, residue pairs (RPs), and protein pairs.⁴² Here, we considered residue-pair FDR, which was estimated using xiFDR (v. 1.0.22.46)⁴² following the equation valid for heterobifunctional crosslinkers:⁴³



Figure 1. Label-free DIA-based UV crosslinking quantitation workflow. (a) Sample preparation workflow using sulfo-SDA as the UV-activatable crosslinker. First, the NHS ester group reacts with amino acid residues of the model proteins HSA and cytochrome C to decorate the proteins with diazirine groups. After pH adjustment in the range from 4 to 10, the diazirine group is activated to form links within proteins, induced by UV light exposure. Differential abundances of crosslinks can be monitored using a hierarchical clustering. (b) crosslink quantitation workflow (DIA-QCLMS) using Spectronaut for quantitation. pH-adjusted samples are acquired in DDA mode to create a spectral library, followed by DIA mode sampling to generate quantitation data sets.

FDR = (TD - DD)/TT,⁴³ where TT is the number of observed target-target matches, TD the number of observed target-decoy matches, and DD the number of decoy-decoy matches. Filtering was applied to only use crosslink PSMs within proteins. Identification with 5% residue-pair FDR was accepted for quantitation.

Creation of Crosslinked Spectral Library and Quantitation. Quantitation was performed at MS1 and MS2 levels using Spectronaut (version 12.0.20491.13).44,45 The spectral library of crosslinked peptides was introduced as a .csv file using xiDIA-library as previously described.⁴⁶ In short, xiDIAlibrary (an open source collaborative initiative available in the GitHub repository https://github.com/Rappsilber-Laboratory/xiDIA-library) was used to extract the top 10 crosslink-containing fragments and the top 10 linear ones by the intensity of b- or y-ion signals in the m/z range of 300-1400. The library was imported as an external library. Protein modifications were defined manually in addition to a default list of modifications in Spectronaut: SDA-loop (82.04 Da), SDA-alkene (68.06 Da), SDA-oxid (98.04 Da), SDA-hydro (100.05 Da), and SDA-N₂ (110.05 Da).^{47,48} MS1 and MS2 filtering was done following the Spectronaut 12 manual with the following deviations: quantitation tab, interference correction unticked; minor (peptide) grouping, by modified sequence; major group top N unticked and minor group top N ticket (maximum, 6; minimum, 1); minor group quantity, mean precursor quantity, decoy method was set to "scrambled". Normalized data (local normalization⁴⁹ option) with a *q*-value of 0.01 (comparable to 1% FDR) were exported from Spectronaut to integrate feature-level quantitation data into residue-level data using a top 3 approach.

For each unique residue pair (URP), pH dependency was assessed by a single-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test against the null hypothesis that the mean is equal in all groups. After applying the Benjamini–Hochberg multiple testing correction, URPs displaying *p*-values < 0.05 in the ANOVA test were selected. Using this criterion, 137 of the 742 unique residue pairs (URPs) in the HSA data set were found to display pH-dependent behavior, while, in the cytochrome C data set, 87 of the 300 URPs were selected for further analysis. Once this filtering step was applied, direct comparison between pH series was performed using normalized crosslink abundance (XL_{norm}), obtained by

$$XL_{norm} = \frac{XL_{pH} - XL_{min}}{XL_{max} - XL_{min}}$$
(1)

where XL_{pH} is the median crosslink abundance of a URP at a given pH, XL_{min} is the minimum median abundance of the same crosslink across the pH series, and XL_{max} is its maximum. This results in the normalization of each URP abundance between 0 and 1. The data processing was performed in the statistical language R, and the subsequent hierarchical clustering analysis was performed using the heatmap.2 function.⁵⁰

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Spectral Library and Library Quality. We generated a library of fragmentation spectra for data-independent acquisition (DIA) analysis using data-dependent acquisition (DDA). We analyzed two proteins, HSA and cytochrome C, each crosslinked separately in solution using sulfo-SDA (Figure 1a). The sulfo-SDA reaction comprised two steps: first, the NHSester functionality was reacted with the proteins at room temperature and pH 7.8. Under these conditions, NHS-esters react efficiently with lysine, serine, threonine, and tyrosine side chains and the N-termini of proteins. The samples were then split into seven aliquots, and the pH was adjusted to pH 4-10 in steps of one pH unit; in the second step, the diazirine functionality was activated by UV light at 365 nm. The carbene radical intermediate generated by diazirine activation efficiently reacts with all amino acid residues.¹⁸ Proteins were then subjected to SDS-PAGE and protein monomer bands were excised for trypsin digestion to prevent crosslinks between proteins from entering our analysis. To generate spectral libraries, each pH condition was individually analyzed in triplicates (totaling 21 runs at 2 h each, per protein) by LC-MS using a "high-high" (high-resolution MS1 and MS2) strategy and DDA (Figure 1b). For quantitation, each pH condition was analyzed in triplicates and acquired DIA mode. Proteinspecific spectral libraries were then generated using xiDIAlibrary (Müller et al.46 and Methods) and, in total, at 5% residue-pair FDR, comprised 754 URPs, 1655 precursors, and 22808 fragments for the HSA data set and 305 URPs, 1660 precursors, and 17077 fragments for the cytochrome C data set. In comparison, a previous analysis of sulfo-SDAcrosslinked HSA reported 726 URPs at 5% residue-pair FDR, acquiring 48 runs.²⁰ We selected the top 10 crosslinkcontaining fragments and the top 10 linear ones by the intensity of b- or y-ion signals for library creation. All URPs from the HSA spectral library were covered by crystallographic protein models, with 662 falling below 25 Å and 92 (12%) above. All 305 URPs form the cytochrome C library resulted in 299 below 25 Å and 6 (2%) above. Importantly, the reference structures were solved at a single pH value while the crosslink data derived from seven different pH values. Both proteins change their conformation in response to pH change,²⁹ ³³ and we therefore expect some mismatch between our data and the reference structures.

Quantitation was performed at MS1 and MS2 levels using Spectronaut (version 12.0.20491.13).^{44,45} The spectral library of crosslinked peptides was introduced as a .csv file using the "Set up a DIA Analysis from File" wizard in the Analysis tab. Following the automated quantitation of crosslinked peptides, the data set was exported using the Report tab. The identifiedto-quantified ratios for the HSA and cytochrome C data sets were 93% (744 out of 797) and 95% (300 out of 315), respectively.

Our raw data, peak files, and results files are accessible in the ProteomeXchange⁵¹ Consortium via the PRIDE⁵² partner repository.

pH-Induced Changes of HSA Structure. Human serum albumin (HSA) undergoes several conformational changes when experiencing a change in either pH, temperature, salt content in the environment, or the concentration of the protein itself.²⁹ Four isomers of the normal form (N-form, pH 6-7) are known from previous studies.⁵³ Within a pH range between 4.5 and 2.7, HSA transforms into the fast form (Fform), below 2.7 it transforms into the expanded form (Eform), and in the basic region from pH 8 to 10 it takes on the basic transition form (B-form) and the aged form (Aform).^{29,53} Fluorescence measurements, acidic/base titrations, and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) have already been applied to indirectly characterize changes in the N \rightarrow B transition.^{1,53-56} Previous studies proposed that the N \rightarrow B transition of HSA is comparable with the transition caused by the binding of fatty acids (e.g., small rotation of domains I and III relative to domain $II^{29,57,58}$). Binding sites of HSA are shown in Figure 2. We confirmed our ability to generate distinct structural forms of HSA by changing pH conditions as was previously reported,⁵⁹ using CD spectroscopy (data not shown).

To investigate the structural differences of HSA in different pH conditions, we crosslinked HSA using sulfo-SDA and quantified the abundance of the individual crosslinks. HSA was crosslinked in different pH conditions, separated by SDS-PAGE, digested in gel using trypsin, and then underwent DIA-LC-MS/MS analysis. Automated quantitation was performed in Spectronaut using our DDA-generated spectral library described above. Normalized data (see Methods) were exported to visualize differences in peak areas of unique residue pairs (URPs) for each pH condition by hierarchical



Figure 2. Overview of the domain structure, ligand binding sites, and key residues involved in conformational changes of human serum albumin (HSA) using chain A of the PDB structure 1AO6 (blue, residues referring to the basic transition; red, acidic transition; orange, binding site of diazepam in Sudlow-site II; gray, domain I; sand, domain II; dark gray, domain III).

clustering (Figure 3b) based on the changes in normalized median abundance of each URP data series. We applied a cutoff to group the data into the four highest level clusters and to display the pH-dependent abundance of a representative residue pair for each cluster (Figure 3a). The clusters therefore classify URPs based on their pH-dependent relative abundance.

URPs corresponding to close the distances of domains I-III are mainly sorted into cluster 3, which comprises URPs whose maximum abundance is at neutral pH. This is loosened by a shift to acidic conditions as seen by URPs sorted into cluster 4 with a maximum at pH 4. Cluster 4 shows fewer links between domains I and III compared to cluster 3 (pH 7), and a distance distribution that does not satisfy the model of HSA under neutral conditions, as evidenced by the higher proportion of overlength crosslinks. This is consistent with other characterized motions of the protein such as a separation or rotation of the two domains, possibly to capture or release ligands by entering different compartments. HSA is known as a carrier molecule and hence several binding sites provide interactions with ligands (Figure 2). Sudlow's side I, in domain IIA, is mostly responsible for interactions with bulky heterocyclic anions, while Sudlow's side II, located in subdomain IIIA, mainly binds aromatic carboxylates.^{57,58} Several fatty acid (FA) binding sites (FA1-7) provide the transportation abilities of fatty acids from adipose tissue. Previous studies could show a rotation of domain I relative to domain II due to the binding of FAs to Sudlow's side I, and movement of Tyr150 to interact with the carboxylate moiety of the lipid. An extensive rearrangement of H-bonds involving Try150, Glu153, Gln196, His242, Arg257, and His288 is the consequence. Additionally, binding of diazepam to Sudlow's side II is accompanied by a rotation of Leu387 and Leu453 in domain III and consequent side chain movement to encourage drug binding.^{57,60} Both effects may also be linked to acidic pH conditions and explain the loss of connection between domains I and III at pH 4, compared to the highly crosslinked domains I–III connection at pH7.

URPs in clusters 1-2 have their maximum abundance at basic pH. Cluster 2 is a cluster comprising a small number of residue pairs with a maximum at pH 10 that are mostly located within domain I and between domains I and II. Moreover, the



Figure 3. Hierarchical clustering of normalized median abundance of quantified unique residue pairs (URPs) in HSA. (a) MS1 abundance behavior of representative URPs from human serum albumin (where, for example, 1e+06 represents 1×10^6). The dots show the triplicate MS1 abundance. The line is a smoothed polynomial fit with 95% confidence interval. Representatives were selected on the basis of having features closest to the cluster median. (b) Heat map of median abundances of URPs displaying statistically significant shifts as a function of pH (p < 0.05). Median abundances are normalized between 0 and 1 as described in Methods. Hierarchical clustering was performed by rows: blue, cluster 1; green, cluster 2; red, cluster 3; orange, cluster 4. (c) Visualization of residue pairs corresponding to the four highest level clusters mapped on the structure of human serum albumin (PDB accession code 1AO6). (d) Frequency plot of the euclidean distances corresponding to the URPs within each cluster fitted to a log-normal distribution, highlighting that the crosslink distance distributions of URPs in cluster 4 and cluster 2 do not fit the model.

observed distance distribution of cluster 2 deviates from the expected distance distribution of SDA in HSA, consistent with a conformational change of the protein at pH 10. Cluster 1 shows URPs with maxima at pH 9, including crosslinks in domain I and domains II and III. Especially notable is domain I containing His9, His67, His105, and His146, which is heavily crosslinked at pH 8, 9, and 10 (Figure 3c). Previous mutagenesis studies show that His9, His67, His105, His146, and His128 contribute to basic transition.⁵⁶ Increasing the pH results in deprotonation of residues with a pK_a value lower than 9.0, thus triggering the N \rightarrow B transition.⁵⁴ crosslinks enriched in basic conditions also fell into domain III, which is in line with previous reports of changes in this domain during the N \rightarrow B transition.⁵³ The basic transition process was previously described as a structural fluctuation or loosening of human serum albumin including loss of rigidity.⁵⁷ Overall, our data agree with this as we observed equilibrium states with multiple minima rather than distinct conformation states with just one minimum.

pH-Induced Changes of Cytochrome C Structure. Given its small size, cytochrome C (105 amino acids, 11 kDa) provides an ideal test case for our method of investigating conformational changes in a system of low complexity. The protein was treated as described for HSA. The results of hierarchical clustering are shown in Figure 4b. We applied a cutoff to group the data into the three highest level clusters and to display the pH-dependent abundance of a representative residue pair for each cluster (Figure 4a).

Cluster 1 includes residue pairs which have a maximum at pH 4, cluster 2 at pH 9, and cluster 3 at pH 6-8. The alkaline transition in cytochrome C is described by crosslinks in cluster 2. Links in this cluster are enriched in helix regions 2 (51-55), 3 (62–68), and 4 (72–75) and surrounding Ω -loops, which could indicate flexibility of the protein induced by pH (Figure 4c and Figure 5d). The high crosslinking density in helix regions 2 (51-55), 3 (62-68), and 4 (72-75) and surrounding Ω -loops is in line with previous studies analyzing the alkaline-transition of cytochrome C_{r}^{31} which show that Met80 is replaced as a ligand of Fe in the heme group with the ε -amino group of a neighboring lysine residue or other surrogate ligands. The change in ligand is thought to increase access of peroxides to the heme center and thus increase the peroxidase activity of cytochrome C.³³ The peroxidase activity is critical for translocating cytochrome C from mitochondria into the cytoplasm and nucleolus at the onset of apoptosis.^{61,62} Additionally, conformational changes induced by a basic pH lead to the interaction of cytochrome C with cardiolipin, which influences homeostasis and stress response in cells.^{63,64}

In neutral and acidic conditions (clusters 1 and 3), crosslinks are distributed over the entire protein but more frequently between helix regions 5 (89–102) and 3 (62–68) including interconnecting Ω -loops (Figure 4c). crosslinks with high abundance at pH 4 and 5 are combined in Figure 5b to



Figure 4. Hierarchical clustering of normalized median abundance of quantified unique residue pairs (URPs) in cytochrome C. (a) MS1 abundance behavior of representative URPs from cytochrome C (where, for example, 1.5e+06 represents 1.5×10^6). The dots show the triplicate MS1 abundance. The line is a smoothed polynomial fit with 95% confidence interval. Representatives were selected on the basis of having features closest to the cluster median. (b) Heat map of median abundances of URPs displaying statistically significant shifts as a function of pH (p < 0.05). Median abundances are normalized between 0 and 1 as described in Methods. Hierarchical clustering was performed by rows: blue, cluster 1; red, cluster 2; green, cluster 3. (c) Visualization of residue pairs corresponding to the three highest level clusters onto the structure of cytochrome C (PDB accession code 2b4z).



Figure 5. Unique residue pairs matching the PDB (2b4z) crystal structure of cytochrome C. (a) Known residues, helix, and loop regions triggering alkaline and acidic conformational changes in cytochrome C (red, acidic transition; blue, alkaline transition; black, heme group). (b) Residue pairs having maximum abundance at pH ≤ 5, corresponding to the acidic form of cytochrome C (red, links below the distance limit of 25 Å; blue, links longer than 25 Å). (c) Residue pairs having maximum abundance at pH 6–7, corresponding to the neutral form (red, links below the distance limit of 25 Å; blue, blue pairs having maximum abundance at pH 6–7, corresponding to the neutral form (red, links below the distance limit of 25 Å; blue, links longer than 25 Å). (d) Residue pairs having maximum abundance at pH ≥ 8, corresponding to the alkaline form (red, links below the distance limit of 25 Å; blue, links longer than 25 Å).

represent the acidic transformation of cytochrome C. The crosslink density is not as localized as for the alkaline transition; nevertheless many crosslinks are concentrated within helix region 5 (89–102), Ω -loop (40–54), and Ω -loop (70–85). Notably, unfolding of δ -loop (40–54) is a known trigger for the acidic transition of cytochrome C.⁶⁵ The H-bond connection between the imidazole ring of His26 and side chain of Glu44 is disrupted at lower pH. This process induces Met80 substitution by water and thus activates the acidic unfolding pathway of cytochrome C, which would allow crosslinking within the whole protein. Interestingly, the normal form (pH 6–7) shows just a few characteristic crosslinks. This could be linked to the presence of the heme group in the center of the protein, which might sterically interfere with crosslinking (Figure 5c).

CONCLUSION

In this study, we demonstrate that protein structure can be analyzed under different pH conditions through the use of photo-crosslinking mass spectrometry. Thus, structural changes in proteins can be monitored across a wide range of environmental changes, including pH as shown here, but presumably also temperature, pressure, or concentration. Using standard crosslinkers, this would not be possible as the traditionally employed chemistry is itself influenced by these environmental factors. For standard crosslinkers, changes in crosslink abundance can therefore be linked to a changed structure or to a changed reactivity. These restrictions do not

Analytical Chemistry

apply to photochemistry, allowing us to probe protein structures here over a pH range from 4 to 10. Although crosslinking involves labeling a protein and thus artifacts cannot be excluded, the overall fold appears to be maintained.⁶⁶ It will be exciting to see whether our photo-DIA-QCLMS workflow can also be adapted to reveal structural changes induced by environmental parameters within cells.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: juri.rappsilber@tu-berlin.de.

ORCID 🔍

Juri Rappsilber: 0000-0001-5999-1310

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Supporting research data containing the mass spectrometry raw files, peak lists, and the result files from xiFDR, xiDIAlibrary, and Spectronaut used in this study may be accessed from the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the data set identifier PXD012939 via http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Tobias Baumann for his assistance in acquiring CD spectra. This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust (Grants 103139 and 108504), an Einstein Visiting Fellowship, and the DFG (Grant RA 2365/4-1). The Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology is supported by core funding from the Wellcome Trust (Grant 203149).

REFERENCES

(1) Dockal, M.; Carter, D. C.; Rüker, F. J. Biol. Chem. 2000, 275 (5), 3042–3050.

(2) Munishkina, L. A.; Henriques, J.; Uversky, V. N.; Fink, A. L. Biochemistry **2004**, 43 (11), 3289–3300.

(3) Uversky, V. N.; Fink, A. L. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Proteins Proteomics 2004, 1698 (2), 131–153.

(4) Dzwolak, W.; Grudzielanek, S.; Smirnovas, V.; Ravindra, R.; Nicolini, C.; Jansen, R.; Loksztejn, A.; Porowski, S.; Winter, R. *Biochemistry* **2005**, *44* (25), 8948–8958.

(5) Vaiana, S. M.; Manno, M.; Emanuele, A.; Palma-Vittorelli, M. B.; Palma, M. U. J. Biol. Phys. **2001**, 27 (2–3), 133–145.

(6) Foguel, D.; Suarez, M. C.; Ferrão-Gonzales, A. D.; Porto, T. C. R.; Palmieri, L.; Einsiedler, C. M.; Andrade, L. R.; Lashuel, H. A.; Lansbury, P. T.; Kelly, J. W.; et al. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2003**, 100 (17), 9831–9836.

(7) Sinz, A. Expert Rev. Proteomics 2014, 11 (6), 733-743.

(8) Liu, F.; Heck, A. J. R. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2015, 35, 100–108.

(9) Leitner, A.; Faini, M.; Stengel, F.; Aebersold, R. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **2016**, *41* (1), 20–32.

(10) Schneider, M.; Belsom, A.; Rappsilber, J. Trends Biochem. Sci. 2018, 43 (3), 157–169.

(11) Chavez, J. D.; Bruce, J. E. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2019, 48, 8–18.

(12) O'Reilly, F. J.; Rappsilber, J. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2018, 25 (11), 1000-1008.

(13) Yu, C.; Huang, L. Anal. Chem. 2018, 90 (1), 144-165.

(14) Chen, Z. A.; Jawhari, A.; Fischer, L.; Buchen, C.; Tahir, S.; Kamenski, T.; Rasmussen, M.; Lariviere, L.; Bukowski-Wills, J.-C.; Nilges, M.; et al. *EMBO J.* **2010**, *29* (4), 717–726.

(15) Leitner, A.; Reischl, R.; Walzthoeni, T.; Herzog, F.; Bohn, S.; Förster, F.; Aebersold, R. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2012**, *11* (3), M111.014126.

(16) Chen, Z. A.; Rappsilber, J. Trends Biochem. Sci. 2018, 43 (11), 908–920.

- (17) Coin, I.; Katritch, V.; Sun, T.; Xiang, Z.; Siu, F. Y.; Beyermann,
- M.; Stevens, R. C.; Wang, L. Cell 2013, 155 (6), 1258–1269. (18) Belsom, A.; Schneider, M.; Fischer, L.; Brock, O.; Rappsilber, J.
- Mol. Cell. Proteomics 2016, 15 (3), 1105-1116. (19) Brodie, N. I.; Makepeace, K. A. T.; Petrotchenko, E. V.;
- Borchers, C. H. J. Proteomics 2015, 118, 12-20. (20) Belsom, A.; Mudd, G.; Giese, S.; Auer, M.; Rappsilber, J. Anal.
- Chem. 2017, 89 (10), 5319-5324.
- (21) Brunner, J. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 1993, 62, 483-514.
- (22) Tanaka, Y.; Bond, M. R.; Kohler, J. J. Mol. BioSyst. 2008, 4 (6), 473-480.
- (23) Blencowe, A.; Hayes, W. Soft Matter 2005, 1 (3), 178–205.
- (24) Dorman, G.; Prestwich, G. D. Biochemistry 1994, 33 (19), 5661–5673.

(25) Thakur, G.; Jiang, K.; Lee, D.; Prashanthi, K.; Kim, S.; Thundat, T. *Langmuir* **2014**, *30* (8), 2109–2116.

(26) Michel, L. V.; Ye, T.; Bowman, S. E. J.; Levin, B. D.; Hahn, M. A.; Russell, B. S.; Elliott, S. J.; Bren, K. L. *Biochemistry* **2007**, *46* (42), 11753–11760.

- (27) Duncan, M. G.; Williams, M. D.; Bowler, B. E. Protein Sci. 2009, 18 (6), 1155–1164.
- (28) Döpner, S.; Hildebrandt, P.; Rosell, F. I.; Mauk, A. G.; von Walter, M.; Buse, G.; Soulimane, T. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1999**, 261 (2), 379–391.
- (29) Fanali, G.; di Masi, A.; Trezza, V.; Marino, M.; Fasano, M.; Ascenzi, P. Mol. Aspects Med. **2012**, 33 (3), 209–290.
- (30) Theorell, H.; Åkesson, Å. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1941, 63 (7), 1812–1818.

(31) Greenwood, C.; Palmer, G. J. Biol. Chem. **1965**, 240 (9), 3660–3663.

(32) Hüttemann, M.; Pecina, P.; Rainbolt, M.; Sanderson, T. H.; Kagan, V. E.; Samavati, L.; Doan, J. W.; Lee, I. *Mitochondrion* **2011**, *11* (3), 369–381.

(33) Hannibal, L.; Tomasina, F.; Capdevila, D. A.; Demicheli, V.; Tórtora, V.; Alvarez-Paggi, D.; Jemmerson, R.; Murgida, D. H.; Radi, R. *Biochemistry* **2016**, *55* (3), 407–428.

(34) Gomes, A. F.; Gozzo, F. C. J. Mass Spectrom. 2010, 45 (8), 892-899.

(35) Müller, F.; Kolbowski, L.; Bernhardt, O. M.; Reiter, L.; Rappsilber, J. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 2019, 18, 786.

(36) Maiolica, A.; Cittaro, D.; Borsotti, D.; Sennels, L.; Ciferri, C.; Tarricone, C.; Musacchio, A.; Rappsilber, J. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2007**, 6 (12), 2200–2211.

(37) Rappsilber, J.; Mann, M.; Ishihama, Y. *Nat. Protoc.* **2007**, *2* (8), 1896–1906.

(38) Müller, F.; Fischer, L.; Chen, Z. A.; Auchynnikava, T.; Rappsilber, J. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2018, 29, 405.

(39) Kolbowski, L.; Mendes, M. L.; Rappsilber, J. Anal. Chem. 2017, 89 (10), 5311-5318.

(40) Holman, J. D.; Tabb, D. L.; Mallick, P. Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics 2014, 46 (1), 13.24.1-13.24.9.

(41) Mendes, M. L.; Fischer, L.; Chen, Z. A.; Barbon, M.; O'Reilly, F. J.; Bohlke-Schneider, M.; Belsom, A.; Dau, T.; Combe, C. W.;

Graham, M.; et al. Bio Rxiv 2018.

(42) Fischer, L.; Rappsilber, J. Anal. Chem. 2017, 89 (7), 3829–3833.

(43) Fischer, L.; Rappsilber, J. *PLoS One* **2018**, *13* (5), No. e0196672.

(44) Bruderer, R.; Bernhardt, O. M.; Gandhi, T.; Miladinović, S. M.; Cheng, L.-Y.; Messner, S.; Ehrenberger, T.; Zanotelli, V.; Butscheid,

Y.; Escher, C.; et al. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2015**, *14* (5), 1400–1410. (45) Bruderer, R.; Bernhardt, O. M.; Gandhi, T.; Xuan, Y.; Sondermann, J.; Schmidt, M.; Gomez-Varela, D.; Reiter, L. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2017**, *16* (12), 2296–2309.

(46) Müller, F.; Kolbowski, L.; Bernhardt, O. M.; Reiter, L.; Rappsilber, J. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 2019, 18 (4), 786–795.

(47) Giese, S. H.; Belsom, A.; Rappsilber, J. Anal. Chem. 2016, 88 (16), 8239-8247.

Analytical Chemistry

(48) Giese, S. H.; Belsom, A.; Rappsilber, J. Anal. Chem. 2017, 89 (6), 3802-3803.

(49) Callister, S. J.; Barry, R. C.; Adkins, J. N.; Johnson, E. T.; Qian, W.-J.; Webb-Robertson, B.-J. M.; Smith, R. D.; Lipton, M. S. J. *Proteome Res.* **2006**, *5* (2), 277–286.

(50) Warnes, G. R.; Bolker, B.; Bonebakker, L.; Gentleman, R.; Liaw, W. H. A.; Lumley, T.; Maechler, M.; Magnusson, A.; Moeller, S.; Schwartz, M.; Venables, B. *gplots: Various R Programming Tools for Plotting Data*; CRAN, 2016.

(51) Vizcaíno, J. A.; Deutsch, E. W.; Wang, R.; Csordas, A.; Reisinger, F.; Ríos, D.; Dianes, J. A.; Sun, Z.; Farrah, T.; Bandeira, N.; et al. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *32* (3), 223–226.

(52) Vizcaíno, J. A.; Csordas, A.; Del-Toro, N.; Dianes, J. A.; Griss, J.; Lavidas, I.; Mayer, G.; Perez-Riverol, Y.; Reisinger, F.; Ternent, T.; et al. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2016**, *44* (D1), D447–D456.

(53) Díaz, N.; Suárez, D. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2016, 12 (4), 1972-1988.

(54) Bos, O. J.; Labro, J. F.; Fischer, M. J.; Wilting, J.; Janssen, L. H. J. Biol. Chem. **1989**, 264 (2), 953–959.

(55) Yamasaki, K.; Maruyama, T.; Yoshimoto, K.; Tsutsumi, Y.; Narazaki, R.; Fukuhara, A.; Kragh-Hansen, U.; Otagiri, M. Biochim.

Biophys. Acta, Protein Struct. Mol. Enzymol. 1999, 1432 (2), 313–323. (56) Yang, J.; Ha, C.-E.; Bhagavan, N. V. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Gen.

Subj. 2005, 1724 (1-2), 37-48. (57) Ascenzi, P.; Fasano, M. Biophys. Chem. 2010, 148 (1-3), 16-22.

(58) Wenskowsky, L.; Schreuder, H.; Derdau, V.; Matter, H.; Volkmar, J.; Nazaré, M.; Opatz, T.; Petry, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, 57 (4), 1044–1048.

(59) Kumar, Y.; Tayyab, S.; Muzammil, S. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2004, 426 (1), 3–10.

(60) Ghuman, J.; Zunszain, P. A.; Petitpas, I.; Bhattacharya, A. A.; Otagiri, M.; Curry, S. J. Mol. Biol. **2005**, 353 (1), 38–52.

(61) Godoy, L. C.; Muñoz-Pinedo, C.; Castro, L.; Cardaci, S.; Schonhoff, C. M.; King, M.; Tórtora, V.; Marín, M.; Miao, Q.; Jiang, J.

F.; et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2009, 106 (8), 2653-2658. (62) Ascenzi, P.; Coletta, M.; Wilson, M. T.; Fiorucci, L.; Marino,

M.; Polticelli, F.; Sinibaldi, F.; Santucci, R. IUBMB Life 2015, 67 (2), 98–109.

(63) Kagan, V. E.; Tyurin, V. A.; Jiang, J.; Tyurina, Y. Y.; Ritov, V. B.; Amoscato, A. A.; Osipov, A. N.; Belikova, N. A.; Kapralov, A. A.; Kini, V.; et al. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2005**, *1* (4), 223–232.

(64) Capdevila, D. A.; Oviedo Rouco, S.; Tomasina, F.; Tortora, V.; Demicheli, V.; Radi, R.; Murgida, D. H. *Biochemistry* **2015**, *54* (51), 7491–7504.

(65) Battistuzzi, G.; Borsari, M.; Loschi, L.; Martinelli, A.; Sola, M. *Biochemistry* **1999**, *38* (25), 7900–7907.

(66) Rozbeský, D.; Rosůlek, M.; Kukačka, Z.; Chmelík, J.; Man, P.; Novák, P. Anal. Chem. **2018**, 90 (2), 1104–1113.